

Citation: Momen B, Behling SJ, Lawrence GB, Sullivan JH (2015) Photosynthetic and Growth Response of Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.) Mature Trees and Seedlings to Calcium, Magnesium, and Nitrogen Additions in the Catskill Mountains, NY, USA. PLoS ONE 10(8): e0136148. doi:10.1371/ journal.pone.0136148

Editor: Dusan Gomory, Technical University in Zvolen, SLOVAKIA

Received: January 20, 2015

Accepted: July 30, 2015

Published: August 20, 2015

Copyright: This is an open access article, free of all copyright, and may be freely reproduced, distributed, transmitted, modified, built upon, or otherwise used by anyone for any lawful purpose. The work is made available under the <u>Creative Commons CC0</u> public domain dedication.

Data Availability Statement: Data are in Supporting Information Files $\underline{S1}$ and $\underline{S2}$ Tables.

Funding: SJB received Research Assistantship from the University of Maryland, College Park when working on this project. Travel and treatment applications expenses were provided by GBL through USGS internal funds.

Competing Interests: The authors have declared that no competing interests exist.

RESEARCH ARTICLE

Photosynthetic and Growth Response of Sugar Maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.) Mature Trees and Seedlings to Calcium, Magnesium, and Nitrogen Additions in the Catskill Mountains, NY, USA

Bahram Momen^{1*}, Shawna J. Behling², Greg B. Lawrence³, Joseph H. Sullivan²

1 Environmental Science and Technology Department, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, United States of America, 2 Plant Science and Landscape Architecture Department, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, United States of America, 3 U.S. Geological Survey, New York Water Science Center, Troy, New York, United States of America

* <u>bmomen@umd.edu</u>

Abstract

Decline of sugar maple in North American forests has been attributed to changes in soil calcium (Ca) and nitrogen (N) by acidic precipitation. Although N is an essential and usually a limiting factor in forests, atmospheric N deposition may cause N-saturation leading to loss of soil Ca. Such changes can affect carbon gain and growth of sugar maple trees and seedlings. We applied a 2² factorial arrangement of N and dolomitic limestone containing Ca and Magnesium (Mg) to 12 forest plots in the Catskill Mountain region of NY, USA. To quantify the short-term effects, we measured photosynthetic-light responses of sugar maple mature trees and seedlings two or three times during two summers. We estimated maximum net photosynthesis (An-max) and its related light intensity (PAR at An-max), apparent quantum efficiency (A_{qe}), and light compensation point (*LCP*). To quantify the long-term effects, we measured basal area of living mature trees before and 4 and 8 years after treatment applications. Soil and foliar chemistry variables were also measured. Dolomitic limestone increased Ca, Mg, and pH in the soil Oe horizon. Mg was increased in the B horizon when comparing the plots receiving N with those receiving CaMg. In mature trees, foliar Ca and Mg concentrations were higher in the CaMg and N+CaMg plots than in the reference or N plots; foliar Ca concentration was higher in the N+CaMg plots compared with the CaMg plots, foliar Mg was higher in the CaMg plots than the N+CaMg plots; An-max was maximized due to N+CaMg treatment; A_{ae} decreased by N addition; and PAR at An-max increased by N or CaMg treatments alone, but the increase was maximized by their combination. No treatment effect was detected on basal areas of living mature trees four or eight years after treatment applications. In seedlings, An-max was increased by N+CaMg addition. The reference plots had an open herbaceous layer, but the plots receiving N had a dense monoculture of common woodfern in the forest floor, which can impede seedling survival.

Introduction

Sugar maple (*Acer saccharum* Marsh.) which is an important component of the forests of northeastern North America, has been declining for several decades [1–11]. The decline symptoms may include crown dieback, lack of regeneration, seedling mortality, poor production of samaras, reductions in growth, and tree mortality [8, 12]. Sugar maple decline may alter stand structure and long-term stability of it native habitat [13].

Sugar maple decline may partially be related to changes in soil chemistry due to acidic precipitation. Sugar maple nutrient uptake is facilitated by arbuscular mycorrhizae [14, 15], which are sensitive to soil acidification [14]. Acidic deposition can also deplete soil calcium (Ca) in eastern North America where sugar maple is common [16–20]. Sugar maple has high demand for Ca, which is required by a number of plant eco-physiological processes. Previous research indicates both negative effects of Ca depletion on [8, 10, 11, 21] and positive effects of Ca addition on sugar maple stands [9, 12, 22–24].

Ca may be supplied to the soil through weathering of parent material, decomposition of plant and animal tissues, and dry deposition of dust [25]. Most of the forest soil Ca is bound within mineral substrates that weather slowly making it unavailable for plant uptake even when it is present in large quantities. More than half of the forest's available nutrients and the fine roots that absorb them lie within the soil organic horizon and even small leaching of nutrients may hinder root nutrient uptake [26].

