Large herbivore grazing affects the vegetation structure and greenhouse gas balance in a high arctic mire

You may also be interested in:

Environmental Research Letters

LETTER

Large herbivore grazing affects the vegetation structure and greenhouse gas balance in a high arctic mire

Julie Maria Falk[1], Niels Martin Schmidt[2,3], Torben R Christensen[1,3] and Lena Ström[1]

[1] Department of Physical Geography and Ecosystem Science, Lund University, Sölvegatan, SE-12S-223 62 Lund, Sweden
[2] Department of Bioscience, Aarhus University, Frederiksborgvej 399, DK-4000 Roskilde, Denmark
[3] Arctic Research Centre, Aarhus University, cf Møllers Allé 8, DK-8000 Aarhus C, Denmark

E-mail: Julie_maria.falk@nateko.lu.se

Keywords: wetlands, carbon, methane, grazing, herbivory, vascular plants, arctic

Abstract

Herbivory is an important part of most ecosystems and affects the ecosystems' carbon balance both directly and indirectly. Little is known about herbivory and its impact on the carbon balance in high arctic mire ecosystems. We hypothesized that trampling and grazing by large herbivores influences the vegetation density and composition and thereby also the carbon balance. In 2010, we established fenced exclosures in high arctic Greenland to prevent muskoxen (*Ovibos moschatus*) from grazing. During the growing seasons of 2011 to 2013 we measured CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes in these ungrazed blocks and compared them to blocks subjected to natural grazing. Additionally, we measured depth of the water table and active layer, soil temperature, and in 2011 and 2013 an inventory of the vegetation density and composition were made. In 2013 a significant decrease in total number of vascular plant (33–44%) and *Eriophorum scheuchzeri* (51–53%) tillers were found in ungrazed plots, the moss-layer and amount of litter had also increased substantially in these plots. This resulted in a significant decrease in net ecosystem uptake of CO_2 (47%) and likewise a decrease in CH_4 emission (44%) in ungrazed plots in 2013. While the future of the muskoxen in a changing arctic is unknown, this experiment points to a potentially large effect of large herbivores on the carbon balance in natural Arctic ecosystems. It thus sheds light on the importance of grazing mammals, and hence adds to our understanding of natural ecosystem greenhouse gas balance in the past and in the future.

1. Introduction

Half of the Earth's land surface is influenced by large herbivores (livestock or native) (Olff *et al* 2002), and their presence may have great influence on the ecosystem (e.g. Mulder 1999, Tanentzap and Coomes 2012). In the Arctic, herbivory has been shown to influence the carbon cycle (e.g. Van der Wal *et al* 2007, Sjögersten *et al* 2011, Cahoon *et al* 2012, Falk *et al* 2014). The presence or absence of herbivores may determine whether the system is a net carbon source or sink (Welker *et al* 2004, Sjögersten *et al* 2011). Nonetheless, the general impact of grazing on the carbon balance appears somewhat ambiguous. The contrasting results may be related to ecosystem type and grazing pressure. Grazing can also change nutrient and carbon allocation patterns within plants, as vegetation uses carbon and nutrients reserves to regrow new plant shoots instead of building below-

ground reserves (e.g. Chapin 1980, Mulder 1999, Falk *et al* 2014). Herbivore trampling suppresses moss and shrub growth, their grazing keeps the litter layer to a minimum and their manure fertilizes the soil; thus, with a decline in herbivory, landscapes may undergo succession from open land to woodland or shrubland (Zimov *et al* 1995). Supporting this theory, grazing in the arctic may result in the vegetation shifting towards more herb and graminoid dominance (e.g. Post and Pedersen 2008, Sjögersten *et al* 2008, Cahoon *et al* 2012, Vaisanen *et al* 2014).

Compared to other arctic habitats, mires are highly productive and they are an important source of forage for many herbivore species (Henry *et al* 1990, Henry 1998, Forchhammer *et al* 2008, Kristensen *et al* 2011). Arctic wetlands hold large amounts of carbon, as the decomposition rate of organic matter is slow under cold and anoxic conditions (Tarnocai *et al* 2009). Natural and agricultural wetlands together

contribute with more than 40% of the annual atmospheric emissions of CH_4 and are considered the largest single contributor of this gas to the troposphere (Cicerone and Oremland 1988, Mikaloff Fletcher *et al* 2004). Despite the likely impact herbivory may have on the carbon balance in arctic wetlands and on the controlling aspects for CH_4 production and emission, only very few studies have focused on herbivory and CH_4 fluxes in the arctic or sub-alpine regions (Sjögersten *et al* 2011, 2012, Falk *et al* 2014). We recently showed that a simulated increased grazing pressure resulted in a more than 25% decrease in CH_4 emission in a high arctic mire (Falk *et al* 2014). This, however, contrasts with the findings of other studies (Sjögersten *et al* 2011, 2012) that showed no effect of herbivory on CH_4 emissions. Many of the factors that influence both CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes either directly or indirectly are influenced by herbivory. These include soil temperature and water table depth (Torn and Chapin 1993, Waddington *et al* 1996) and vegetation composition and density (Ström *et al* 2003, 2012, Ström and Christensen 2007). Hence, to understand the effect of herbivory on the total carbon balance of the artic, it is crucial to understand the potential impact it has on both CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes.

Here we focus on how the vegetation composition and the carbon balance are affected when muskox grazing is excluded from parts of an arctic mire. In Falk *et al* (2014) we found indications that increased simulated grazing resulted in a decrease in the total number of vascular plant and *Eriophorum* tillers and, as described above, in CH_4 emission. We consequently hypothesize that exclusion of muskoxen will: (1) change the composition and density of the vegetation, with an increase in the number of vascular plant tillers (see Falk *et al* 2014), which in turn (2) will lead to an increase in net ecosystem exchange (NEE), gross primary production (GPP) and CH_4 emission. In order to test our hypotheses, we utilized a herbivore exclusion experiment installed in high arctic mire in 2010. To be able to exclude that the fences of the exclosures had an effect on the snow density and thereby the reason for a potential change, snow control plots were installed. We expect to see no differences between control and snow control plots as the mesh size of the fence is 10×10 cm. Between 2011 and 2013, we measured CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes and several additional properties (soil temperature, active layer and water table depth and vegetation composition and density).

