

## Reducing the negative human-health impacts of bioenergy crop emissions through region-specific crop selection

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text.

2015 Environ. Res. Lett. 10 054004

(<http://iopscience.iop.org/1748-9326/10/5/054004>)

View [the table of contents for this issue](#), or go to the [journal homepage](#) for more

Download details:

IP Address: 210.77.64.106

This content was downloaded on 13/04/2017 at 01:33

Please note that [terms and conditions apply](#).

You may also be interested in:

[Simulated changes in biogenic VOC emissions and ozone formation from habitat expansion of Acer Rubrum \(red maple\)](#)

Beth A Drewniak, Peter K Snyder, Allison L Steiner et al.

[The impact of European legislative and technology measures to reduce air pollutants on air quality, human health and climate](#)

S T Turnock, E W Butt, T B Richardson et al.

[Health benefits of reducing NOx emissions in the presence of epidemiological and atmospheric nonlinearities](#)

A J Pappin, A Hakami, P Blagden et al.

[Investigating afforestation and bioenergy CCS as climate change mitigation strategies](#)

Florian Humpenöder, Alexander Popp, Jan Philip Dietrich et al.

[Public health impacts of excess NOx emissions from Volkswagen diesel passenger vehicles in Germany](#)

Guillaume P Chossière, Robert Malina, Akshay Ashok et al.

[Inventories and scenarios of nitrous oxide emissions](#)

Eric A Davidson and David Kanter

[To what extent can China's near-term air pollution control policy protect air quality and human health? A case study of the Pearl River Delta region](#)

Xujia Jiang, Chaopeng Hong, Yixuan Zheng et al.

[Quantifying the climate impacts of albedo changes due to biofuel production: a comparison with biogeochemical effects](#)

Fabio Caiazzo, Robert Malina, Mark D Staples et al.

## Environmental Research Letters



## LETTER

## Reducing the negative human-health impacts of bioenergy crop emissions through region-specific crop selection

## OPEN ACCESS

## RECEIVED

17 December 2014

## REVISED

16 March 2015

## ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION

31 March 2015

## PUBLISHED

6 May 2015

Content from this work may be used under the terms of the [Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 licence](#).

Any further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the title of the work, journal citation and DOI.

William C Porter<sup>1,4</sup>, Todd N Rosenstiel<sup>1</sup>, Alex Guenther<sup>2</sup>, Jean-Francois Lamarque<sup>3</sup> and Kelley Barsanti<sup>1</sup><sup>1</sup> Portland State University, Portland, OR 97201, USA<sup>2</sup> Pacific Northwest National Laboratory, Richland, WA 99354, USA<sup>3</sup> National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO 80305, USA<sup>4</sup> Current address: Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA.E-mail: [wporter@mit.edu](mailto:wporter@mit.edu)**Keywords:** bioenergy, air quality, climate changeSupplementary material for this article is available [online](#)**Abstract**

An expected global increase in bioenergy-crop cultivation as an alternative to fossil fuels will have consequences on both global climate and local air quality through changes in biogenic emissions of volatile organic compounds (VOCs). While greenhouse gas emissions may be reduced through the substitution of next-generation bioenergy crops such as eucalyptus, giant reed, and switchgrass for fossil fuels, the choice of species has important ramifications for human health, potentially reducing the benefits of conversion due to increases in ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) levels as a result of large changes in biogenic emissions. Using the Community Earth System Model we simulate the conversion of marginal and underutilized croplands worldwide to bioenergy crops under varying future anthropogenic emissions scenarios. A conservative global replacement using high VOC-emitting crop profiles leads to modeled population-weighted O<sub>3</sub> increases of 5–27 ppb in India, 1–9 ppb in China, and 1–6 ppb in the United States, with peak PM<sub>2.5</sub> increases of up to 2 μg m<sup>-3</sup>. We present a metric for the regional evaluation of candidate bioenergy crops, as well as results for the application of this metric to four representative emissions profiles using four replacement scales (10–100% maximum estimated available land). Finally, we assess the total health and climate impacts of biogenic emissions, finding that the negative consequences of using high-emitting crops could exceed 50% of the positive benefits of reduced fossil fuel emissions in value.