Decades of atmospheric deposition have also increased nitrogen (N) inputs to most of sugar maple stands. N is an essential element for plant carbon gain, and is usually a growth-limiting nutrient in terrestrial systems. However, this may no longer be the case in areas that receive high atmospheric deposition such as the Catskill Mountain region of New York [27], where continuous N deposition may cause N saturation and harm sugar maple health. This may explain the inconclusive results of linking growth and decline of sugar maple to soil N concentrations [6, 13, 28, 29]. More importantly, there has not been any study of adding N, Ca, and other basic cations such as Mg in a factorial setting to address their interactive or synergistic effects on both sugar maple seedlings and mature trees.

Changes in soil Ca, Mg, and N can affect sugar maple growth and health through a number of plant eco-physiological processes such as photosynthesis. Studies of plant photosynthetic response are usually limited to photosynthetic measurements at midday light intensities on seedlings. However, photosynthetic response at a specific light intensity does not mimic the canopy photosynthetic rate under natural condition when light intensity changes diurnally and sometimes instantly due to winds, clouds, etc. Additionally, seedling responses do not reflect mature tree responses adequately. Therefore, in this study, our main objective is to address the combined effects of a factorial combination of N and Ca+Mg treatments on important components of photosynthetic-light responses of sugar-maple seedlings and mature trees in the field. The components of photosynthetic-light response curves estimated are: maximum net photosynthesis (An-max), apparent quantum efficiency (A_{ae}) , light compensation point (LCP), and the light intensity at which An-max is attained (PAR at An-max). These characteristics convey biological and ecological importance with regard to plant growth, competitive ability, and survival, but they reveal short-term effects. To address possible treatment effects on stand growth on a longer time scale, we also measured basal area of living mature trees before and 4 and 8 years after treatment applications. Soil and foliar chemistry variables were also measured.

Materials and Methods

Study site

The study site was located in the Neversink River drainage (41 59' N latitude, 74 28' W longitude) in the Catskill region in southeastern New York. The environmental quality of the Catskill region is important because it serves as the primary source of drinking water for the City of New York. Catskill soils are classified mainly as Inceptisols that often have low base saturation making them susceptible to pH decreases and base cation depletion from acidic deposition [30–32]. The study plots contained a mixture of sugar maple, American beech (*Fagus grandifolia* Ehrh.) red maple (*Acer rubrum* L.) and yellow birch (*Betula alleghaniensis* Britton). The annual average temperature is 4.3 C° with an annual precipitation of 175 cm, 23% of which is snowfall [31]. The study site had been selectively cut in the past, but there has been no cutting in the past 50 years. The land owner of the study site, the Frost Valley YMCA environmental education camp, granted us permission to conduct this research.

Experimental set up

The experimental forest plots were on a hillslope along an elevational gradient. A randomized complete block design (RCBD) was used to assign treatments to experimental plots. Three blocks were set up at 670–680, 700–710, or 720–730 m elevations. Each block was subdivided into four 50- m^2 plots for random assignment of the four treatments consisting of: 1) reference, 2) annual additions of 25 kg ha⁻¹ of N from 2004–2007, 3) a single addition of 2268 kg of dolomitic limestone in fall 2003, and 4) a single addition of 2268 kg of dolomitic limestone in fall 2003, plus annual additions of 25 kg ha⁻¹ of N from 2004–2007. In 2004–2005 N was added as NH₄NO₃, but in 2006–2007 N was added in the form of urea because NH₄NO₃ became commercially unavailable. The addition of 25 kg N ha⁻¹ was approximately double the atmospheric deposition of N at the site. The dolomitic limestone was 17.7% Ca and 10.6% Mg, which resulted in additions of 1606 kg Ca and 962 kg Mg ha⁻¹. Both the dolomite and N was applied in pelletized form by hand. To ensure uniform distribution, each plot was subdivided into 5 m² subplots to receive a pre-measured dose.

Soil sampling and analysis

Within each plot, 3 shallow pits (the primary rooting zone) were excavated to collect soil from the Oe, Oa or A, and upper B horizon before treatment applications in 2003 and 4 years later. Soil samples collected within each plot were combined by equal volume to provide one sample of each horizon per plot for chemical analysis. Samples were air-dried and sieved (1 mm), and analyzed for moisture content (oven dried at 65°C, 105°C for organic and mineral samples, respectively), exchangeable Ca^{2+} , Mg^{2+} , Na^+ and K^+ (1 M NH₄Cl extraction), pH (0.01 M CaCl₂ slurry and H₂O extraction), loss-on-ignition, total N and total C (using a Thermo C/N analyzer, following USEPA methods [<u>33</u>]), plus exchangeable Al and H⁺ (1 M KCl extraction) following [<u>34</u>] except that Al was determined by inductively coupled plasma spectroscopy (ICP).

Foliar sampling and analysis

Foliar chemistry was quantified for mature trees only to avoid harming a limited number of available seedlings. Foliage was collected by shotgun sampling of branches from the periphery of upper canopy positions from dominant or co-dominant sugar maple trees. Foliar samples were air-dried then ground and oven-dried at 70°C. Samples were digested using a microwave assisted acid digestion procedure (EPA Method 3052, 1996) then analyzed by ICP to determine

Ca, Mg, and P concentrations. Total N and C were determined by Thermo C/N analyzer following USEPA methods [33].

