

RAND CENTER FOR HEALTH AND SAFETY IN THE WORKPLACE

A study by the RAND Institute for Civil Justice and RAND Health

CHILDREN AND FAMILIES

EDUCATION AND THE ARTS

ENERGY AND ENVIRONMENT

HEALTH AND HEALTH CARE

INFRASTRUCTURE AND TRANSPORTATION

INTERNATIONAL AFFAIRS

LAW AND BUSINESS

NATIONAL SECURITY

POPULATION AND AGING

PUBLIC SAFETY

SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY

TERRORISM AND HOMELAND SECURITY

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit institution that helps improve policy and decisionmaking through research and analysis.

This electronic document was made available from www.rand.org as a public service of the RAND Corporation.

Skip all front matter: <u>Jump to Page 1</u> ▼

Support RAND

Browse Reports & Bookstore

Make a charitable contribution

For More Information

Visit RAND at www.rand.org

Explore the RAND Center for Health and Safety in the Workplace

View document details

Limited Electronic Distribution Rights

This document and trademark(s) contained herein are protected by law as indicated in a notice appearing later in this work. This electronic representation of RAND intellectual property is provided for non-commercial use only. Unauthorized posting of RAND electronic documents to a non-RAND website is prohibited. RAND electronic documents are protected under copyright law. Permission is required from RAND to reproduce, or reuse in another form, any of our research documents for commercial use. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please see <u>RAND Permissions</u>.

This product is part of the RAND Corporation technical report series. Reports may include research findings on a specific topic that is limited in scope; present discussions of the methodology employed in research; provide literature reviews, survey instruments, modeling exercises, guidelines for practitioners and research professionals, and supporting documentation; or deliver preliminary findings. All RAND reports undergo rigorous peer review to ensure that they meet high standards for research quality and objectivity.

REPORT

RAND/UCLA Quality-of-Care Measures for Carpal Tunnel Syndrome

Appendix V, Part A: Materials for Scoring Electrodiagnosis Quality Measures (Scoring Instructions)

```
Teryl K. Nuckols • Anne Griffin • Steven M. Asch • Douglas A. Benner • Erika Bruce
Mary Cassidy • Walter T. Chang • Neil G. Harness • Liisa Hiatt • Charles K. Jablecki
Joanne Jerome • Karl J. Sandin • Rebecca Shaw • Haoling H. Weng • Melinda Maggard Gibbons
```

Supported by the California Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation and by the Zenith Insurance Company

A study by the RAND Institute for Civil Justice and RAND Health

This research was jointly supported by the California Commission on Health and Safety and Workers' Compensation and by the Zenith Insurance Company, and was undertaken within the RAND Center for Health and Safety in the Workplace.

The RAND Corporation is a nonprofit institution that helps improve policy and decisionmaking through research and analysis. RAND's publications do not necessarily reflect the opinions of its research clients and sponsors.

RAND® is a registered trademark.

© Copyright 2011 RAND Corporation

Permission is given to duplicate this document for personal use only, as long as it is unaltered and complete. Copies may not be duplicated for commercial purposes. Unauthorized posting of RAND documents to a non-RAND website is prohibited. RAND documents are protected under copyright law. For information on reprint and linking permissions, please visit the RAND permissions page (http://www.rand.org/publications/permissions.html).

Published 2011 by the RAND Corporation
1776 Main Street, P.O. Box 2138, Santa Monica, CA 90407-2138
1200 South Hayes Street, Arlington, VA 22202-5050
4570 Fifth Avenue, Suite 600, Pittsburgh, PA 15213-2665
RAND URL: http://www.rand.org
To order RAND documents or to obtain additional information, contact
Distribution Services: Telephone: (310) 451-7002;
Fax: (310) 451-6915; Email: order@rand.org

Appendix V: Materials for Scoring Electrodiagnosis Quality Measures

Scoring Instructions

These measures should be scored by a physician with specific training in electrodiagnosis (EDX). The purpose of the Scoring Instructions is to provide basic information that can be used to determine how to score the quality measures and related variables. Because the Scoring Instructions are long, recording data for individual patients on it would use a lot of paper. Instead, data for individual patients can be recorded on the separate and much shorter Data Form. Thus, if the Scoring Instructions are analogous to a test you might take in school, the Data Form is analogous to the sheet on which you record your answers to test questions. The Guidance Document contains detailed definitions and instructions; abstractors should refer to that document before scoring the measures and variables the first time, if they encounter unusual situations, or if they have any detailed questions.