**1. Introduction**

As bioenergy crops continue to replace both existing agricultural crops and natural landscapes, the choice of crop species will become increasingly important given their likely impacts on air quality and climate. The use of crops such as poplar, eucalyptus, and switchgrass as bioenergy feedstocks has increased globally over the past decade, and while ongoing adoption trends are highly dependent on both economic outcomes and policy decisions, significant increases are expected to continue (Energy Information Administration 2013). The large scale land-use changes associated with bioenergy production will have consequences on many aspects of environmental and human health, including food supply, watershed cleanliness, soil quality, and ecological diversity (e.g.

Service 2007, Dominguez-Faus *et al* 2009, Ditomaso *et al* 2010, Pedroli *et al* 2013). In some cases, the environmental costs associated with bioenergy production and consumption have been estimated to outweigh the benefits of reduced fossil fuel combustion completely (Hill *et al* 2009, Tessum *et al* 2014). In addition to these concerns, many of the most popular candidates for bioenergy crop feedstocks are high-emitters of isoprene, monoterpenes, and other biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs), precursors of surface-level ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) and fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>). Recent observational and modeling studies of existing bioenergy crop plantations have highlighted the importance of examining ambient chemical and climatological conditions, along with crop emission profiles, when assessing the potential for large-scale cultivation to effect negative air quality

consequences in any given area (Hewitt *et al* 2009, Porter *et al* 2012). Thus far, however, strategies to inform regional energy crop selection and limit the negative impacts of bioenergy cultivation on air quality and human health have not been adequately addressed.

With a global single-year death toll of seven million, air pollution has now been identified as the most significant environmental risk to human health (WHO 2014). Over half of the total estimated air pollution related deaths are linked to outdoor exposure, particularly to elevated levels of O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> (Brauer *et al* 2012). These pollutants largely are secondary, forming *in situ* as a result of chemical reactions involving both naturally and anthropogenically emitted precursors (Sillman 1999, de Gouw and Jimenez 2009), making effective control of their ambient concentrations particularly challenging. For both O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, fluxes of BVOCs can play a crucial role in determining peak daily pollutant concentrations. While all plants emit BVOCs to some extent, there is enormous variability in the magnitude of emission rates between different plant species (Guenther *et al* 1995). Thus, large-scale landscape-level changes in the type and quantity of plants can have profound consequences on regional air quality by affecting the rates of BVOC emissions, and therefore rates of O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> formation (Wiedinmyer *et al* 2006, Ashworth *et al* 2012).

While recent work has examined the air quality consequences of both theoretical and observed land-use changes driven by bioenergy crop cultivation (Porter *et al* 2012, Ashworth *et al* 2013), a global study comparing targeted likely bioenergy crop emission profiles has not yet been performed. This comparison is important, since the differences between the highest and lowest emitting candidate crops are large: eucalyptus and other woody energy crops rank among the highest of known BVOC emitters (Guenther *et al* 1994, Street *et al* 1997, Padhy and Varshney 2005, Hewitt *et al* 1990, Winters *et al* 2009, Owen 2001), while rapidly growing cellulosic alternatives such as switchgrass (*Panicum sp.*) and Miscanthus (*Miscanthus x Giganteus*) are among the lowest (Graus *et al* 2011, Eller *et al* 2011). Since the conversion of existing fossil-fuel based energy sources to these modern bioenergy cropping systems will presumably be driven by climate and air quality concerns, choosing feedstocks that do not undermine these efforts through drastic changes in biogenic emissions will be critical. As modern bioenergy methods continue to be developed and applied, the regional selection of feedstock crop will naturally be based on a number of agronomic criteria, including growth rates, hardiness, ease of cultivation and harvesting, and suitability for efficient conversion to energy. It is the purpose of this paper to assess whether biogenic emissions must also be added to emerging feedstock crop-selection criteria in areas demonstrating high air quality sensitivities.

## 2. Methodology

In this work, the air quality and climatic impacts of increased biogenic emissions due to a global transition to bioenergy crop cultivation are examined, and considerations for reducing these impacts are proposed and evaluated. To compare these impacts, we model the climate and air quality consequences of large-scale conversion of underutilized land to bioenergy crop cultivation using the NCAR chemistry climate model Community Earth System Model (CESM) 1.1, including version 4 of the Community Atmosphere Model (Lamarque *et al* 2012, Neale *et al* 2013; additional model and crop replacement details available in supplementary data available at [stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia](http://stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia)). We use three characteristic replacement crop emission profiles representing a range of emission types: trace isoprene emissions (LOW case), high isoprene emissions (ISO case), and high isoprene and monoterpene emissions (ISO + MT case). We also generate a fourth case using an average of all three emission types to represent an intermediate emissions scenario (MIXED). We then use each of these four profiles to simulate varying degrees of land-use change in areas identified as underutilized (Cai *et al* 2010) under both current and projected future anthropogenic emissions scenarios (Meinshausen *et al* 2011), and compare them to base cases using non-emitting land rather than a replacement crop.