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Site description

The study took place in the Zackenberg valley, NE Greenland (74°30'N 20°30 W). The warmest month (July) has a mean monthly air temperature of 5.8 °C. The mean annual precipitation was 260 mm in the period 1996–2005, mainly falling as snow (Hansen

et al 2008). The valley is underlain by continuous permafrost, and the active layer thickness varies from 45 to 80 cm depending on the type of ecosystem (Christiansen *et al* 2008). Mires cover approximately 4% of the valley (Arndal *et al* 2009) and are dominated by a few vascular plant species (see table 2) and a dense moss cover.

The muskox (*Ovibos moschatus*), the only large herbivore in NE Greenland, is a natural part of the ecosystem throughout the year, and around 80% of their graminoid-dominated summer forage is obtained in the mire areas (Kristensen *et al* 2011). In the summer the muskoxen are usually found in densities of 1–2 individuals per km^2 in the 47 km^2 census area in the Zackenberg valley (Schmidt *et al* 2015). This number increases to approximately seven individuals per km^2 in late summer and autumn when they gather in the mire and grassland areas to forage (Schmidt *et al* 2015). With a changing climate, the future of muskoxen in NE Greenland is hard to predict. The population size of muskoxen depends on the biomass production and the length of the growing season; a long growing season will increase the number of muskoxen the following year (Forchhammer *et al* 2008). However, with predicted increasing numbers of thaw days during winter (Stendel *et al* 2008), which can lead to ice crust formation, a decline in the muskox population is very likely. Hard and deep snow cover is known to increase the mortality of muskoxen during the winter, as they may not be able to access the vegetation (Forchhammer *et al* 2002).

2.2. Experimental setup

In July 2010, permanent muskox exclosures were established in the mire in Zackenberg. The experiment consists of five replicate blocks, each including the following three 10×10 m areas ($n = 15$): (1) an unmanipulated control area (C). (2) An exclosure area (EX) where a one meter high standard sheep fence prevents muskoxen from grazing and trampling. (3) A snow control area (SC), fenced towards NNW (the dominant wind direction in (Hansen *et al* 2008)), to evaluate the potential effect of the fence on snow depth. Muskoxen can move and graze freely in C and SC. The blocks are located on a slight downhill slope that is water-saturated in the beginning of the growing season, while it often dries out later in the season. Blocks 1 and 2 are positioned farthest uphill in a drier part of the mire while blocks 3, 4 and 5 are further downstream in a wetter and flatter part. Blocks 3, 4 and 5 have similar water table depths but the peat layer in block 5 is lower and in addition mixed with silt.

In 2010 two measurement plots (39.5×39.5 cm) were permanently installed inside each of the 15 areas ($n = 30$). In 2011, one additional control area was added in blocks 3 and 4, due to large differences in vegetation composition between the initial C, EX and SC areas in these blocks. The theoretical reason for

Table 1. The measuring period for CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes in 2011, 2012 and 2013, and the number of flux measurements done each month.

	Measuring period	June	July	August	September	October
CO_2						
2011	30 June–8 August	0–1	4–5	1		
2012	6 July–7 September		3–4	3–4	1	
2013	2 July–17 august		4	2		
CH_4						
2011	5 July–8 August		8	2		
2012	7 July–6 October		6	6	5	2
2013	1 July–17 August		7	4		

these additions was our previous findings, which showed that the vascular plant species composition is of great importance for CH_4 fluxes (Ström *et al* 2003, 2012) rendering an analysis of change impossible between plots with a large difference in initial conditions. A total of 11 plots were installed outside and inside EX 3 and EX 4 giving a total of 41 measurement plots. Each plot consisted of an aluminum frame that was fitted 15 cm into the ground. On all plots we measured gas fluxes and additional parameters at least once per week over the main part of the growing seasons. To enable a more detailed study of CH_4 fluxes, all variables were measured more frequently (approximately twice per week) in blocks 3 and 4. Details on measurement procedure are further described in Falk *et al* (2014). The timing of the measurement periods are summarized in table 1.

2.3. Measurements
To estimate the density and composition of vascular plants, the number of tillers per plot of the dominant plant species were counted, on all 41 plots in 2013 and in blocks 3, 4 and 5 ($n = 26$) in 2011. In mid-August 2013, biomass was harvested, directly below the fresh moss layer, within a 0.40 m^2 square randomly placed in close proximity to the measuring plots within each block and treatment ($n = 15$). Each sample was divided into biomass of *Carex, Dupontia, Eriophorum* and fresh mosses and the dry weight of the fraction was determined after drying the samples at 60 °C for 48 h. In each of the vascular plant fractions we measured the mean tiller height and counted the number of plant tillers and green leaves.

Gas concentrations of CO_2 and CH_4 were recorded simultaneously by a portable FTIR (Fourier transform infrared) spectrometer (Gasmet Dx 40-30, Gasmet Technologies Oy, Finland) within a transparent Plexiglas chamber (41 L). The concentration change of CO_2 in the chamber during light conditions was used to estimate NEE and during dark to estimate ecosystem respiration (R_{eco}). Each block was measured within one day to minimize effects of changes in weather conditions. Release of gas from the ecosystem to the atmosphere is denoted by positive values and uptake by negative. Variables measured in addition to gas fluxes were water table depth (WtD, cm below

moss surface), the active layer thickness (AL, cm below moss surface) and soil temperature (T_s, 10 cm below moss surface). These measurements were done within or in close proximity to the respective plot. See Falk *et al* (2014) for further details on the methodology.

At end of winter in 2012, we measured the snow depth in all treatments in all blocks. Approximately 12 measurements were conducted within each treatment plot using a handheld magna-probe. The total number of data points included thus equaled 174.

