SRNL-STI-2012-00501 Revision 0

Analysis of Harrell Monosodium Titanate Lot #46000619120

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow

September 2012

Savannah River National Laboratory Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC Aiken, SC 29808

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC09-08SR22470.



DISCLAIMER

This work was prepared under an agreement with and funded by the U.S. Government. Neither the U.S. Government or its employees, nor any of its contractors, subcontractors or their employees, makes any express or implied:

1. warranty or assumes any legal liability for the accuracy, completeness, or for the use or results of such use of any information, product, or process disclosed; or

2. representation that such use or results of such use would not infringe privately owned rights; or

3. endorsement or recommendation of any specifically identified commercial product, process, or service.

Any views and opinions of authors expressed in this work do not necessarily state or reflect those of the United States Government, or its contractors, or subcontractors.

Printed in the United States of America

Prepared for U.S. Department of Energy

SRNL-STI-2012-00501 Revision 0

Keywords: MST, ISDP

Retention: Permanent

Analysis of Harrell Monosodium Titanate Lot #46000619120

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow

September 2012

Savannah River National Laboratory Savannah River Nuclear Solutions, LLC Aiken, SC 29808

Prepared for the U.S. Department of Energy under contract number DE-AC09-08SR22470.



REVIEWS AND APPROVALS

AUTHORS:

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, Separations and Actinide Science Programs	Date
TECHNICAL REVIEW:	
T. C. Shehee, Separations and Actinide Science Programs	Date
APPROVAL:	
S. D. Fink, Manager Separations and Actinide Science Programs	Date
S. L. Marra, Manager Environmental & Chemical Process Technology Research Programs	Date
D. J. Martin, Manager H Tank Farm Engineering	Date

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Monosodium titanate (MST) for use in the Actinide Removal Process (ARP) must be qualified and verified in advance. A single qualification sample for each batch of material is sent to SRNL for analysis, as well as a statistical sampling of verification samples. The Harrell Industries Lot #46000619120 qualification and the 13 verification samples met each of the selected specification requirements that were tested and, consequently, the material is acceptable for use in the ARP process.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF TABLES	vi
LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS	vi
1.0 Introduction	1
2.0 Experimental Procedure	1
3.0 Results and Discussion	1
4.0 Conclusions	2
5.0 References	3

LIST OF TABLES

Table 3-1.	Weight percent, pH, and Density Results for All Samples	2
Table 3-2.	Results of the Qualification Sample Analyses	2

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ARP	Actinide Removal Process
CSSX	Caustic Side Solvent Extraction
DF	decontamination factor
IC	ion chromatography
ICP-ES	$inductively\ coupled\ plasma-emission\ spectroscopy$
MCU	Modular CSSX Unit
MST	monosodium titanate
SRNL	Savannah River National Laboratory
TIC-TOC	total inorganic carbon - total organic carbon
VOA	volatile organic analysis

1.0 Introduction

Harrell Industries is under contract with Savannah River Remediation (SRR) to provide MST for use in the Actinide Removal Process (ARP). A 1-L qualification sample from Lot #46000619120 was sent to the Savannah River National Laboratory (SRNL) to confirm the material meets certain requirements specified in the purchase specification.¹

The vendor is also obligated to send verification samples from $\sim 10\%$ or more of the pails of MST product for each lot. The verification samples are selected from the entire inventory of pails so that the set of verification samples represents pails filled from the beginning to the end of the pail-filling operation for the entire lot of MST. For the verification of this lot, Harrell Industries sent 13 samples, one each from pails #1, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 100, 110, and 120 of 121 total pails.

SRR requested analysis of the qualification sample for weight percent MST, density, pH, volatile organics, and particle size. They also requested analysis of the verification samples for weight percent solids, density, and pH.² The work was controlled by a Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan (TTQAP).³

2.0 Experimental Procedure

SRNL analyzed the qualification and verification samples for density, pH, and weight percent solids. Density was measured using an electronic pipette in triplicate. The pH was measured by colorimetric pH strips, and the weight percent solids were measured in triplicate using a Mettler-Toledo Halogen Moisture Analyzer HG63 instrument.

Aliquots of the qualification sample were removed under well mixed conditions to provide subsamples for each of the analyses. SRNL performed the following analyses: volatile organic analysis (VOA) and particle size using a Microtrac[®] S3500 analyzer.