We run a total of three base cases and 48 replacement cases (table 1), representing a wide range of total replaced area (143–1430 Mha) and emissions profiles (low to high BVOC emitters) using both current and projected anthropogenic emissions and ambient temperatures. Projections of future total biomass energy production potentials are highly variable, mostly due to uncertainties in quantifying land availability and likely crop yields (Berndes *et al* 2003). For much of this study, the ‘Moderate’ replacement scale, representing 25% of the full potential area, or around 277 Mha (supplementary table 1 available at [stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia](http://stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia)), is examined in detail. Recent literature estimates the area of global abandoned agricultural land at approximately 400 Mha (Campbell *et al* 2008), making the Moderate case a relatively conservative estimate. We analyze the global model results for six regions with the largest estimates of potentially available land area: Africa, China, Europe, India, South America and the United States. Estimates of net energy gain (NEG) per hectare of energy crop vary widely, even within individual species (Collura *et al* 2006, Lewandowski and Schmidt 2006, Angelini *et al* 2009, Schmer *et al* 2009, 2014, US Department of Energy 2011), but conservative NEG values suggest that land-use conversion in the most aggressive scenarios could generate approximately 10% of current global electricity production.

In addition to comparing pollutant changes between crop profiles and anthropogenic emissions

**Table 1.** Settings used for all 46 simulated cases (above), and changes to population-weighted NO<sub>x</sub> resulting from modified anthropogenic emissions (below).

Crop emission types (4 + base)	Replacement scales (4)	Anthropogenic emissions (3)
Base	none	current <sup>a</sup> , RCP4.5 <sup>b</sup> , RCP8.5 <sup>b</sup>
ISO + MT	100%, 50%, 25%, 10%	current <sup>a</sup> , RCP4.5 <sup>b</sup> , RCP8.5 <sup>b</sup>
ISO	100%, 50%, 25%, 10%	current <sup>a</sup> , RCP4.5 <sup>b</sup> , RCP8.5 <sup>b</sup>
LOW	100%, 50%, 25%, 10%	current <sup>a</sup> , RCP4.5 <sup>b</sup> , RCP8.5 <sup>b</sup>
MIXED (average of above profiles)	100%, 50%, 25%, 10%	current <sup>a</sup> , RCP4.5 <sup>b</sup> , RCP8.5 <sup>b</sup>
Region	RCP4.5 NO <sub>x</sub>	RCP8.5 NO <sub>x</sub>
Africa	−5%	−3%
China	+3%	+59%
Europe	−41%	−35%
India	+47%	+53%
South America	−17%	−13%
United States	−49%	−42%

<sup>a</sup> Default CESM emissions via POET, REAS, GFED2.

<sup>b</sup> Lamarque *et al* (2011).

scenarios, we perform an analysis of human-health impacts of alternative cropping scenarios. Using population distribution data and concentration response estimates we estimate the number of lives affected by degraded air quality and assess a health cost on a regional basis (Jerrett *et al* 2009, Krewski *et al* 2009, Anenberg *et al* 2010). We then weight changes in O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> by population density and normalize results by replacement scale to generate an aggregate ‘energy crop air quality score’ (ECAQS) for each crop and region. Following the examination of urban tree selection and air quality effects presented in Donovan *et al* 2005, the ECAQS score can be understood as the population-weighted average change in air quality (measured relative to WHO standards for O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) per 10 Mha of energy crop planted in that region, allowing for a normalized measure of AQ sensitivity to variation in crop type and regional abundance. An ECAQS score of negative ten, for example, indicates that the population will see an average increase in pollutant concentrations equivalent to 10% of their respective standards.