Photosynthetic-light curve measurements

Photosynthesis was measured on both mature trees and seedlings using a Licor Li-6400 Portable Photosynthesis System (LICOR Biosciences, Lincoln, NE) from May to July in 2005 and 2006. The red-blue LED light source was used to measure net carbon uptake at varying photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) intensities. For seedlings, photosynthetic-light response curves were measured in the field on 3–4, attached leaves of 3 randomly selected seedlings of 3 or 4 years of age in each of the 12 plots, 2 or 3 times during each summer for 2 years. Each measurement period took 4 to 5 days between 9 am and 4 pm.

Each seedling was held in complete darkness (by covering it using a piece of cloth) for 2 minutes before measurements began on to measure dark respiration first. Humidity and CO_2 concentration were held at ambient levels, while temperature was held at the average predicted midday temperature for each sampling day. Incoming air was brought into the measurement chamber through a 2 meter-long tygon tube to prevent possible effects of the measurer's respiration on the measurement. Photosynthetic-light response curves were obtained by increasing PAR intensities until photosynthetic rate remained constant. To better represent each experimental plot, 2–3 measurements were made on each of 3–4 seedlings per plot. These multiple subsamples were averaged per plot to avoid pseudoreplication.

For mature trees, measuring photosynthetic-light responses on attached leaves was not practical particularly considering the time required to complete a set of photosynthetic-light measurements. Therefore, the measurements were made on shoots of detached branches immediately after they were obtained by shotgun sampling in the field. Photosynthetic-light measurements on detached leaves is an acceptable and common practice based on previous reports for both coniferous and hardwood species [35–39]. Moreover, possible inaccuracy of measurements on detached samples should remain similar across treatment comparisons. Shoots from mature trees were kept hydrated during measurements. Within each plot, we measured 3–4 leaves on each of 3–4 shoots that were obtained from upper canopy of each of 3–4 dominant and co-dominant trees 2 or 3 times per summer for 2 years. The data were averaged per plot to better present the photosynthetic-light responses of the dominant and co-dominant components of the stand canopy while avoiding pseudoreplication.

As an index of stand growth of the experimental plots, basal area of living, sugar maple trees with a diameter at breast height (DBH) greater than 5 cm was measured before and then 4 and 8 years after treatment application. Through time, some trees died and some new trees reached the DBH limit. Basal area data incorporate such changes.

Statistical analysis

To model the non-linear nature of the photosynthetic-light relationship we used one of the eight parameterizations of the *Mitscherlich* function as: $A_n = An - max [1 - e^{(-Aqe (PAR-LCP))}] [40, 41]$. In this model, A_n is the measured net photosynthesis at each controlled PAR intensity. *An-max* (also called *photosynthetic capacity, saturated An*, or *An-plateau*) is the asymptotic photosynthesis to be estimated. A_{qe} (apparent quantum efficiency) is an estimate of a scale parameter that governs the rate of change of A_n in response to PAR in the linear portion of the curve. *LCP* (light compensation point) is the PAR intensity at which carbon gain through photosynthesis equals carbon loss through respiration. The PAR intensity at which *An-max* is reached is another eco-physiologically important parameter that was estimated through another model called '*segmented linear-plateau*' non-linear model.

Both *Mitscherlich* and *segmented linear-plateau* models were fitted using the *SAS NLIN* procedure (disregarding the repeated nature of the data) to estimate the initial parameters to be used in the *SAS NLMIXED* procedure (considering the repeated nature of data). For the *NLMIXED* procedure we also needed pooled error variance/covariance values related to the repeated nature of the data. These values were obtained using the *SAS DISCRIM* procedure. Since the *NLMIXED* procedure does not routinely produce a statistical comparison of the categorical treatment effects, we used the *ESTIMATE* statement within the *NLMIXED* procedure to compare main, interactive, and simple effects of N and Ca+Mg treatments (*SAS* codes and related data are provided in <u>S1 Table</u>). The *SAS MIXED* procedure was used to perform analysis of covariance on the basal area data (provided in <u>S2 Table</u>). The standing basal areas of living sugar maple trees before treatment applications were not homogenous, and it was thus, used as a covariate for the analysis of basal area data 4 or 8 years after treatment applications. The *SAS MIXED* procedure was used to perform analysis of variance on the foliar and soil chemistry data.

Results

Soil and Foliar Chemistry

Prior to treatments, no significant difference existed among experimental plots for soil chemistry variables studied. Compared with the reference plots, plots that received a total of 100 kg N in 4 years did not differ significantly for any of the soil responses measured (<u>Table 1</u>).

The addition of limestone significantly (P < 0.05) increased Ca, Mg, and pH in the Oe horizon (<u>Table 1</u>). Mg was increased in the B horizon by CaMg only when comparing the plots receiving N with those receiving CaMg.

