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## Notation

AGEM Applied Geosciences and Environmental Management
AMSL above mean sea level
BGL below ground level
BTOC below top of casing
${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \quad$ degree(s) Celsius

CCC Commodity Credit Corporation
CD compact disc
COC chain of custody
EPA U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ft foot (feet)
gal gallon(s)
$\mathrm{hr} \quad$ hour(s)
in. inch(es)
KDHE Kansas Department of Health and Environment
L liter(s)
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{kg} \quad$ microgram(s) per kilogram
$\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ microgram(s) per liter
$\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm} \quad$ microsiemen(s) per centimeter
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L} \quad$ milligram(s) per liter
min minute
$\mathrm{mV} \quad$ millivolt(s)
ND not detected
PWS public water supply
RBSL risk-based screening level
USDA U.S. Department of Agriculture
VOC volatile organic compound
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## 1 Introduction and Background

Barnes, Kansas, is a small rural community (population approximately 150) located in Washington County, in north-central Kansas (Figure 1.1). Barnes is located in Section 9, Township 4 South, Range 5 East, at approximate latitude $39^{\circ} 43^{\prime \prime} 0^{\prime \prime}$ north and longitude $96^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 25^{\prime \prime}$ west (USGS 1968). The city lies in a transition zone between the Flint Hills and the glaciated region. The area's topography consists of gently sloping hills of Pleistocene loess ( $<20 \mathrm{ft}$ ) overlying a shale unit and interbedded shale, limestone, and siltstone of the Permian Chase Group. Groundwater for the public water supply is obtained from wells PWS2 and PWS3 at reported depths of 155 ft and 160 ft , respectively, located in the northwestern portion of the city. The water is produced from the bedrock aquifer of the Chase Group. Section 2 summarizes of the hydrogeologic conceptual site model.

In 1986, low levels of carbon tetrachloride were detected in public supply wells PWS2 $(2.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$ and PWS3 $(0.5 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L})$, below the maximum contaminant level of $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for carbon tetrachloride in drinking water. Multiple samplings of the wells in 1986-1996 found repeated sporadic detections of carbon tetrachloride at low concentrations.

In 1996-1999, the Kansas Department of Health and Environment (KDHE) conducted two investigations to identify potential sources for the carbon tetrachloride in groundwater and to determine the extent of the contamination, as follows:

1. In 1996, a Phase I comprehensive investigation was conducted to identify potential sources for the contamination in wells PWS2 and PWS3. The KDHE activities focused on the two following potential source areas closest to the public wells:

- The site of the former high school, including an agricultural vocational building where chemicals including carbon tetrachloride and chloroform had been mixed and stored as part of the high school curriculum and then dumped outside (PRC 1996). Subsequently, the chemicals were inventoried and disposed of as hazardous waste through the KDHE (USD 233 1989). This site is less than 250 ft from the public wells.
- The site of the grain storage facility formerly operated by the Commodity Credit Corporation of the U.S. Department of Agriculture (CCC/USDA), approximately 800 ft downgradient from the public wells. The CCC/USDA facility was in operation in 1949-1974. During this time, carbon tetrachloride-based fumigants were used for preservation of the stored grain.

As part of the 1996 comprehensive investigation, soil gas and soil samples were collected at the sites of the former high school and the former CCC/USDA grain storage facility. Groundwater samples from the public wells and local private wells were also collected. Low levels of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform were detected in the soil gas samples at both the former high school and the former CCC/USDA grain storage facility. Carbon tetrachloride was not detected in the soil samples or the water samples.
2. In 1998-1999, the KDHE conducted a Phase II comprehensive investigation to determine the extent of the groundwater contamination and the local groundwater gradient. Five monitoring wells (MW1S, MW1D, MW2D, MW3D, and MW4D) were installed to delineate the contamination previously detected in wells PWS2 and PWS3. Carbon tetrachloride was detected in well MW4D, on the former CCC/USDA grain storage property.

In 2006, the CCC/USDA assumed responsibility for the site investigation of the carbon tetrachloride contamination, which could in part potentially be linked to historical use of carbon tetrachloride-based fumigants at its former facility. Initially, the CCC/USDA developed and implemented a work plan for targeted groundwater sampling and monitoring well installation (Argonne 2006). The investigation and subsequent monitoring (Argonne 2008a-d, 2009a-b, 2010, 2011) were performed by the Environmental Science Division of Argonne National Laboratory. The reports of environmental investigations at Barnes are summarized in Table 1.1. The results have been reported in detail in the cited references.

The CCC/USDA activities at Barnes have been as follows:

1. In 2006-2007, the CCC/USDA conducted a comprehensive targeted investigation at and near its former property. A network of 28 monitoring
wells was established at 19 locations (including the 5 wells previously installed by the KDHE; Argonne 2008a). The investigation results indicated that carbon tetrachloride contamination is present in groundwater at low to moderate levels in the vicinity of the former CCC/USDA grain storage facility and extends westward at diminishing concentrations toward the public wells.
2. In November 2007, the CCC/USDA began periodic sampling to monitor the identified carbon tetrachloride contamination in groundwater. The sampling was initially conducted quarterly to verify that the contaminant migration pattern does not pose an imminent risk to the public wells. The sampling is now conducted annually.
3. In 2009, a contingency interim measure (Argonne 2009c) was approved by the KDHE (2009).
4. Through 2010, sampling was conducted in a network of 28 individual monitoring wells (at 19 distinct locations), 2 public water supply wells, and 1 private well (Figure 1.2). On the basis of an evaluation of the data collected in 2006-2009 (Argonne 2010), including a trend analysis of the site contamination and its migration, the KDHE (2010b) concurred that future monitoring will occur annually, with twice-yearly sampling of the two public water supply wells in service. The KDHE (2010a) also agreed to decrease the number of wells to be sampled.