### 3. Results and discussion

#### 3.1. Air quality impacts

Our model results show highly non-linear, region-specific air quality impacts of bioenergy crop selection (figure 1), demonstrating the need for regional crop selections taking local sensitivities into account. Simulated O<sub>3</sub> generally increase for all three high-emitting crop types, with especially large summertime effects predicted in the following regions: India (average increases of 5–27 ppb population-weighted summertime O<sub>3</sub> for the Moderate replacement cases), China (1–9 ppb O<sub>3</sub>), and the United States (1–6 ppb O<sub>3</sub>). However, changes in O<sub>3</sub> levels are low or even negative in areas exhibiting already low NO<sub>x</sub>/volatile organic

compound (VOC) ratios, such as South America, where changes in O<sub>3</sub> range from −1 ppb in the summer to +1 ppb in the winter for the Moderate replacement scale ISO and ISO + MT cases, respectively. Secondary organic aerosol formation in all regions is primarily driven by monoterpene emissions, characteristic of the ISO + MT and MIXED cases. ISO replacement cases show some PM<sub>2.5</sub> response, but at much reduced levels, while the LOW cases show negligible impacts on PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations. Unlike O<sub>3</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels consistently increase with greater crop replacement area. PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels also show much less sensitivity to future changes in climate and emissions. In China and India maximum population-weighted changes in PM<sub>2.5</sub> approach 2 μg m<sup>−3</sup> for the ISO + MT case.

Since the health risks associated with PM<sub>2.5</sub> exceed those of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> relative to the magnitudes of changes evident in this study, the high monoterpene emissions of the ISO + MT cases result in the greatest estimated health costs among the four crop types, with over 410 000 premature deaths worldwide associated with the Moderate replacement level (see supplementary data for more details on mortality estimate calculations (available at [stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia](http://stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia))). Using the reduced emissions of the ISO-only profile leads to 328 000 premature deaths, while conversion of land using LOW emissions shows only very small changes in O<sub>3</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and therefore negligible increases in premature deaths. Notably, mixing the three crop types (MIXED) proves to be more detrimental than might be expected, with mortality increases almost identical to those of the ISO case worldwide, and greater than the average of the three emissions cases for each individual region. This may be related to nonlinearities in pollutant formation, and therefore in the resulting changes in mortality estimates. The scale of estimated land availability and dense population distributions in India, Africa, and




China makes health effects in those areas the most sensitive to increased VOC emissions from bioenergy crops. These areas, already among the most affected by outdoor air pollution (Cohen *et al* 2005), account for approximately 70% of the increased mortality worldwide.

The extent to which air quality concerns should be taken into account in the selection of bioenergy crops on a regional basis can be evaluated quantitatively by comparing differences in the ECAQS for each crop and region (table 2). Total scores for ISO + MT emissions at the Moderate replacement scale range from

**Table 2.** Average Energy Crop Air Quality Scores by region and pollutant for the Moderate replacement scale cases. ECAQS represents change in population-weighted, standard-normalized air quality per 10 Mha of energy crop planted. Peak column represents the maximum monthly average change by crop and region.

	Africa				China				Europe			
	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Total	Peak	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Total	Peak	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Total	Peak
ISO + MT	-0.6	-1.0	-1.6	-2.2	-1.8	-2.1	-3.8	-6.1	-0.8	-0.6	-1.4	-3.1
ISO	-0.6	-0.6	-1.2	-1.7	-1.9	-1.1	-3.0	-4.7	-0.8	-0.5	-1.4	-3.0
LOW	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	-0.1	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MIX	-0.5	-0.5	-1.0	-1.3	-1.8	-1.2	-3.0	-4.8	-0.6	-0.4	-1.0	-2.3
	India				South America				United States			
	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Total	Peak	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Total	Peak	O <sub>3</sub>	PM <sub>2.5</sub>	Total	Peak
ISO + MT	-7.5	-6.9	-14.4	-23.1	-0.1	-0.8	-0.9	-1.2	-1.2	-1.7	-2.9	-5.2
ISO	-7.6	-3.8	-11.4	-18.3	-0.1	-0.6	-0.7	-1.0	-1.3	-1.0	-2.3	-4.2
LOW	0.0	-0.1	-0.1	-0.2	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0
MIX	-6.7	-3.6	-10.3	-16.4	-0.1	-0.5	-0.5	-0.8	-1.1	-1.0	-2.1	-3.7



−0.9 in South America, where low NO<sub>x</sub> levels and a fairly disperse human population keeps health impacts modest; to −14.4 in India, where the density of human population and replacement areas make the air quality consequences of crop selection an especially important factor.