Table 1. Means (standard errors) of soil chemistry variables in Oe, Oa and B horizons in reference plots, and plots that received nitrogen (N), dolomitic limestone (CaMg) and nitrogen plus dolomitic limestone (N+CaMg). Different superscripts (a, b) show mean differences within a horizon (P < 0.05).

Soil Response	Horizon	Reference	Ν	CaMg	N+CaMg
Ca (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	Oe	11.9 ^a (1.78)	10.7 ^a (3.29)	41.4 ^b (8.14)	39.4 ^b (7.27)
	Oa/A	1.80 (0.48)	2.60 (1.67)	18.00 (9.06)	9.20 (4.91)
	В	0.44 (0.08)	0.17 (0.06)	1.01 (0.21)	0.64 (0.31)
Mg (cmol _c kg⁻¹)	Oe	2.8 ^a (0.38)	2.6 ^a (0.55)	22.4 ^b (5.89)	20.8 ^b (3.18)
	Oa/A	0.67 (0.12)	0.74 (0.34)	13.7 (6.11)	7.6 (4.5)
	В	0.18 ^{ab} (0.04)	0.10 ^a (0.01)	1.08 ^b (0.24)	0.60 ^{ab} (0.12)
Al (cmol _c kg ⁻¹)	Oe	3.8 (0.31)	4.6 (1.96)	1.9 (0.51)	2.3 (0.39)
	Oa/A	9.3 (5.02)	7.6 (3.40)	1.9 (1.60)	3.6 (0.92)
	В	5.3 (0.92)	5.2 (1.79)	3.4 (0.21)	5.1 (0.81)
Organic C (g kg ⁻¹)	Oe	427 (28)	505 (12)	452 (19)	341 (43)
	Oa/A	174 (8)	226 (7)	210 (7)	203 (7)
	В	41.7 (14)	29.0 (12)	20.3 (2)	30.4 (9)
Total N (g kg ⁻¹)	Oe	23.1 (1.5)	25.5 (1)	24.2 (1.5)	18.6 (2.6)
	Oa/A	9.1 (3.5)	11.7 (2.9)	10.5 (4.0)	11.4 (4.0)
	В	2.6 (0.8)	2.0 (0.7)	1.5 (0.2)	2.1 (0.5)
Organic Matter (g kg ⁻¹)	Oe	775 (40)	881 (32)	686 (21)	697 (84)
	Oa/A	251 (77)	388 (101)	362 (116)	329 (108)
	В	92.7 (27)	59.1 (23)	69.5 (26)	52.9 (7)
рН	Oe	3.65 ^a (0.06)	3.50 ^a (0.11)	5.16 ^b (0.42)	4.97 ^b (0.40)
	Oa/A	3.84 (0.12)	3.72 (0.14)	4.74 (0.35)	4.46 (0.23)
	В	3.97 (0.19)	4.03 (0.08)	4.30 (.28)	4.33 (0.09)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136148.t001



Table 2. Means (standard errors) of foliar chemistry of sugar maple trees in reference plots, and plots that received nitrogen (N), dolomitic limestone (CaMg) and dolomitic limestone plus nitrogen (N+CaMg). Treatment plots were replicated three times, but 8 to 13 trees were subsampled. Different superscripts (a, b, c) show mean differences within a horizon (P < 0.05).

Foliar response	Reference	Ν	CaMg	N+CaMg
N (g kg ⁻¹)	22.4 (1.10)	23.6 (0.81)	22.7 (1.04)	24.3 (1.15)
C (g kg ⁻¹)	475 (3.29)	479 (4.21)	469 (2.25)	465 (1.91)
P (g kg ⁻¹)	1.22 (0.08)	1.25 (0.08)	1.35 (0.08)	1.35 (0.08)
Ca (g kg ⁻¹)	4.78 ^a (1.15)	3.38 ^a (0.41)	6.63 ^b (1.04)	9.17 ^c (1.27)
Mg (g kg ⁻¹)	1.54 ^a (0.29)	1.27 ^a (1.15)	2.66 ^c (0.38)	2.14 ^b (0.28)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136148.t002

No significant difference (i.e., P > 0.05) was detected for foliar N, C, or P concentration of sugar maple trees between the reference and treatment plots. (<u>Table 2</u>).

Foliar Ca and Mg concentrations were significantly higher (P < 0.05) in the CaMg and N +CaMg plots than in the reference or N plots (<u>Table 2</u>) indicating that dolomitic limestone application increased Ca and Mg uptake and allocation to leaves. Foliar Ca concentration was higher in the N+CaMg plots compared with the CaMg plots, but foliar Mg was higher in the CaMg plots than the N+CaMg plots.

Photosynthetic-light responses and basal area

Preliminary analysis of photosynthetic data indicated no significant monthly or yearly effect for mature trees or seedlings. Therefore, the data collected from May to July of both 2005 and 2006, as well as several subsamples within each measuring time, were averaged per plot (replicate) prior to statistical analyses. Photosynthetic data are analyzed and reported for mature trees and seedlings separately.