In these documents, questions are numbered as follows:

- Questions pertaining to section or subsection eligibility are indicated by an "E" after the question.
- Questions pertaining to individual measures are indicated by "M" after the question number number.
 - o "ME" means that the question pertains to eligibility for the measure.
 - o "MC" means that the question addresses components of an individual measure.
 - o "MA" means that the question addresses whether or not care adhered to the requirements of the measure.
- Additional variables that are not directly related to an individual measure are indicated by "V" after the question number.

Answer the following questions as directed for each diagnostic test completed <u>on the study hand</u>. Complete one Data Form per electrodiagnostic test.

EDX.01.V Hand Studied: Which hand is the study hand and/or the subject of this electrodiagnostic test?

EDX.02.V Test Date:	Date of electrodiagnostic test
/	

EDX.03.E Eligibility for EDX Measures

Is the comp raw data)?	lete electrodiagnostic test report for this test included in the medical record (interpretation and
	YES → Eligible for remaining questions, continue
	NO or UNCLEAR -> Not eligible for remaining questions, skip to next electrodiagnostic test until answers are recorded for all available electrodiagnostic tests
EDX.04.1	M Essential components of EDX evaluation for CTS
	port from this electrodiagnostic test indicate that <u>the following test components</u> were Select all boxes on left that apply. Document Measure Components and Measure Adherence Form.
	EDX.04.MC.1 Median motor (motor nerve conduction study on median nerve): Either of the following:
	1. Amplitude, distal latency \underline{AND} nerve conduction velocity were documented OR
	2. Motor nerve conduction responses were documented as unobtainable for the median nerve in the study hand
	EDX.04.MC.2 Median sensory (sensory nerve conduction study on median nerve): Either of the following:
	1. Peak latency AND amplitude OR
	2. Sensory nerve conduction responses were documented as unobtainable for the median nerve in the study hand
	EDX.04.MC.3 Ipsilateral sensory (sensory nerve conduction study on ipsilateral radial or ulnar nerve): Peak latency <u>AND</u> amplitude were documented for a sensory nerve conduction study of the ipsilateral ulnar OR radial sensory nerve at the wrist
	Summarize Adherence
	ALL THREE BOXES CHECKED → Pass, continue to next measure
	NONE to TWO BOXES CHECKED, or UNCLEAR \rightarrow No Pass, continue to next measure
EDX.05.1	M Skin temperature should be measured during EDX testing
Was the ski	n temperature of that hand/arm recorded during the electrodiagnostic test?
	YES → Pass, continue to next measure
	NO or UNCLEAR → No Pass, skip to EDX.07.E

EDX.06.M Low skin temperature should be normalized before EDX testing

	his electrodiagnostic test pass measure EDX.05.M <u>AND</u> was the first skin temperature hand/arm less than or equal to 32 degrees Celsius (89.6 degrees Fahrenheit)?
☐ YES	S → Eligible for this measure, continue
□ NO	or UNCLEAR -> Not eligible for this measure, skip to next measure
	the report for this test document that a repeat skin temperature was at least 32 degrees the nerve conduction studies were performed, such as due to a provider warming the skin?
□ YES	S → Pass, continue to next measure
□ NO	or UNCLEAR → No Pass, continue to next measure
EDX.07.E El	igibility for EDX.08.M through EDX.20.M
Did the physicia	n who interpreted the electrodiagnostic test call it positive for or consistent with CTS?
□ YES	S → Eligible for remaining measures, continue to EDX.08.M
□ NO test	or UNCLEAR → Not eligible for remaining measures, skip to next electrodiagnostic
which determ justified. The performed du	through EDX.19.MA: All of these questions pertain to one quality measures ines whether or not calling an electrodiagnostic test positive for CTS is measure considers the results of four different component studies that may be ring an electrodiagnostic test. A positive result on any of these studies justifies ctrodiagnostic test positive overall.
EDX.08.ME	Eligibility for EDX.09.MC through EDX.10.MC
	iagnostic test include a digit-wrist study of the radial nerve, meaning was the ipsilateral radia with the median nerve AND were conduction distances 10 cm?
□ YES	S → Eligible for EDX.09.MC through EDX.10.MC, continue
	or UNCLEAR → Not eligible for EDX.09.MC through EDX.10.MC, skip to X.11.ME
EDX.09.M S	ensory peak latencies at 10 cm
	9.MC.1 What was the median sensory peak latency at 10 cm? ms 9.MC.2 What was the radial sensory peak latency at 10 cm? ms