The potential increase in productivity of higher-emitting crops when compared to low-emitting crops such as miscanthus or switchgrass may be justifiable


when the population-weighted impacts of the higher emissions are relatively low, as in Africa and South America. On the other hand, the larger impacts shown in the other four regions suggest that finding low-emitting solutions may be crucial for any region-wide implementation of a bioenergy cultivation strategy. Furthermore, comparing the ISO + MT and ISO cases highlights the relative importance of monoterpene and isoprene emissions in each region. While PM<sub>2.5</sub>

impacts are larger for the ISO + MT case in all regions, in some areas the health effects of increased  $O_3$  may outweigh those of  $PM_{2.5}$ , reducing the difference between isoprene-only emitters such as giant reed and monoterpene emitters such as eucalyptus. The combined health benefits of using lower-emitting crops for bioenergy production is evident in figure 2, where summed impacts of  $O_3$  and  $PM_{2.5}$  changes for the ISO + MT case are shown in red, with regional benefits of lower-emitting options (in deaths prevented per energy produced) shown as inset bar plots.

### 3.2. Climatic impacts

In addition to local air quality effects, a worldwide shift towards high VOC-emitting bioenergy crop cultivation would have global climatological impacts, including possible changes to methane ( $CH_4$ ) lifetimes and the aerosol radiative effect. The highest crop emission case (100% ISO + MT replacement) results in globally averaged OH levels reduced by approximately 20% compared to the base simulation after correcting for estimated  $HO_x$  recycling impacts (Lelieveld *et al* 2008, Petters *et al* 2009, da Silva *et al* 2010; see supplementary data available at [stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia](http://stacks.iop.org/ERL/10/054004/mmedia)). While  $CH_4$  emissions worldwide are projected to decline over the 21st century under all but the highest emitting scenarios (Meinshausen *et al* 2011), a reduction in the OH concentration of this magnitude as a result of bioenergy crop emissions would increase average  $CH_4$  lifetimes by up to two years, leading to higher concentrations and a corresponding increase in  $CH_4$ -induced warming. Although reduced replacement areas and lower-emitting crops would have a lesser impact on  $CH_4$ , the inclusion of even small impacts on  $CH_4$  may be important additions to the overall cost-benefit calculations associated with bioenergy crop selection. Based on OH reductions in the simulations for current atmospheric conditions, changes to the  $CH_4$  radiative effect could be as high as  $0.19 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  in the Maximum ISO + MT case. Such values would represent over 1/3 of the total modern  $CH_4$  radiative forcing estimates, highlighting the potentially significant impact that large-scale increases in high VOC-emitting bioenergy crops can have on Earth's climate system. Peak changes for the ISO and MIXED cases are predicted to be less than that, at  $0.13$  and  $0.12 \text{ W m}^{-2}$  respectively, with reduced-scale replacement schemes scaling down nearly linearly.

The increase in total organic aerosol burden would have complex effects on the net energy budget of the atmosphere, affecting not only incoming radiation directly, but also a variety of cloud properties such as albedo and lifetime. Greater levels of  $PM_{2.5}$  are predicted for most replacement scenarios, contributing an overall cooling effect. Taken together, the increased  $CH_4$  lifetime and aerosol burden resulting from enhanced BVOC emissions would be expected to have competing effects on radiative forcing, with the  $CH_4$



**Figure 3.** Climate and human health costs related to biogenic emissions for simulated emissions types. All impacts are normalized to equivalent  $CO_2$  emissions ( $CO_2e$ ) and compared to estimated benefit of an associated reduction in coal emissions. Error bars reflect uncertainties in crop yield and variability in modeled output across the full set of simulated scales and emissions scenarios.

effect greater by a factor of approximately five in these simulations. Since the reduction of radiative forcing from greenhouse emissions is one of the main goals driving the present move towards bioenergy development and expansion, taking such BVOC related feedbacks into account will be important when assessing bioenergy crop selection and expansion from a global perspective.