Seedlings

The *Mitscherlich* function fitted seedling data very well as indicated by the closeness of the measured (observed) and predicted values and their narrow confidence intervals (Fig 1).

The lowest *An-max* value was observed due to N addition alone, but the decrease was not statistically significant (i.e., P > 0.05 (Table 3). However, N addition magnified the effect of CaMg addition to increase *An-max* significantly (Table 3). *A_{qe}*, and *LCP* did not differ significantly among the treatments. *PAR* at *An-max* was highest in the N+CaMg plots, but the increase was not statistically significant.

Mature trees

The Mitscherlich function fitted mature tree data adequately (Fig 2).

An-max was increased (P < 0.01) by the N+CaMg treatment compared to each of the other three treatments (Table 4). PAR at An-max was increased by N or CaMg addition alone, but the increase was most pronounced under their combination (i.e., N+CaMg treatment). A_{qe} decreased (P < 0.01) by N both in the presence or absence of CaMg application. *LCP* was not affected significantly by the treatments.

Basal area of living sugar maple trees in the study plots were similar before treatment applications and were not affected (i.e., P > 0.05) by treatments 4 or 8 years after treatment applications (Fig 3).



Fig 1. Photosynthetic-light responses of sugar maple seedlings. Predicted and confidence interval (CI) values are based on the *Mitscherlich* function. The observed values are means of 3 replicates per treatment with subsample measurements on multiple leaves on multiple seedlings 2 or 3 times per summer during 2 years within each plot.

Table 3. Photosynthetic-light parameter estimates (*An-max*, A_{qe} , *LCP*, and *PAR at An-plateau*) and their standard errors for sugar maple seedlings in the reference and treatment plots. Estimated parameters are based on 3 replicates per treatment with subsample measurements of multiple leaves on multiple seedlings 2 or 3 times per summer during 2 years. Different superscripts (a, b) show treatment mean differences within a row (P < 0.05).

Estimate		Treatment		
	Reference	N	CaMg	N+CaMg
An-max (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	2.13 ^a (0.26)	1.81 ^a (0.22)	2.24 ^{ab} (0.22)	2.88 ^b (0.21)
A _{qe}	0.015 (0.001)	0.016 (0.001)	0.017 (0.001)	0.015 (0.002)
LCP (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	111 (51)	98 (40)	97 (42)	160 (40)
PAR at An-max (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	168 (38)	178 (16)	157 (18)	204 (14)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136148.t003

Discussion

Soil Chemistry

Detectable differences in soil measurements between the reference and treatment plots were limited mostly to the Oe horizon. However, the added nutrients were most likely available for plant use because Oe is the horizon where fine root density is greatest. Soil total N





measurements did not reveal any effect from N additions perhaps because the annual additions of 25 kg N ha⁻¹ were too small (1–3%) relative to the existing soil N pool reported for a nearby watershed in the Neversink River basin [42]. Moreover, soil pH measurements did not indicate that the N additions increased nitrification sufficiently to decrease soil pH (Table 1). Results from adding CaMg alone or in combination with N were similar in the Oe horizon (Table 1),

Table 4. Photosynthetic-light parameter estimates (An-max, A _{qe} , and LCP) and their standard errors of sugar maple mature trees in the reference
and treatment plots. Estimated parameters are based on 3 replicates per treatment with subsample measurements of multiple leaves on multiple canopy
locations 2 or 3 times per summer during 2 years. Different superscripts (a, b, c) show treatment mean differences within a row ($P < 0.05$).

Estimate		Treatment		
	Reference	Ν	CaMg	N+CaMg
An-max (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	1.2 ^a (.26)	1.5 ^a (0.3)	0.7 ^a (0.5)	3.4 ^b (0.4)
A _{qe}	0.011 ^b (.001)	0.006 ^a (0.001)	0.015 ^b (0.002)	0.004 ^a (0.001)
<i>LCP</i> (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	50.90 (9.7)	72.38 (11.4)	63.05 (12.3)	50.36 (14.2)
PAR at An-max (µmol m ⁻² s ⁻¹)	210 ^a (71)	422 ^b (22)	409 ^b (46)	630 ^c (34)

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136148.t004





suggesting the lack of significant interactive or synergistic effects of N and CaMg on soil variables measured. This is consistent with previous reports [9, 22].

Foliar chemistry of mature trees

Foliar N did not respond to N addition. This differs from previous reports of increased foliar N concentrations in sugar maple trees due to increased N inputs [43, 44]. Plant N dynamics depends on whether or not soil N is a limiting factor. A previous report [45] indicates that our study site might have had a large excess of plant-available N (i.e., N saturated) prior to N fertilization, and thus, further increases in soil N availability due to experimental N application could not affect foliar N content. The added N could have been taken from the soil (hence no increase in soil N) to increase the growth of mature trees without increasing their foliar N content. However, the growth of mature trees, as indicated by their basal area, was not affected by the added N. Added N could be assimilated by understory species such as ferns, but previous research on this topic is both limited and inconclusive. A major understory species in our study site is common woodfern (Dryopteris intermedia Muhl. ex Willd.), and N addition did not affect its relative growth rate in our experimental plots [46], or its ground cover in a comparable forest site in the Adirondack Park, NY [47]. However, in California's San Bernardino Mountains N addition increased biomass of bracken fern in high pollution but not in low pollution sites [48]. Although, we did not focus on statistical evaluation of treatment effects on understory species, we subjectively observed that the reference plots had a relatively open herbaceous layer (Fig 4), whereas the plots that received N had a substantially higher density of common woodfern (Fig 5). However, this difference seemed to exist before treatment applications and the possible differential rates of change due to treatment applications merits further research.



