

Corrigendum: Sensitivity of carbon budgets to permafrost carbon feedbacks and non-CO₂ forcings (2015 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **10** 125003)

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2016 *Environ. Res. Lett.* 11 019501

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/11/1/019501>)

[View the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 210.77.64.106

This content was downloaded on 30/03/2017 at 11:53

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Sensitivity of carbon budgets to permafrost carbon feedbacks and non-CO₂ forcings](#)

Andrew H MacDougall, Kirsten Zickfeld, Reto Knutti et al.

[Climate constraints on the carbon intensity of economic growth](#)

Julie Rozenberg, Steven J Davis, Ulf Narloch et al.

[Carbon budgets and energy transition pathways](#)

Detlef P van Vuuren, Heleen van Soest, Keywan Riahi et al.

[Mitigation choices impact carbon budget size compatible with low temperature goals](#)

Joeri Rogelj, Andy Reisinger, David L McCollum et al.

[Allocating a 2 °C cumulative carbon budget to countries](#)

Renaud Gignac and H Damon Matthews

[Impact of short-lived non-CO₂ mitigation on carbon budgets for stabilizing global warming](#)

Joeri Rogelj, Malte Meinshausen, Michiel Schaeffer et al.

[Zero emission targets as long-term global goals for climate protection](#)

Joeri Rogelj, Michiel Schaeffer, Malte Meinshausen et al.

[Pan-tropical monitoring of deforestation](#)

F Achard, R DeFries, H Eva et al.

[Examination of a climate stabilization pathway via zero-emissions using Earth system models](#)

Daisuke Nohara, J Tsutsui, S Watanabe et al.

Environmental Research Letters



OPEN ACCESS

RECEIVED
3 December 2015

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION
4 December 2015

PUBLISHED
8 January 2016

Original content from this work may be used under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence.

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.



CORRIGENDUM

Corrigendum: Sensitivity of carbon budgets to permafrost carbon feedbacks and non-CO₂ forcings (2015 *Environ. Res. Lett.* **10** 125003)

Andrew H MacDougall¹, Kirsten Zickfeld², Reto Knutti¹ and H Damon Matthews³

¹ Institute for Atmospheric and Climate Science, ETH Zurich, Zürich, Switzerland

² Department of Geography, Simon Fraser University, Vancouver, Canada

³ Department of Geography, Concordia University, Montreal, Canada

E-mail: andrew.macdougall@env.ethz.ch

Keywords: carbon budget, TCRC, climate change

The bar representing the carbon budget for the 2 °C temperature change target for the model configuration with non-CO₂ forcings from figure 4(a) was

mislabeled. The bar was mistakenly labelled with the value for RCP 8.5 instead of RCP 6.0. The correct value is 810 Pg C. The corrected figure follows.




Figure 4. (a) Carbon budgets for 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 °C temperature change targets for the three model configurations. (b) Overshoot net carbon budgets for restoration of 2.0, 2.5 and 3.0 °C targets for the three model configurations. Note that the overshoot net carbon budgets are smaller than the conventional carbon budgets, this implies that more CO₂ must be removed from the atmosphere to return to a given temperature change than was emitted in the overshoot. Values are given for simulations following the RCP 6.0 and MCP 6.0 scenario.