### 3.3. Total costs

Finally, we perform economic assessments of climatic and human-health impacts using estimates for the social cost of carbon, and value of a statistical life. Literature values for both of these costs vary greatly, depending heavily upon future economic and atmospheric assumptions. For this work we use conservative, low-end estimates of \$21 per ton  $CO_2$  (IAWG 2010) and \$1 million per statistical life (Viscusi and Aldy 2003). We then convert the climatic and human health costs associated with each modeled crop type into equivalent  $CO_2$  ( $CO_2e$ ) and compare them to estimated benefits of coal combustion reductions (Burnham *et al* 2012), to produce a comparison of costs and benefits for each crop emission type (figure 3). Costs associated with increased  $CH_4$  (minus the cooling effects of increased organic aerosol) are approximately 8–10% of the expected value of reduced fossil fuel emissions for ISO + MT, ISO, and MIXED emission cases, and negligible for the LOW case. Globally summed health costs are larger, totaling 24–45% of the dollar value of reduced fossil fuel emissions for the high BVOC-emitting cases. These costs make the reduction in negative climate and human health impacts associated with a low-emitting bioenergy crop like switchgrass especially attractive. Under these assumptions, high-emitting crops would dramatically mitigate any net benefits of greenhouse gas emission reductions, primarily through impacts on human health.

### 3.4. Land-use change assumptions and uncertainties

In simplifying and evaluating the impacts of large-scale global trends as we have done here, important assumptions must be made. For one, we use a single land-availability map to determine replacement areas worldwide, scaling each grid cell down uniformly for reduced area cases. In practice, bioenergy crops will probably not be distributed in this manner, and are more likely to be clustered in dense areas around processing facilities. This difference in distribution and density would likely affect the resulting air quality impacts. Likewise, land-use change consequences will be highly dependent on the original land being replaced, a sensitivity that remains unexplored in our study.

While we have chosen values representative of typical candidate crops, the specific phenology, yields, and cultivation needs of selected feedstocks will also vary greatly between species and regions. For this reason, the comparisons presented here should be considered emblematic of the wide-ranging bioenergy crop options available, rather than evaluations of specific crops.

## 4. Summary

As alternatives to fossil fuels are increasingly adopted, it will be crucial to do so in ways that seek to maximize carbon reduction while also minimizing associated negative consequences. The results of this study suggest that, while cultivation of high productivity bioenergy crops may offer an attractive option for biomass-based energy production in many areas of the world, air quality and climate impacts of crop emissions must also be considered alongside other concerns such as water availability, biodiversity, and food security. In terms of biogenic emissions, using a low-emitting crop such as switchgrass or *Miscanthus* rather than a higher-emitting alternative could maximize any expected environmental benefits of reduced fossil fuel use. However, the possibility of lower potential yields of these crops compared to higher VOC-emitting options may affect the final cost-benefit analysis of any proposed bioenergy development. By evaluating the local and global consequences of crop selection on a case-by-case basis, including calculation of ECAQS values for candidate crops as performed here, these considerations can help to further inform energy policy and maximize the benefits of alternative energy production while minimizing the negative impacts of bioenergy crop cultivation on air quality, human health, and climate.