Fig 4. Sugar maple seedlings in a reference plot. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0136148.g004

PLOS ONE

Foliar Ca concentration was higher in the CaMg+N plots compared with the CaMg plots, but foliar Mg was higher in the CaMg plots than the CaMg+N plots. If real, such reverse effects indicates differing role of N in Ca and Mg uptake and allocation, which merits further investigation.

Photosynthetic-light responses

An-max of seedlings was increased by CaMg addition and this increase was augmented by N addition. For seedlings, *PAR* at *An-max* was also highest in the N+CaMg plots although not significantly. These results suggest that 1) a slight increase in *PAR* can facilitate a significant increase in *An-max* of seedlings under N+CaMg treatment, and 2) possible negative effects of continuous atmospheric N addition on potential photosynthesis may be overcompensated by CaMg addition. However, such potential photosynthesis requires increased light intensities under the canopy, which may not be feasible if the density of ferns is increased by continuous atmospheric N input. In fact, we observed many seedlings that were thriving in early summer but died of overcrowding by August. Although sugar maple seedlings are considered shade tolerant, they still require some light for carbon gain, and a dense fern cover might prevent sufficient penetration of light to sustain the seedlings.

For mature trees, both *An-max* and its related *PAR* intensity were highest under the N +CaMg treatment suggesting that under continuous atmospheric N addition, CaMg addition might enable mature trees to use more of the available light in the upper canopy to attain higher photosynthetic saturation rates.



Fig 5. Dense presence of common woodfern (Dryopteris intermedia Muhl. ex Willd.) in a plot receiving N.

 A_{qe} of mature trees was decreased by N addition and this decrease was not compensated by CaMg addition. A_{qe} is related to the efficiency of carbon gain at low light intensities, and the negative effect of N addition on such efficiency may not be detrimental for mature trees that form the upper stand canopy and are not as light-limited as seedlings.

Although N is a major requirement for photosynthesis, N addition alone did not enhance photosynthetic-light responses of seedlings or mature trees. This is consistent with a previous report of no correlation between nitrogen additions and photosynthesis [43]. This lack of N effect can be expected considering the aforementioned lack of N effect on soil or foliar N chemistry.

The effects of dolomitic limestone on sugar maple growth, health, and vigor have been studied widely [12, 18, 49]. However, we have found no previous reports of the photosyntheticlight responses of both seedlings and mature trees to dolomitic limestone and N in the field for a more through comparison. In general, dolomitic limestone addition had a number of positive effects on photosynthetic-light relationships of sugar maple, but the effects were not similar for seedlings and mature trees. The few positive short-term effects on the photosynthetic responses of mature-trees were not sufficient to increase the basal area of sugar maple mature trees. This is not surprising because increased instantaneous photosynthesis does not necessarily lead to an increase in net primary productivity (NPP) and even with increased NPP, additional fixed carbon may not be allocated to basal area growth. We should point out that the long-term growth and health of sugar maple stands depends both on seedling regeneration and survival and on growth dynamics of sugar maple trees in relation to other under- and over-story species within the forest stands. Therefore, additional ecological and physiological research is needed to better understand sugar maple decline.

Supporting Information

S1 Table. Photosynthetic-light data for sugar maple seedlings and mature trees, and SAS codes to perform non-linear analysis of treatment effects. (PDF)

S2 Table. Basal area data for living mature sugar maple trees in 2003, 2007, and 2011. (PDF)

Acknowledgments

Field work was supported by the USGS. SJ. Behling was supported by a research assistantship through the University of Maryland, College Park. We appreciate the *SAS* programming codes to obtain confidence intervals of the non-linear models from Professor L. Madden. We appreciate the reviews from Professor A. Baldwin, 2 anonymous reviewers, and the journal editor. Any use of trade, firm, or product name is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Author Contributions

Conceived and designed the experiments: BM GBL. Performed the experiments: SJB BM. Analyzed the data: BM JHS. Contributed reagents/materials/analysis tools: BM GBL. Wrote the paper: BM SJB GBL JHS.