3.0 Results and Discussion

The results of the weight percent, pH, and density measurements are reported in Table 3-1, while the results of the additional qualification sample analyses are reported in Table 3-2.

Sample ID	Weight % Solids	nH ^a	Density ^b (g/mL)
Sample ID	(Standard Deviation)	pii	(%RSD)
Qualification	15.59 (±0.096) %	12.0	1.127 (0.01%)
Pail #1	15.73 (±0.205) %	12.0	1.127 (0.07%)
Pail #10	15.78 (±0.155) %	12.0	1.128 (0.03%)
Pail #20	15.64 (±0.046) %	12.0	1.118 (0.30%)
Pail #30	15.65 (±0.121) %	12.0	1.128 (0.03%)
Pail #40	15.77 (±0.098) %	12.0	1.127 (0.12%)
Pail #50	15.82 (±0.032) %	12.0	1.124 (0.38%)
Pail #60	15.75 (±0.078) %	12.0	1.125 (0.05%)
Pail #70	15.62 (±0.066) %	12.0	1.125 (0.12%)
Pail #80	15.65 (±0.030) %	12.0	1.127 (0.11%)
Pail #90	15.64 (±0.116) %	12.0	1.122 (0.15%)
Pail #100	15.49 (±0.040) %	12.0	1.127 (0.05%)
Pail #110	15.51 (±0.076) %	12.0	1.123 (0.10%)
Pail #120	15.66 (±0.169) %	12.0	1.123 (0.05%)
		÷	
Average	15.66 (±0.097) %	12.0	1.125 (0.24%)
Acceptable Range ¹	15-17 %	> 10	no requirement

Table 3-1. Weight percent, pH, and Density Results for All Samples

a) The uncertainty of the pH measurement is 0.5 pH units.

b) Density measurements taken at 23 °C.

Table 3-2. Results of the Qualification Sample Analyses

Property	Method	Result	Specification	Pass ?
Volatile Organics	VOA	33 ppm ⁱ	n/a ⁱⁱ	n/a
Particle Size, < 0.8 μm	Microtrac®	5.33 vol %	<10 vol %	YES
Particle Size, > 37 μm	Microtrac®	0.15 vol %	<1 vol %	YES
Particle Size, geometric standard deviation (absorbance mode)	Microtrac®	3.13	≤3.5	YES

The "Particle Size, geometric standard deviation" is defined as the 50th percentile result divided by the 16th percentile result. Microtrac[®] results have a 10% analytical uncertainty. VOA results have a 20% analytical uncertainty.

4.0 Conclusions

Analyses of the Harrell Lot #46000619120 MST material indicate the material falls within the specifications required for use at ARP.

ⁱ Isopropanol = 33 ppm, all other analytes = < 0.25 ppm

ⁱⁱ Purchase specification does not include a specification for volatile organics, only total alcohol content of < 500 ppm.

5.0 References

- 1. Specification for Purchase of 15 wt % Monosodium Titanate (MST) for 96-H ARP, Specification No. X-SPP-H-00012, Rev. 6, November 2010.
- 2. C. Duffey, "MST Qualification and Verification", X-TTR-H-00017, Rev. 0, February 2012.
- 3. K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, "Task Technical and Quality Assurance Plan for Monosodium Titanate (MST) Qualification and Verification", SRNL-RP-2012-00094, Rev. 0, March 2012.

Distribution:

K. M. Fox, 999-W S. D. Fink, 773-A B. J. Giddings, 786-5A C. C. Herman, 999-W S. L. Marra, 773-A F. M. Pennebaker, 773-42A W. R. Wilmarth, 773-A Records Administration (EDWS)

E. J. Freed, 704-56H
D. J. Martin, 241-152H
M. W. Geeting, 241-152H
T. A. Le, 766-H
A. R. Shafer, 704-27S
C. K. Chiu, 704-27S
S. E. Campbell, 241-152H
B. A. Gifford, 704-56H
R. M. Wolfenden, 704-56 H
K. L. Lang, 704-27S

P. R. Jackson, DOE-SR, 703-46A K. H. Subramanian, 766-H

K. M. L. Taylor-Pashow, 773-A T. C. Shehee, 773-A T. B. Peters, 773-42A M. R. Poirier, 773-42A F. F. Fondeur, 773-A D. T. Hobbs, 773-A