## References

- Anenberg S C, Horowitz L W, Tong D Q and West J J 2010 An estimate of the global burden of anthropogenic ozone and fine particulate matter on premature human mortality using atmospheric modeling *Environ. Health Perspect.* **118** 1189–95
- Angelini L G, Ceccarini L, Nasso D, Di Nasso N and Bonari E 2009 Comparison of *arundo donax* L. and *miscanthus x giganteus* in a long-term field experiment in central Italy: analysis of productive characteristics and energy balance *Biomass Bioenergy* **33** 635–43
- Ashworth K, Folberth G, Hewitt C N and Wild O 2012 Impacts of near-future cultivation of biofuel feedstocks on atmospheric composition and local air quality *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **12** 919–39
- Ashworth K, Wild O and Hewitt C N 2013 Impacts of biofuel cultivation on mortality and crop yields *Nat. Clim. Change* **3** 492–6
- Bell M L, Peng R D and Dominici F 2006 The exposure–response curve for ozone and risk of mortality and the adequacy of current ozone regulations *Environ. Health Perspect.* **114** 532–6
- Berndes G, Hoogwijk M and van den Broek R 2003 The contribution of biomass in the future global energy supply: a review of 17 studies *Biomass Bioenergy* **25** 1–28
- Brauer M et al 2012 Exposure assessment for estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to outdoor air pollution *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46** 652–60
- Burnham A, Han J, Clark C E, Wang M, Dunn J B and Palou-Rivera I 2012 Life-cycle greenhouse gas emissions of shale gas, natural gas, coal, and petroleum *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46** 619–27
- Cai X, Zhang X and Wang D 2010 Land availability for biofuel production *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **45** 334–9
- Campbell J E, Lobell D B, Genova R C and Field C B 2008 The global potential of bioenergy on abandoned agriculture lands *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **42** 5791–4
- Cohen A J et al 2005 The global burden of disease due to outdoor air pollution *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health A* **68** 1301–7
- Collura S, Azambre B, Finqueneisel G, Zimny T and Victor Weber J 2006 *Miscanthus x giganteus* straw and pellets as sustainable fuels *Environ. Chem. Lett.* **4** 75–8
- Da Silva G, Graham C and Wang Z-F 2010 Unimolecular  $\beta$ -hydroxyperoxy radical decomposition with OH recycling in the photochemical oxidation of isoprene *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **44** 250–6
- De Gouw J and Jimenez J L 2009 Organic aerosols in the earth's atmosphere *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **43** 7614–8
- Ditomaso J M, Reaser J K, Dionigi C P, Doering O C, Chilton E, Schardt J D and Barney J N 2010 Biofuel vs bioinvasion: seeding policy priorities *Env. Sci Technol.* **44** 6906–10
- Dominguez-Faus R, Powers S E, Burken J G and Alvarez P J 2009 The water footprint of biofuels: a drink or drive issue? *Env. Sci Technol.* **43** 3005–10
- Donovan R G, Stewart H E, Owen S M, MacKenzie A R and Hewitt C N 2005 Development and application of an urban tree air quality score for photochemical pollution episodes using the birmingham, united kingdom, area as a case study *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **39** 6730–8
- Eller A S D, Sekimoto K, Gilman J B, Kuster W C, de Gouw J A, Monson R K, Graus M, Crespo E, Warneke C and Fall R 2011 Volatile organic compound emissions from switchgrass cultivars used as biofuel crops *Atmos. Environ.* **45** 3333–7
- Energy Information Administration 2013 *Annual Energy Outlook 2013: Early Release Overview* (Washington, DC: US Energy Information Administration)
- Graus M, Eller A S D, Fall R, Yuan B, Qian Y, Westra P, de Gouw J and Warneke C 2013 Biosphere-atmosphere exchange of volatile organic compounds over C4 biofuel crops *Atmos. Environ.* **66** 161–8
- Guenther A et al 1995 A global model of natural volatile organic compound emissions *J. Geophys. Res.* **100** 8873–92
- Guenther A, Zimmerman P and Wildermuth M 1994 Natural volatile organic compound emission rate estimates for US woodland landscapes *Atmos. Environ.* **28** 1197–210
- Hewitt C N, Monson R and Fall R 1990 Isoprene emissions from the grass *arundo donax* L. Are not linked to photorespiration *Plant Sci.* **66** 139–44