References

- 1. Adams CM, Hutchinson TC (1992) Fine-root growth and chemical composition in declining Central Ontario sugar maple stands. Can J For Res. 22:1489–1503.
- Kolb TE, McCormick LH (1993) Etiology of sugar maple decline in four sugar maple stands. Can J For Res. 23:2395–2402.
- Ouimet R, Camire C (1995) Foliar deficiencies of sugar maple stands associated with soil cation imbalances in the Quebec Appalachians. Can J Soil Sci. 75:169–175.
- 4. Wilmot TR, Ellsworth DS, Tyree MT (1995) Base cation fertilization and liming effects on nutrition and growth of Vermont sugar maple stands. For Ecol Manage. 84:123–134.
- McLaughlin S, Percy K (1999) Forest Health in North America: some perspectives on actual and potential roles of climate and air pollution. Water Air Soil Pollut. 116:151–197.
- Horsley SB, Long RP, Bailey SW, Hallett RA, Hall TJ (2000) Factors associated with the decline disease of sugar maple on the Allegheny Plateau. Can J For Res. 30:1365–1378.
- Driscoll CT, Lawrence GB, Bulger AJ, Butler TJ, Cronan CS, Eager C, et al. (2001) Acidic deposition in the Northeastern United States: sources and inputs, ecosystem effects, and management strategies. Biosciense 51: 180–198.
- Duchesne L, Ouimet R, Moore JD, Paquin R (2005) Changes in structure and composition of maplebeech stands following sugar maple decline in Québec, Canada. For Ecol Manage. 208:223–236.
- Wargo PM, Minocha R, Wong BL, Long RP, Horsley SB, Hall TJ (2002) Measuring changes in stress and vitality indicators in limed sugar maple on the Allegheny Plateau in north-central Pennsylvania. Can J For Res. 32:629–641.
- Long RP, Horsley SB, Hallett RA, Bailey SW (2009) Sugar maple growth in relation to nutrition and stress in the Northeastern United States. Ecol Appl. 19:1454–1466. PMID: <u>19769094</u>
- Sullivan TJ, Lawrence GB, Bailey SW, Mcdonnell TC, Beier CM, Weathers KC, et al. (2013) Effects of acidic deposition and soil acidification on sugar maple trees in the Adirondack Mountains, New York. Environ Sci Technol. 47:12687–12694. doi: 10.1021/es401864w PMID: 24102084
- Long RP, Horsley SB, Lilja PR (1997) Impact of forest liming on growth and crown vigor of sugar maple and associated hardwoods. Can J For Res. 27:1560–1573.

- Duchesne L, Ouimet R, Houle D (2002) Basal area growth of sugar maple in relation to acid deposition, stand health, and soil nutrients. J Environ Qual. 31:1667–1683.
- Heijne B, van Dam D, Heil CW, Bobbink R (1996) Acidification effects on vesicular-arbuscular mycorrhizal (VAM) infection, growth and nutrient uptake of established heathland herb species. Plant Soil 179:197–206.
- 15. Clark RB, Zeto SK (2002) Mineral acquisition by *arbuscular mycorrhizal* plants. J Plant Nutr. 23: 867–902.
- Bailey SW, Horsley SB, Long RP (2005). Thirty years of change in forest soils of the Allegheny Plateau, Pennsylvania. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 69: 681–690.
- Johnson AH, Moyer A, Bedison JE, Richter SL, Willig SA (2008). Seven decades of calcium depletion in organic horizons of Adirondack forest soils. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 72:1824–1830.
- Warby RA, Johnson CE, Driscoll CT (2009) Continuing acidification of organic soils across the Northeastern USA: 1984–200. Soil Sci Soc Am J. 73:274–284.
- Markewitz D, Richter DD, Allen HL, Urrego JB (1998) Three decades of observed soil acidification in the Calhoun Experimental Forest: has acid rain made a difference? Soil Sci Soc Am J. 62:1428–1439.
- **20.** Hotopp KP (2002) Land snail and soil calcium in central Appalachian Mountain forest. Southeast Nat. 1:27–44.
- 21. Hallett RA, Bailey SW, Horsley SB, Long RP (2006) Influence of nutrition and stress on sugar maple at a regional scale. Can J For Res. 36:2235–2246.
- Juice SM, Fahey TJ, Siccama TG, Driscoll CT, Denny EG, Eagar C, et al. (2006) Response of sugar maple to calcium addition to northern hardwood forest. Ecology 87: 1267–1280. PMID: <u>16761605</u>
- 23. Moore JD, Ouimet R (2006) Ten-year effect of dolomitic lime on the nutrition, crown vigor and growth of sugar maple. Can J For Res. 36:1834–1841.
- Liu G, Cote B, Fyles W (1994) Effects of base cation fertilization on the nutrient status, free amino acids and some carbon fraction of the leaves of sugar maple. Plant Soil. 160:79–86.
- **25.** Dijkstra FA, Smits MM (2004) Tree species effects on calcium cycling: the role of calcium uptake in deep soils. Ecosystems 5: 385–398.
- Fahey TJ, Hughes JW (1994) Fine root dynamics in a northern hardwood forest ecosystem, Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest, NH. J Ecol. 533–548.
- Lovett GM, Weathers KC, Sobczak WV (2000) Nitrogen saturation and retention in forested watersheds of the Catskill Mountains, New York. Ecol Appl. 10:73–84.
- Magill AH, Aber JD, Berntson GM, McDowell WH, Nadelhoffer KJ, Melillo JM, et al. (2000) Long-term nitrogen additions and nitrogen saturation in two temperate forests. Ecosystems 3:238–253.
- Catovsky S, Bazzaz FA (2002) Nitrogen availability influences regeneration of temperate tree species in the understory seedling bank. Ecol Appl. 12:1056–1070.
- Murdoch PS, Stoddard JL (1993) Chemical characteristics and temporal trends in eight streams of the Catskill Mountains, New York. Air Soil Pollut. 67:365–395.
- Lawrence GB, David MB, Lovett GM, Murdoch PS, Burns DA, Stoddard JL, et al. (1999) Soil calcium status and the response of stream chemistry to changing acidic deposition rates. Ecol Appl. 9:1059–1072.
- Siemion J, Lawrence GB, Murdoch PS (2013) Use of soil-streamwater relationships to assess regional patterns of acidic deposition effects in the northeastern USA. Hydrological Processes 28:3615–3626.
- Blume LJ, Schumacher BA, Schaffer PW, Cappo KA, Papp ML, van Remortal RD, et al. (1990) Handbook of methods for acid deposition studies: Laboratory analyses for soil chemistry. U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, EPA/600/4-90/023, Washington, D.C.
- Thomas GW (1982) Exchangeable cations. In Methods of Soil Analysis, part 2, pp 159–166 in Page A. L., editor. Agronomy No. 9. ASA, Madison, WI.35.
- Lange OL, Fuhrer G, Gebel J (1986) Rapid field determination of photosynthetic capacity of cut spruce twigs (*Picea abies*) at saturating ambient CO₂. Trees 1:70–77.
- **36.** Bernacchi CJ, Calfapietra C, Davey PA, Wittig VE, Scarascia-Mugnozza GE, Raines CA, et al. (2003) Photosynthesis and stomatal conductance responses of poplars to free-air CO₂ enrichment (Pop-FACE) during the first growth cycle and immediately following coppice. New Phytol. 159:609–621.
- Tissue DT, Lewis JD, Wullschleger SD, Amthor JS, Griffin KL, Anderson OR (2002) Leaf respiration at different canopy positions in sweetgum (*Liquidambar styraciflua*) grown in ambient and elevated concentrations of carbon dioxide in the field. Tree Phys. 22:1157–1166.
- Monson RK, Trahan N, Rosenstiel TN, Veres P, Moore D, Wilkinson M, et al. (2007) Isoprene emission from terrestrial ecosystems in response to global change: minding the gap between models and observations. Philosoph. Transac. Royal Societ. 365:1677–1695.