EDX.10.MC Criteria for calling digit-wrist study of radial nerve positive

Based on va	lues from EDX.09.MC above, select all that apply.	
*	The radial sensory peak latency was less than 2.9 ms	
*	The difference between the median sensory peak latency and radial sensory peak latency was 0.4 ms or greater	
	Summarize digit-wrist study, radial nerve	
	BOTH → This study can be called POSITIVE for CTS, skip to EDX.19.MA	
	ONE OR NONE -> Cannot call this study positive for CTS, continue to EDX.11.ME	
EDX.11.	ME Eligibility for EDX.12.MC through EDX.13.MC	
	ctrodiagnostic test include a palm-wrist study of the ulnar nerve, meaning was the ipsilateral ulnar ared with the median nerve AND were conduction distances 8 cm?	
	YES → Eligible for EDX.12.MC through EDX.13.MC, continue	
	NO or UNCLEAR → Not eligible for EDX.12.MC through EDX.13.MC, skip to EDX.14.ME	
EDX.12.M Sensory peak latencies at 8 cm		
EΓ	X.12.MC.1 What was the median sensory peak latency at 8 cm? ms	
ED	X.12.MC.2 What was the ulnar sensory peak latency at 8 cm? ms	
EDX.13.	MC Criteria for calling palm-wrist study of ulnar nerve positive	
Based on th	e values for EDX.12.MC, select all that apply:	
*	The ulnar sensory peak latency was less than 2.3 ms	
*	The difference between the median sensory peak latency and ulnar sensory peak latency was greater than $0.4\ \mathrm{ms}$	

----- Summarize palm-wrist study, ulnar nerve ------

 $\hfill \Box$ BOTH \rightarrow This study can be called POSITIVE for CTS, skip to EDX.19.MA

□ ONE OR NONE → Cannot call this study positive for CTS, continue to EDX.14.ME

EDX.14.ME Eligibility for EDX.15.MC through EDX.16.MC

Did the electrodiagnostic test include a digit-wrist study of the ulnar nerve, meaning was the ipsilateral ulnar nerve compared with the median nerve AND were conduction distances 13 to 14 cm?
☐ YES → Eligible for EDX.15.MC through EDX.16.MC, continue
□ NO or UNCLEAR → Not eligible for EDX.15.MC through EDX.16.MC, skip to EDX.17.ME
EDX.15.M Sensory peak latencies at 13-14 cm
EDX.15.MC.1 What was the median sensory peak latency at 13-14 cm? ms
EDX.15.MC.2 What was the ulnar sensory peak latency at 13-14 cm? ms
EDX.16.MC Criteria for calling digit-wrist study of ulnar nerve positive
Based on the values for EDX.15.MC, select all that apply:
❖ The ulnar sensory peak latency was less than 3.6 ms
The difference between the median sensory peak latency and ulnar sensory peak latency was 0.6 ms or greater
Summarize digit-wrist study, ulnar nerve
☐ BOTH → This study can be called POSITIVE for CTS, skip to EDX.19.MA
□ ONE OR NONE → Cannot call this study positive for CTS, continue to next measure, continue to EDX.17.ME
EDX.17.ME Eligibility for EDX.18.MC: Other criteria for calling electrodiagnostic test positive
Was the sensory peak latency unobtainable for the median nerve AND a median wrist abductor-pollicis-brevistudy was performed, meaning that motor distal latency was assessed on the median nerve between the wrist and the abductor pollicis brevis muscle?
☐ YES → Eligible for EDX.18.MC, continue
□ NO or UNCLEAR → Not eligible for EDX.18.MC, skip to EDX.19.MA
EDX.18.MC Criteria for calling median wrist abductor-pollicis-brevis study positive
Was the motor distal latency on the median wrist abductor-pollicis-brevis study greater than 4.5 ms?
☐ YES → This study is consistent with CTS, continue
\square NO \rightarrow Cannot conclude this study is consistent with CTS, continue

EDX.19.MA Criteria for calling test positive for CTS overall

Considering consistent v	g the results from EDX.10.MC, EDX.13.MC, EDX.16.MC or EDX.18.MC, were any results vith CTS?
	YES → Pass, continue
	NO or UNCLEAR → No Pass, continue
EDX.20.M	Criteria for calling positive EDX test for CTS severe
Eligibility:	Was this test interpreted as severe CTS?
	YES → Eligible for measure, continue
	NO or UNCLEAR or MISSING RECORDS → Not eligible for this measure, skip to next electrodiagnostic test until answers are recorded for all available tests.
	Was needle electromyography performed on muscles innervated by the median nerve in the study were the following documented? Select all that apply.
	EDX.20.MC.1 Reduction in recruitment
	EDX.20.MC.1 Motor unit action potentials (MUAPs) of increased duration and amplitude or acute CTS (onset < one week)
	Summarize Adherence
	BOTH → Pass
	NONE or ONE, or needle electromyography was not performed, or UNCLEAR $ ightarrow$ No Pass
Go to	next electrodiagnostic test until Data Forms have been completed for all available tests.