- Hewitt C N et al 2009 Nitrogen management is essential to prevent tropical oil palm plantations from causing ground-level ozone pollution *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **106** 18447–51
- Hill J, Polasky S, Nelson E, Tilman D, Huo H, Ludwig L, Neumann J, Zheng H and Bonta D 2009 Climate change and health costs of air emissions from biofuels and gasoline *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci.* **106** 2077–82
- IAWG U 2010 *Technical support document: social cost of carbon for regulatory impact analysis under executive order 12866 (Interagency Working Group on Social Cost Carbon)* (Washington, DC: United States Government)
- Jerrett M, Burnett R T, Pope C A, Ito K, Thurston G, Krewski D, Shi Y, Calle E and Thun M 2009 Long-term ozone exposure and mortality *New England J. Med.* **360** 1085–95
- Krewski D 2009 Evaluating the effects of ambient air pollution on life expectancy *New England J. Med.* **360** 413–5
- Lamarque J-F, Kyle G P, Meinshausen M, Riahi K, Smith S J, Vuuren D P, van, Conley A J and Vitt F 2011 Global and regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases and aerosols in the representative concentration pathways *Clim. Change* **109** 191–212
- Lamarque J-F et al 2012 CAM-chem: description and evaluation of interactive atmospheric chemistry in the community earth system model *Geosci. Model Dev.* **5** 369–411
- Lelieveld J et al 2008 Atmospheric oxidation capacity sustained by a tropical forest *Nature* **452** 737–40
- Lewandowski I and Schmidt U 2006 Nitrogen, energy and land use efficiencies of miscanthus, reed canary grass and triticale as determined by the boundary line approach *Agric. Ecosyst. Environ.* **112** 335–46
- Meinshausen M, Smith S J, Calvin K, Daniel J S, Kainuma M L T, Lamarque J F, Matsumoto K, Montzka S A, Raper S C B and Riahi K 2011 The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300 *Clim. Change* **109** 213–41
- Neale R B, Richter J, Park S, Lauritzen P H, Vavrus S J, Rasch P J and Zhang M 2013 The mean climate of the community atmosphere model (CAM4) in forced SST and fully coupled experiments *J. Clim.* **26** 5150–68
- Owen S 2001 Volatile organic compounds (VOCs) emitted from 40 mediterranean plant species: VOC speciation and extrapolation to habitat scale *Atmos. Environ.* **35** 5393–409
- Padhy P K and Varshney C K 2005 Isoprene emission from tropical tree species *Environ. Pollut.* **135** 101–9 (Barking Essex 1987)
- Pedrolí B, Elbersen B, Frederiksen P, Grandin U, Heikkilä R, Krogh P H, Izakovićová Z, Johansen A, Meiresonne L and Spijker J 2013 Is energy cropping in Europe compatible with biodiversity?—opportunities and threats to biodiversity from land-based production of biomass for bioenergy purposes *Biomass Bioenergy* **55** 73–86
- Peeters J, Nguyen T L and Vereecken L 2009 HOx radical regeneration in the oxidation of isoprene *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **11** 5935–9
- Pope C A III, Burnett R T, Thun M J, Calle E E, Krewski D, Ito K and Thurston G D 2002 Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution *J. Am. Med. Assoc.* **287** 1132–41
- Porter W C, Barsanti K C, Baughman E C and Rosenstiel T N 2012 Considering the air quality impacts of bioenergy crop production: a case study involving arundo donax *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **46** 9777–84
- Schmer M R, Vogel K P, Mitchell R B and Perrin R K 2008 Net energy of cellulosic ethanol from switchgrass *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **105** 464–9
- Schmer M R, Vogel K P, Varvel G E, Follett R F, Mitchell R B and Jin V L 2014 Energy potential and greenhouse gas emissions from bioenergy cropping systems on marginally productive cropland *PLoS ONE* **9** 1–8
- Service R F 2007 Biofuel researchers prepare to reap a new harvest *Science* **315** 1488–91
- Sillman S 1999 The relation between ozone, NO<sub>x</sub> and hydrocarbons in urban and polluted rural environments *Atmos. Environ.* **33** 1821–45
- Street R A, Hewitt C N and Mennicken S 1997 Isoprene and monoterpene emissions from a eucalyptus plantation in Portugal *J. Geophys. Res.* **102** 15875–15
- Tessum C W, Hill J D and Marshall J D 2014 Life cycle air quality impacts of conventional and alternative light-duty transportation in the United States *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **111** 18490–5
- US Department of Energy 2011 *US Billion-Ton Update: Biomass Supply for a Bioenergy and Bioproducts Industry* (Oak Ridge, TN: Oak Ridge National Laboratory, US Department of Energy)
- Viscusi W K and Aldy J E 2003 The value of a statistical life: a critical review of market estimates throughout the world *J. Risk Uncertain.* **27** 5–76
- Wiedinmyer C, Tie X, Guenther A, Neilson R and Granier C 2006 Future changes in biogenic isoprene emissions: how might they affect regional and global atmospheric chemistry? *Earth Interact.* **10** 1–19
- Winters A J, Adams M A, Bleby T M, Rennenberg H, Steigner D, Steinbrecher R and Kreuzwieser J 2009 Emissions of isoprene, monoterpene and short-chained carbonyl compounds from eucalyptus spp. in southern Australia *Atmos. Environ.* **43** 3035–43
- World Health Organisation 2014 7 million premature deaths annually linked to air pollution *Online report* ([www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en/](http://www.who.int/mediacentre/news/releases/2014/air-pollution/en/))