2.4. Data analysis
Only the most dominating plant species (*Arctagrostis, Carex, Dupontia, Eriophorum* and *Equisetum*) and the total number of these species were included in the data analysis. The density of tillers per m^2 (vegetation analysis) was normal distributed for all species except for *Carex* and *Equisetum*. A nonparametric (Kendall's) test with related samples and an independent t-test were tested and showed similar results. Hence, an independent t-test was used to test for differences in vegetation parameters between C, EX and SC. Two separate analyses were performed; one on the whole dataset ($n = 26$, $n = 41$ for 2011 and 2013, respectively) and one on the plots used in the CH_4 analysis ($n = 14$). The harvested biomass samples were not normally distributed and a nonparametric (Kendall's) test with related samples was performed, where the block structure was considered in the model. Treatment effects on snow depth were examined using a mixed model approach, where treatment was regarded as fixed factor and block as random factor. Snow depth data were log-transformed prior to analyses. As a post-hoc test we used Tukey HSD tests ($p < 0.05$).

On all plots the CO_2 and CH_4 fluxes (mg m^{-2} h^{-1} of CH_4 or CO_2) were calculated from the change in gas concentration as a function of time using linear fitting, including corrections for ambient air temperature and pressure, according to procedures by Crill *et al* (1988). Due to a highly significant correlation ($R = 0.947$, $p < 0.0001$) between light and dark CH_4 fluxes for individual plots, the mean of these two measurements was used in the CH_4 flux analysis. GPP was calculated as the difference between NEE and R_{eco}. In a previous study (Ström *et al* 2012) we made several attempts to de-trend data and remove diurnal and seasonal

dynamics in CO_2 using PAR and T_s, according to various linear and nonlinear methods (e.g., Lund *et al* 2009, Lindroth *et al* 2007). However, all these computations resulted in very low R2 values and the modeled data could not be used with confidence. In this study we refrained from correcting GPP and NEE due to these reasons.

The dataset for the gasfluxes was much larger (table 1) than for the vegetation analysis (one measurement per plot), which allowed a much more detailed statistical approach to be used. The effects of exclusion on the carbon flux were examined using general mixed linear models with treatment as fixed factor. Block and plot were regarded as random factors, and, to avoid pseudo-replication, plot nested within block. We included a Gaussian autoregressive component within plots in the models due to the expected temporal dependence in fluxes. The analysis was conducted using the PROC MIXED procedure (SAS Institute Inc. 2000). Model reduction was based on likelihood ratio tests and successive removal of non-significant variables ($p > 0.05$). As post-hoc test we used Tukey HSD tests ($p < 0.05$). The CO_2 analysis included all plots ($n = 41$ plots) and the CH_4 analysis only wet blocks ($n = 14$).

3. Results

Irrespective of treatment the vegetation analysis performed on the measurement plots in 2011 and 2013 showed a large spatial variation in vegetation composition within the area (table 2). In 2011 and 2013 the dominating species *Arctagrostis*, *Carex*, *Dupontia*, *Eriophorum* and *Equisetum* on average accounted for 99% of all vascular plant species, with *Dupontia* and *Eriophorum* being the most dominating (table 2). In 2011, no significant differences between any of the treatments were found with respect to the total density (number of tillers) of vascular plants or in the density of individual plant species in the plots. However, in 2013 the total density of vascular plants and *Eriophorum* were significantly lower in EX compared to C plots, while no significant differences were found between C and SC, although there was a tendency of *Eriophorum* to be lower in SC. When the tiller analysis was performed on the wet blocks used for the detailed CH_4 analysis, the results were the same with no significant differences in 2011, but with significantly lower *Eriophorum* and total tiller densities in EX than in C areas in 2013, $p = 0.008$ and $p = 0.001$ for *Eriophorum* and total tiller densities, respectively. The treatment effects on tiller number were further confirmed in the samples harvested in 2013 (table 3): the number of both total vascular plants and *Eriophorum* tillers was also here significantly lower in EX than in C, while no significant differences between other species or between SC and C were found (table 3). In addition, the tiller analysis of the harvested

samples showed that the number of total vascular plant and *Eriophorum* leaves that was green were significantly lower in EX than in C and that the tillers of *Dupontia*, *Eriophorum* and all vascular plants combined were higher in EX than in C. No significant differences were found between these fractions for the other dominant species or between C and SC (table 3).

The biomass (dry weight) of several of the fractions of the harvested samples were significantly ($p < 0.03$) higher in EX and SC than in C (figure 1). These included a higher total biomass (59% including all fractions), vascular plant litter (230%) and moss (55%) biomass in EX compared to C and a higher total biomass (15%) and moss (25%) biomass in SC than in C. No significant differences were found ($p > 0.180$) between C and EX or SC for any of the individual species, although the weight of the biomass of *Dupontia* tended to be higher in EX than in C ($p = 0.083$).

No significant difference in snow depth was found between the treatment ($P = 0.172$), and the mean snow depth in the treatment varied between approximately 127 and 130 cm (data not shown).

The exclosure experiment resulted in significant changes in NEE, GPP and R_{eco} (table 4). For NEE, significant differences were found the third year after the initiation of the experiment. Here the net ecosystem uptake of CO_2 was higher in C than in both EX and SC areas, with the most pronounced difference between C and EX. For GPP, significant differences were found already the second year and the photosynthetic uptake of CO_2 was higher in C than in EX areas. Additionally, three years into the experiment GPP was higher in C than SC areas. For R_{eco} the only significant difference was found between C and SC the third year when R_{eco} was lower in SC than in C areas (table 4).

The CH_4 analysis performed only on the wet blocks with measurable CH_4 fluxes and initially comparable environmental conditions (e.g. blocks 3 and 4) showed a significant difference in CH_4 flux three years into the experiment, with lower (44%) fluxes in EX compared to C areas (figure 2). Further, there was a large variation in the mean CH_4 flux both within and between years. Irrespective of treatment, the measured CH_4 fluxes (mg CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1}) ranged between 1.6 and 4.4 in 2011, between 2.6 and 7.0 in 2012 and between 0.6 and 4.6 in 2013.

Three years into the experiment in 2013 a significantly higher WtD was observed in EX and SC compared to C areas, while no differences were observed the two previous years (table 4). In 2013 the AL was significantly lower in EX compared to C, while no difference was observed between C and SC in 2013 or in AL between any of the areas' previous years. For T_s the pattern was a bit more complex with significantly lower T_s in EX compared to C in 2011 and 2013 and the opposite pattern in 2012 with higher T_s in EX compared to C, while no differences were found between SC and C for any of the three years (table 4).