- Warren JM, Jensen AM, Medlyn BE, Norby RJ, Tissue D (2015) Carbon dioxide stimulation of photosynthesis in *Liquidambar styraciflua* is not sustained during a 12-year field experiment. AoB Plants 7: plu074. doi: <u>10.1093/aobpla/plu074</u>
- Peek MS, Russek-Cohen E, Wait AD, Forseth IN (2002) Physiological response curve analysis using nonlinear mixed models. Oecologia 132:175–180.
- 41. Schabenberger O, Pierce FJ (2002) Contemporary Statistical Models for the Plant and Soil Sciences. CRC press.
- Lawrence GB, Lovett GM, Baevsky YH (2000) Atmospheric deposition and watershed nitrogen export along an elevational gradient in the Catskill Mountains, New York. Biogeochem. 50:21–43.
- Talhelm AF, Pregitzer KS, Burton AJ (2011) No evidence that chronic nitrogen additions increase photosynthesis in mature sugar maple forests. Eco Applic. 21, 2413–2424.
- 44. Elvir JA, Wiersma GB, Bethers S, Kenlan P (2010) Effects of chronic ammonium sulfate treatment on the forest at the Bear Brook Watershed in Maine. Enivron. Monitor. Assessm. 129–147.
- Lovett GM, Weathers KC, Sobczak WV (2000) Nitrogen saturation and retention in forested watersheds of the Catskill Mountains, New York. Ecol. Applic. 10: 73–84.
- 46. Eallonardo AS (2006) An empirical test of nitrogen saturation in the understory of the Catskill Mountains of New York. M.S. Thesis. State University of New York College of Environmental Science and Forestry.
- 47. Hurd TM, Brach AR, Raynal DJ (1998) Response of understory vegetation of Adirondack forests to nitrogen additions. Can J For Res. 28:799–807.
- Jones ME, Fenn ME, Paine TD (2011) The effect of nitrogen addition on bracken fern and its insect herbivores at sites with high and low atmospheric pollution. Arthropod Plant Interac. 5:163–173.
- 49. Hggett BA, Schaberg PG, Hawley GJ, Eagar C (2007) Long-term calcium addition increases growth release, wound closure, and health of sugar maple (*Acer saccharum*) trees at the Hubbard Brook Experimental Forest. Can J For Res. 37:1692–1700.