Table 2. The mean, maximum, minimum and standard error of the mean (SE) of vascular plants tillers per m^2 counted in measurement plots in control (C), exclosure (EX) and snow-control (SC) areas in 2011 (C n = 17, EX n = 14, SC n = 10) and 2013 (C n = 13, EX n = 10, SC n = 6). Samples were fractionated into vascular plants: *Arctagrostis latifolia (Arctagrostis), Carex stans* (Carex), *Dupontia psilosantha* (Dup), *Eriophorum scheuchzeri* (Erioph), *Equisetum sp* and total number of tillers (sum). Significant differences (independent t-test) between C and EX and C and EX are shown in bold formatting.

		Arctagrostis			Carex			Dupontia			Eriophorum			Equisetum			Sum		
		C	EX	SC	C	EX	SC	C	EX	SC	C	EX	SC	C	EX	SC	C	EX	SC
2011	Mean	498	266	315	383	20	2057	2556	3263	1702	2700	1784	1869	904	734	1210	6136	5333	5944
	Max	1588	1288	1369	3313	200	6163	4131	5125	5063	5219	3544	2981	5319	3375	4850	8869	7456	9225
	Min	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	750	0	31.3	188	669	0	0	0	2969	2700	1225
	SE (±)	142	139	231	275	20	1025	401	383	978	452	352	486	505	372	745	555	500	1376
	p		0.267	0.491		0.213	0.223		0.228	0.466		0.144	0.261		0.309	0.238		0.309	0.876
2013	Mean	153	58	69	84	60	394	1663	1378	1463	1280	**627**	946	24	16	14	3181	**2122**	2872
	Max	881	188	394	700	488	1881	2813	2019	3013	2306	1094	1813	244	213	94	4163	2844	4075
	Min	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	106	0	544	188	419	0	0	0	2369	1438	1381
	SE (±)	54	19	38	47	42	216	173	155	385	120	64	152	15	15	19	137	113	361
	p		0.110	0.288		0.717	0.242		0.238	0.653		0.000	0.085		0.708	0.625		0.000	0.282

Table 3. The mean (±SE), maximum and minimum number of tillers and green leaves and average tiller height (cm) of the dominant vascular plant species and the sum of these in harvested biomass samples (0.04 m^{-2}) in control (C), exclosure (EX) and snow-control (SC) areas (C $n = 7$, EX $n = 5$, SC $n = 5$). Samples were fractionated into vascular plants: *Carex stans* (Carex), *Dupontia psilosantha* (Dup), *Eriophorum scheuchzeri* (Erioph) and total number of tiller (total). Significant differences (nonparametric Kendall's test) between C and EX and C and EX are shown in bold formatting.

		Number of tillers				Number of green leaves				Height of tillers			
		Dup.	Carex	Erioph.	Total	Dup.	Carex	Erioph.	Total	Dup.	Carex	Erioph.	Mean
C	Mean	69 ± 22	6 ± 1	79 ± 23	154 ± 15	108 ± 37	15 ± 5	110 ± 31	234 ± 25	10 ± 2.7	9 ± 0.9	11 ± 0.4	11 ± 0.5
	Max	115	12	168	180	201	27	228	291	15	11	12	12
	Min	0	4	48	98	0	0	57	142	0	6	10	10
EX	Mean	47 ± 16	4 ± 2	**37 ± 7**	**87 ± 13**	53 ± 18	6 ± 4	**50 ± 11**	**108 ± 13**	**14 ± 3.6**	5 ± 3.4	**15 ± 0.9**	**15 ± 0.9**
	Max	88	10	57	109	101	23	86	143	19	16	17	18
	Min	0	0	21	40	0	0	22	68	0	0	12	13
	p (C versus EX)	0.180	0.180	0.025	0.025	0.180	0.317	0.025	0.025	0.046	0.655	0.025	0.025
SC	Mean	49 ± 20	24 ± 23	48 ± 9	121 ± 21	66 ± 33	51 ± 47	64 ± 13	181 ± 48	8 ± 2.2	4 ± 2.7	10 ± 0.6	10 ± 0.5
	Max	102	114	76	183	184	240	108	305	13	11	11	12
	Min	0	0	20	56	0	0	32	70	0	0	8	9
	p (C versus SC)	0.317	0.317	0.655	0.655	0.317	1.000	0.655	0.655	0.317	0.317	0.655	0.317

Figure 1. Mean dried biomass ($g \pm SE$) fractions of samples harvested (0.04 m^2) in August 2013 in controls ($n = 7$), exclosures ($n = 5$) and snow-controls ($n = 5$). Samples were fractionated into vascular plants: *Carex stans*, *Dupontia psilosantha* and *Eriophorum scheuchzeri* and fresh mosses, old biomass (Litter) and the total weight of the dried biomass (total biomass). Significant differences (nonparametric (Kandell) test) between control and treatments are indicated with asterisk above the bars *$p \leqslant 0.05$.

Table 4. The mean ($\pm$SE), maximum and minimum net ecosystem exchange (NEE), respiration (Reco) and photosynthesis (GPP) (mg CO$_2$ m^{-2} h^{-1}), water table depth (WtD) and active layer depth (AL) (cm from the peat layer) and soil temperature (T_s) (10 cm below surface) in 2011, 2012 and 2013 for control (C), exclosure (EX) and snow-control (SC) areas (C $n = 15$, EX $n = 16$ and SC $n = 8$). Significant differences (general mixed linear model) between between C and EX and C and EX are shown in bold formatting.

Year	Treatment		NEE	Reco	GPP	WtD	AL	T_s
2011	C	Mean	-355 ± 21	340 ± 16	-699 ± 29	6.8 ± 0.7	56.5 ± 1.1	7.9 ± 0.2
		Max	61.2	665	-124	22.0	76.0	13.4
		Min	-833	18	-1447	-4.0	4.0	4.9
	EX	Mean	-321 ± 23	321 ± 12	-638 ± 27	6.0 ± 0.7	56.8 ± 1.0	**7.5 ± 0.2**
		Max	104	570	-101	23.0	76.0	12.7
		Min	-856	49	-1328	-4.0	35.0	5.0
		p	0.843	0.966	0.814	0.975	0.556	0.029
	SC	Mean	-350 ± 15	309 ± 10	-659 ± 20	5.7 ± 0.5	59.5 ± 0.9	8.3 ± 0.9
		Max	30.4	582	-147	16.0	77.0	12.5
		Min	-695	42	-1200	-6.0	38.0	5.3
		p	0.274	0.951	0.394	0.487	0.829	0.980
2012	C	Mean	-433 ± 41	303 ± 16	-739 ± 51	2.3 ± 0.3	59.2 ± 1.5	6.1 ± 0.3
		Max	1698	818	-3	10.0	81.0	15.9
		Min	-1495	40	-1878	-4.0	17.0	0.3
	EX	Mean	-400 ± 41	264 ± 12	**-678 ± 54**	2.3 ± 0.4	59.7 ± 1.5	**6.9 ± 0.4**
		Max	273	582	-8	10.0	82.0	22.9
		Min	1540	30	-2181	-7.0	26.0	0.3
		p	0.809	0.317	0.044	0.602	0.343	0.045
	SC	Mean	-450 ± 52	275 ± 16	-726 ± 63	2.3 ± 0.5	59.2 ± 2.2	7.0 ± 0.5
		Max	104	591	-21	10.0	82.0	18.3
		Min	-1280	38	-1617	-4.0	24.0	0.3
		p	0.735	0.994	0.648	0.668	0.954	0.281
2013	C	Mean	-234 ± 24	385 ± 16	-620 ± 34	14.1 ± 0.8	49.1 ± 1.3	5.2 ± 0.2
		Max	169	749	-168	30.0	68.0	10.6
		Min	749	117	-1262	0.0	29.0	2.2
	EX	Mean	-124 ± 25	363 ± 17	-487 ± 33	16.8 ± 0.8	44.8 ± 1.3	4.6 ± 0.2
		Max	337	821	-55	26.0	71.0	9.0
		Min	-639	127	-1140	3.0	20.0	1.8
		p	<0.0001	0.808	0.0001	<0.0001	0.0005	<0.0001
	SC	Mean	-154 ± 27	290 ± 21	-444 ± 40	15.4 ± 1.0	49.0 ± 1.8	5.4 ± 0.2
		Max	137	585	-62	28.0	70.0	9.4
		Min	773	23	-1337	1.0	29.0	2.5
		p	0.028	0.013	0.001	0.0003	0.919	0.822

4. Discussion

Among the arctic plants, in particular rhizomatous graminoids species, such as species of *Carex*, *Eriophorum* and *Dupontia*, have been found to be well well-adapted to grazing (Henry 1998). Both in 2011 and 2013 the vegetation in the Zackenberg mire was dominated by *Eriophorum scheuchzeri* and *Dupontia fisheri* (table 2). This species composition supports that the notion that the Zackenberg mire is heavily influenced by grazing and is likely to respond when the grazing pressure changes.

Figure 2. Mean CH_4 flux (mg CH_4 m^{-2} h^{-1} ± SE), for 2011, 2012 and 2013 in controls ($n = 7$) and exclosure areas ($n = 7$). Data is based on plots from block 3 and 4. Significant differences (general mixed linear models) between control and exclosure are indicated with asterisk above the bars, ***$p \leqslant 0.001$.

We found that three years exclusion of muskoxen led to both rapid and marked effects on vegetation composition and density, with an increase in total biomass and fresh moss and litter biomass and a decrease in the density of vascular plant tillers. An increase in the amount of litter inside the exclosures was expected (Henry *et al* 1990, Henry 1998, Van der Wal and Brooker 2004) as the lack of biomass loss to herbivores, combined with the very slow decomposition rate in the arctic (Tarnocai *et al* 2009), most likely will lead to increased litter accumulation in ungrazed areas. Mosses grow slowly and are very sensitive towards disturbance such as trampling (Liddle 1997), which may explain the increase in mosses when muskoxen were excluded (figure 1). In line with our results, the majority of other arctic studies have found an increasing moss-layer with exclusion or reduction in herbivore numbers (e.g., Zimov *et al* 1995, Van der Wal and Brooker 2004, Van der Wal *et al* 2007).

The increased amount of litter and the more developed moss-layer found in ungrazed plots, may cause a need for physiological adaptations in graminoids, in order for them to survive under the altered living conditions. We found indications of physiological adaptations with significantly longer tillers of *Dupontia* and *Eriophorum* in EX than in C areas (table 3), most likely due to shading by the moss-layer and litter. Subsequently, the need for resource allocation to longer leaves seems to feedback to the number of tillers produced, which was lower in EX areas (tables 2 and 3). Additionally, the higher number of tillers in grazed areas may be linked to grazing on their flowering shoots, which in turn will affect the plant's ability to set new flowers that year, and the plant may therefore instead allocate carbon to more shoot/tiller formation. In support of this speculation, already the first year into the experiment it was visible that the number of flowering Eriophorum was higher in EX than in C areas (see photo from 2011 and 2013 figure 3). These findings are consistent with several arctic studies in both heath and mires, where reduction in herbivore

numbers resulted in a decrease in graminoids (e.g. Zimov *et al* 1995, Henry 1998, Van der Wal and Brooker 2004, Post and Pedersen 2008, Kitti *et al* 2009,). The magnitude of the decrease in our study was somewhat larger than found in other long-term studies (Kitti *et al* 2009, Olofsson *et al* 2004b, Vaisanen *et al* 2014). In contrast to our findings, some studies have shown a shrub expansion with herbivore exclusion and temperature increase, with a time delay of approximately 5 years (Olofsson *et al* 2004a, Post and Pedersen 2008). It cannot be excluded that shrubs may become more dominant in EX areas over time in our experiment. As stated by Francini *et al* (2014), a time scale of ten years is not enough to observe a major shift in the vegetation community. The relatively short time period of our experiment renders it unlikely that we would find significant indications of a shrub expansion, which most likely will be a very slow process since the area is positioned in the high arctic and is dominated by slow growing shrubs, e.g., *Salix arctica*, *Cassiope tetragona*, *Dryas octopetala* and *Vaccinium uliginosum*.

Strong relationships between NEE and the living plant biomass have been found in several previous studies (e.g. Ström and Christensen 2007, Sjögersten *et al* 2008). The relationship is generally attributed to a close link between photosynthetically active biomass and ecosystem carbon uptake. A majority of studies have found that the net ecosystem uptake of CO_2 (NEE) decreases with higher grazing pressure (e.g. Sjögersten *et al* 2011, Cahoon *et al* 2012, Falk *et al* 2014, Vaisanen *et al* 2014). In contrast we found a significant decrease in NEE three years after herbivore exclusion and in GPP already after two years (table 4). The rapid response in GPP following removal of grazing may be explained by the decrease in density of tillers in EX areas (tables 2 and 3), as tiller density is a major determinant of NEE. Very few studies have looked at how herbivory in arctic mires feeds back on the ecosystem carbon balance. The difference between our findings and others is likely explained by differences in ecosystem type, grazing pressure, time scale and/or grazer community studied.

We found a large inter-annual difference in the magnitude of the mean CO_2 fluxes (table 4). The study was, however, only conducted over three years and it is not possible to draw any certain conclusions as to what primarily controls this variation. It can, however, be speculated that the inter-annual differences in NEE are largely due to variations in plant productivity as a result of different climatic conditions between the years. NEE was particularly low in 2013, which was very dry and cold compared to 2011 and 2012. These conditions will most likely lead to a reduction in plant growth and productivity. Offering some support for this argument, the number of tillers was significantly lower in 2013 than in 2011 (table 2). The dry conditions in 2013 may in contrast increase R_{eco} since aerobic decomposition rates increase (Oberbauer

Figure 3. Photo of block four, in front is the control treatment, while the exclosure is seen behind, (a) = 4 August 2011, (b) = 2 July 2013.

et al 1992), which may offer some explanation to the generally higher R_{eco} values in 2013 (table 4). Irrespective of the inter-annual variations, both C and EX areas had a negative NEE and acted as C-sinks in all years, although the magnitude of this sink varied between years and treatment. Three years into the experiment it was obvious that removal of grazing decreased the C-sink function of the ecosystem, as the mean CO_2 uptake in EX was almost half of that in grazed areas (table 4).

Very few studies have to date focused on the effect of herbivory on CH_4 fluxes in wet high arctic habitats (Sjögersten *et al* 2011, Falk *et al* 2014) and the findings from these studies contrasts with our finding of a 44% lower CH_4 emission in EX than in C areas following three years of exclusion. In a study on Svalbard, Sjögersten *et al* (2011) reported no changes in the CH_4 fluxes between grazed and un-grazed plots after 4 years of exclusion of geese. However, the CH_4 fluxes reported in this study were very low and the different grazing pattern of grubbing geese may not make this ecosystem and its responses fully comparable with our study. In a recent study in the same Zackenberg mire we found lower CH_4 emission in plots clipped to simulate increased grazing (Falk *et al* 2014), which as for NEE, showed that there is a clear difference in the ecosystem response to changes in grazing pressure alone, and to the actual removal of the grazers (i.e. excluding both grazing and trampling etc).

High plant productivity, i.e. GPP and NEE, have been found to stimulate CH_4 emission in several studies (e.g. Joabsson and Christensen 2001, Ström and Christensen 2007, Lai *et al* 2014). Consequently, it is very likely that the lower CH_4 flux in EX compared to C areas in 2013 is related to lower GPP and NEE in these areas and as a consequence a lower substrate availability for CH_4 production. Also supporting this is the low number of *Eriophorum* tillers in the EX (table 4). We have previously shown that there is a clear linkage between CH_4 flux, the number of *Eriophorum* tillers and the amount of labile substrate for

CH_4 production in the Zackenberg mire (Ström *et al* 2012, Falk *et al* 2014) with higher *Eriophorum* coverage leading to higher substrate availability and CH_4 flux. Studies from other ecosystems (or performed in laboratory) confirm the importance of *Eriophorum* species for methane emissions (e.g. Greenup *et al* 2000, Joabsson and Christensen 2001, Ström *et al* 2003, Ström and Christensen 2007) and substrate availability (acetic acid) in pore water (Ström *et al* 2003, 2005, Ström and Christensen 2007). Additional and possibly additive explanations to the lower CH_4 flux in EX than in C areas in 2013 may be the lower tiller number, lower T_s and higher WtD in EX this year (table 4). As both WtD and vascular transport of CH_4 from anoxic peat depth to the atmosphere may affect the rate of methane oxidation in oxic peat layers (e.g. Bellisario *et al* 1999, Greenup *et al* 2000) and CH_4 flux and production can increase with T_s (e.g. Tagesson *et al* 2013).

It may be speculated that the lower T_s in EX compared to C in 2013 was due to the thicker moss-layer. Van der Wal *et al* (2001) and Van der Wal and Brooker (2004) found a temperature decrease in the same order of magnitude as the one in our study in response to decreased grazing and attributed this increase to moss being an effective heat insulator with its low thermal conductance. In addition, shading by the standing litter (Henry 1998) may together with the higher reflection of dry litter (Lorenzen and Jensen 1988) contribute to further cooling of the soil. The results for T_s in our study was, however, ambiguous since it was significantly lower in EX than in C in 2011 and 2013, while in 2012 it was significantly higher in EX (table 4). Similarly, for the relationship between AL and T_s: in 2013 the lower T_s in EX areas seemed to significantly affect AL. This relationship was, however, not validated either in 2011 or 2012. Consequently, these relationships need further attention before any valid conclusions can be drawn.

A complicating factor that to some extent affects our ability to draw fully clear conclusions regarding

the effect of muskoxen exclusion from the results of this study is that we in 2013 also found significant differences between C and SC areas in several variables, similar to what was found for EX, however, less pronounced (figure 1 and table 4). As previously, stated WtD and T_s may affect CH_4 flux, as might vegetation composition and structure. Winter precipitation and snow-cover have large effects on the hydrological regime of the landscape (Zimov *et al* 1996, Fahnestock *et al* 1999), with more snow leading to decreased oxygen availability and an increase in the CH_4 flux. In contrast, more snow may decrease the length of the growing season and the productivity of the ecosystem possibly having negative effects on the CH_4 flux. In early spring 2012, two years after the installation of the exclosures, we measured the snow depth in all treatments, and found no significant effects of the fences (Schmidt, unpublished results). Christiansen (2001) found that snow-depth in Zackenberg often exceeded 1 m, consequently, the depth would in general exceed the height of the fences used in this study. We also find it rather unlikely that the large mesh size (10×10 cm) of the fences should substantially affect the snow depth. An alternative explanation for the differences between C and SC may be found in the foraging behavior of muskoxen around the fences as significant higher biomass of mosses (figure 1) indicates that muskoxen are grazing and trampling less in these areas. The effect of the snow fences in this experimental set-up however requires further studies in order for it to be fully evaluated.

In conclusion, this study shows that a change in the abundance of muskoxen at Zackenberg may rapidly alter the structure and vegetation composition, and ultimately affect the carbon balance. Based on previous experiments (Falk *et al* 2014) we hypothesized that exclusion of grazing would lead to an increase in the number of vascular plant tillers, which in turn would lead to an increase in NEE, GPP and CH_4 emission. These hypotheses were not supported by the findings of this study and instead we found the opposite, with a decrease in tiller number, NEE and CH_4 emission. We believe that the most likely reason for these opposing findings were the observed changes in vegetation structure. Factors such as a more developed moss-layer found in exclosures that resulted in decreased tiller formation and hereby a lower CO_2 uptake seemed to exert a stronger disturbance compared to grazing alone. Although, both grazed and ungrazed areas acted as CO_2 sinks during the growing season, the sink function decreases substantially in ungrazed plots. The removal of grazing in addition decreased the CH_4 flux, presumably due to lower substrate availability for CH_4 production as a result of a decrease in the productivity and abundance of *Eriophorum* in ungrazed areas. Further studies are however needed to fully understand the C-balance of these ecosystems and the intricate interactions between herbivores and ecosystem development in these regions.

References

Arndal M, Illeris L, Michelsen A, Albert K, Tamstorf M and Hansen B 2009 Seasonal variation in gross ecosystem production, plant biomass, and carbon and nitrogen pools in five high arctic vegetation types *Arctic Antarct. Alpine Res.* **41** 164–73

Bellisario L M, Bubier J L, Moore T R and Chanton J P 1999 Controles on CH_4 emissions from a nothern peatland *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **13** 81–91

Cahoon S M P, Sullivan P F, Post E and Welker J M 2012 Large herbivores limit CO_2 uptake and suppress carbon cycle responses to warming in West Greenland *Glob. Change Biol.* **18** 469–79

Chapin F S 1980 Nutrient allocation and responses to defoliation in tundra plants *Arctic Alpine Res.* **12** 553–63

Christiansen H H 2001 Snow-cover depth, distribution and duration data from northeast Greenland obtained by continuous automatic digital photography *Ann. Glaciol.* **32** 102–8

Christiansen H H, Sigsgaard C, Humlum O, Rasch M and Hansen B U 2008 Permafrost and periglacial geomorphology at zackenberg *Adv. Ecol. Res.* **40** 151–74

Cicerone R J and Oremland R S 1988 Biogeochemical aspects of atmospheric methane *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2** 299–327

Crill P M, Bartlett K B, Harriss R C, Gorham E, Verry E S, Sebacher D I, Madzar L and Sanner W 1988 Methane flux from Minnesota Peatlands *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **2** 371–84

Fahnestock J T, Jones M H and Welker J M 1999 Wintertime CO_2 efflux from arctic soils: implications for annual carbon budgets *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **13** 775–9

Falk J M, Schmidt N M and Ström L 2014 Effects of simulated increased grazing on carbon allocation patterns in a high arctic mire *Biogeochemistry* **119** 229–44

Forchhammer M C, Post E, Stenseth N C and Boertmann D M 2002 Long-term responses in arctic ungulate dynamics to changes in climatic and trophic processes *Population Ecol.* **44** 113–20

Forchhammer M C, Schmidt N M, Hoye T T, Berg T B, Hendrichsen D K and Post E 2008 Population dynamical responses to climate change *Adv. Ecol. Res.* **40** 391–420

Francini G, Liiri M, Männistö M, Stark S and Kytöviita M-M 2014 Response to reindeer grazing removal depends on soil characteristics in low arctic meadows *Appl. Soil Ecol.* **76** 14–25

Greenup A L, Bradford M A, Mcnamara N P, Ineson P and Lee J A 2000 The role of Eriophorum vaginatum in CH_4 flux from an ombrotrophic peatland *Plant Soil* **227** 265–72

Hansen B U, Sigsgaard C, Rasmussen L, Cappelen J, Hinkler J, Mernild S H, Petersen D, Tamstorf M P, Rasch M and

Hasholt B 2008 Present-day climate at Zackenberg *Adv. Ecol. Res.* **40** 111–49

Henry G H R, Svoboda J and Freedman B 1990 Standing crop and net production of sedge meadows of an ungrazed polar desert oasis *Can. J. Bot.* **68** 2660–7

Henry G R 1998 Environmental influences on the structure of sedge meadows in the Canadian high arctic *Plant Ecol.* **134** 119–29

Joabsson A and Christensen T R 2001 Methane emissions from wetlands and their relationship with vascular plants: an arctic example *Glob. Change Biol.* **7** 919–32

Kitti H, Forbes B and Oksanen J 2009 Long- and short-term effects of reindeer grazing on tundra wetland vegetation *Polar Biol.* **32** 253–61

Kristensen D K, Kristensen E, Forchhammer M C, Michelsen A and Schmidt N M 2011 Arctic herbivore diet can be inferred from stable carbon and nitrogen isotopes in C3 plants, faeces, and wool *Can. J. Zoology* **89** 892–9

Lai D Y F, Roulet N T and Moore T R 2014 The spatial and temporal relationships between CO_2 and CH_4 exchange in a temperate ombrotrophic bog *Atmos. Environ.* **89** 249–59

Liddle M 1997 *Recreation Ecology: the Ecological Impact of Outdoor Recreation and Ecotourism* (London: Chapman and Hall)

Lindroth A *et al* 2007 Environmental controls on the CO_2 exchange in north European mires *Tellus* B **59** 812–25

Lorenzen B and Jensen A 1988 Reflectance of blue, green, red and near infrared radiation from wetland vegetation used in a model discriminating live and dead above ground biomass *New Phytologist* **108** 345–55

Lund M, Christensen T R, Mastepanov M, Lindroth A and Ström L 2009 Effects of N and P fertilization on the greenhouse gas exchange in two northern peatlands with contrasting N deposition rates *Biogeosciences* **6** 2135–44

Mikaloff Fletcher S E, Tans P P, Bruhwiler L M, Miller J B and Heimann M 2004 CH_4 sources estimated from atmospheric observations of CH_4 and its 13C/12C isotopic ratios: 2. Inverse modeling of CH_4 fluxes from geographical regions *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **18** GB4005

Mulder C P H 1999 Vertebrate herbivores and plants in the arctic and subarctic: effects on individuals, populations, communities and ecosystems *Perspect. Plant Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **2** 29–55

Oberbauer S F, Gillespie C T, Cheng W, Gebauer R, Serra A S and Tenhunen J D 1992 Environmental effects on CO_2 efflux from riparian tundra in the northern foothills of the Brooks Range, Alaska, USA *Oecologia* **92** 568–77

Olff H, Ritchie M E and Prins H H T 2002 Global environmental controls of diversity in large herbivores *Nature* **415** 901–4

Olofsson J, E Hulme P, Oksanen L and Suominen O 2004a Importance of large and small mammalian herbivores for the plant community structure in the forest tundra ecotone *Oikos* **106** 324–34

Olofsson J, Stark S and Oksanen L 2004b Reindeer influence on ecosystem processes in the tundra *Oikos* **105** 386–96

Post E and Pedersen C 2008 Opposing plant community responses to warming with and without herbivores *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105** 12353–8

Schmidt *et al* 2015 unpublished

Sjögersten S, Van der Wal R, Loonen M J E and Woodin S J 2011 Recovery of ecosystem carbon fluxes and storage from herbivory *Biogeochemistry* **106** 357–70

Sjögersten S, Van der Wal R and Woodin S J 2008 Habitat type determines herbivory controls over CO_2 fluxes in a warmer arctic *Ecology* **89** 2103–16

Sjögersten S, Yanez-Serrano A, Llurba R, Ribas A and Sebastià M T 2012 Temperature and moisture controls of C fluxes in grazed subalpine grasslands *Arctic Antarct. Alpine Res.* **44** 239–46

Stendel M, Christensen J H and Petersen D 2008 Arctic climate and climate change with a focus on Greenland *Adv. Ecol. Res.* **40** 13–43

Ström L and Christensen T R 2007 Below ground carbon turnover and greenhouse gas exchanges in a sub-arctic wetland *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **39** 1689–98

Ström L, Ekberg A, Mastepanov M and Christensen T R 2003 The effect of vascular plants on carbon turnover and methane emissions from a tundra wetland *Glob. Change Biol.* **9** 1185–92

Ström L, Mastepanov M and Christensen T R 2005 Species-specific effects of vascular plants on carbon turnover and methane emissions from wetlands *Biogeochemistry* **75** 65–82

Ström L, Tagesson T, Mastepanov M and Christensen T R 2012 Presence of Eriophorum scheuchzeri enhances substrate availability and methane emission in an arctic wetland *Soil Biol. Biochem.* **45** 61–70

Tagesson T *et al* 2013 Modelling of growing season methane fluxes in a high-arctic wet tundra ecosystem 1997–2010 using *in situ* and high-resolution satellite data *Tellus* B **65** 19722

Tanentzap A J and Coomes D A 2012 Carbon storage in terrestrial ecosystems: do browsing and grazing herbivores matter? *Biol. Rev.* **87** 72–94

Tarnocai C, Canadell J G, Schuur E A G, Kuhry P, Mazhitova G and Zimov S 2009 Soil organic carbon pools in the northern circumpolar permafrost region *Glob. Biogeochem. Cycles* **23** GB2023

Torn M S and Chapin F S 1993 Environmental and biotic controls over methane flux from arctic tundra *Chemosphere* **26** 357–68

Vaisanen M, Ylanne H, Kaarlejarvi E, Sjogersten S, Olofsson J, Crout N and Stark S 2014 Consequences of warming on tundra carbon balance determined by reindeer grazing history *Nat. Clim. Change* **4** 384–8

Van der Wal R, Van Lieshout S M J and Loonen M J J E 2001 Herbivore impact on moss depth, soil temperature and arctic plant growth *Polar Biol.* **24** 29–32

Van der Wal R and Brooker R W 2004 Mosses mediate grazer impacts on grass abundance in Arctic ecosystems *Funct. Ecol.* **18** 77–86

Van der Wal R, Sjögersten S, Woodin S J, Cooper E J, Jonsdottir I S, Kuijper D, Fox T A D and Huiskes A D 2007 Spring feeding by pink-footed geese reduces carbon stocks and sink strength in tundra ecosystems *Glob. Change Biol.* **13** 539–45

Waddington J M, Roulet N T and Swanson R V 1996 Water table control of CH_4 emission enhancement by vascular plants in boreal peatlands *J. Geophys. Res.* **101** 22775–85

Welker J, Fahnestock J, Povirk K, Bilbrough C and Piper R 2004 Alpine Grassland CO_2 exchange and nitrogen cycling: grazing history effects, medicine bow range, wyoming, USA *Arctic Antarct. Alpine Res.* **36** 11–20

Zimov S A, Chuprynin V I, Oreshko A P, Iii F S C, Reynolds J F and Chapin M C 1995 Steppe-tundra transition: a herbivore-driven biome shift at the end of the pleistocene *Am. Naturalist* **146** 765–94

Zimov S A, Davidov S P, Voropaev Y V, Prosiannikov S F, Semiletov I P, Chapin M C and Chapin F S 1996 Siberian CO_2 efflux in winter as a CO_2 source and cause of seasonality in atmospheric CO_2 *Clim. Change* **33** 111–20