The key results and findings of prior investigations at Barnes indicate the following:

- No soil contamination at concentrations above the method quantitation limit of $10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ has been detected on the former CCC/USDA property. Trace concentrations of carbon tetrachloride ( $<10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) were detected at three locations on the former CCC/USDA property. These trace concentrations will not result in higher concentrations in groundwater, and therefore the soil on the former CCC/USDA property is not an ongoing significant source for carbon tetrachloride contamination.
- The levels of carbon tetrachloride contamination detected in groundwater are relatively low and limited in extent, and the boundaries of the carbon tetrachloride plume have been defined. The results of the 2006-2007 targeted investigation and the subsequent monitoring events (Argonne 2008a-d, 2009a,b, 2010, 2011) demonstrated the presence of carbon tetrachloride contamination in groundwater at levels exceeding the RBSL of $5.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ for this compound. The contaminant plume extends from the former CCC/USDA property northwestward, toward the Barnes public water supply wells. Longterm monitoring of the groundwater levels and the contaminant distribution has confirmed that pumping of the public wells affects the direction of groundwater flow. When these wells are not pumping, the direction of groundwater flow is to the northeast. However, when the wells are pumping, groundwater flow is directed to the northwest, toward the public wells.
- The distribution of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform in both soil and groundwater suggests that natural degradation has occurred. The highest level of carbon tetrachloride in soil was found at location MW5, which lies between the former CCC/USDA facility and a surface drainage ditch extending toward the northeast (in the direction of natural groundwater flow when the public wells are not pumping). Carbon tetrachloride was distributed throughout the MW5 soil profile at 28-71 ft BGL (below ground level), from a maximum concentration of $40 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ at 39 ft BGL to an estimated concentration (below the method quantitation limit) of $2.3 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ at 71.5 ft BGL. Concentrations of chloroform (the primary degradation product of carbon tetrachloride) showed an increasing trend with depth. Only low-level residual concentrations of carbon tetrachloride remained in soils on the former CCC/USDA property. The highest levels of carbon tetrachloride in groundwater are found on the former CCC/USDA property, but the highest chloroform concentrations are found at well locations to the northeast (in the direction of groundwater flow when the public wells are not pumping). At these locations, relatively lower dissolved oxygen and oxidation-reduction potential values (conducive to reductive dechlorination) have consistently been measured.
- Barnes residents have access to an uncontaminated public water supply. Residents obtain their water from two public water supply wells northwest of the former CCC/USDA property. The carbon tetrachloride plume is well defined downgradient, between the former CCC/USDA property and the public wells. Although trace levels of carbon tetrachloride have been detected in well PWS2, no increasing trend of contaminant migration toward the public wells has been indicated. Sentinel wells MW1D and MW17 provide data sufficient to monitor contaminant migration. A contingency interim measure work plan (Argonne 2009c) approved by the KDHE (2009) involving a granular activated carbon system could be implemented if necessary.

This present report documents the results of monitoring conducted in 2011. Sampling of the approved monitoring well network and the two public water supply wells was conducted on September 28-29 2011. The two public wells were sampled again on December 12, 2011.

TABLE 1.1 Summary of environmental investigation reports for Barnes.

|  | Reference |
| :--- | :--- |
| KDHE reports |  |
|  |  |
| Comprehensive investigation of the public water supply | BE\&K 1999 |
| Results of quarterly monitoring of the public water supply in March 2000 | BE\&K 2000 |
|  |  |
| CCC/USDA reports | Argonne 2006 |
|  | Argonne 2008a |
| Work plan for targeted groundwater sampling | Argonne 2008b |
| Results of the 2006-2007 investigation | Argonne 2008c |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in November 2007 | Argonne 2008d |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in March 2008 | Argonne 2009a |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in July 2008 | Argonne 2009b |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in October 2008 | Argonne 2009c |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in March-June 2009 | Argonne 2010 |
| Contingency interim measure for the public water supply | Argonne 2011 |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in July-December 2009 |  |
| Results of groundwater monitoring in 2010 |  |



FIGURE 1.1 Location of Washington County and Barnes, Kansas.


FIGURE 1.2 Groundwater sampling locations in 2010. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).

## 2 Conceptual Site Model

Barnes lies in a transition zone between the Flint Hills and the glaciated region. The area's topography consists of gently sloping hills of Pleistocene loess (with variations in elevation $<50 \mathrm{ft}$ ) overlying a shale unit and interbedded shale, limestone, and siltstone of the Permian Chase Group. Groundwater for the public water supply is produced from the bedrock aquifer of the Chase Group.

The site lithology and subsurface contaminant conditions were determined in the 20062007 investigation through the collection of continuous-core samples at 13 locations (MW5MW17) extending from east of the former CCC/USDA grain storage facility and westward, across the area of concern, toward the public water supply wells (Argonne 2008a). The predominant lithology consists of a thin layer of silty clay to clayey silt with fine sand in the upper 2-20 ft. This layer is underlain by highly weathered shale interbedded with thin layers of fractured limestone at depths of approximately $18-132 \mathrm{ft}$ BGL. No soil contamination at concentrations above the current RBSL of $73.4 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ for the soil-to-groundwater protection pathway was detected at any of the 13 locations. Trace concentrations ( $<10 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) of carbon tetrachloride were detected in soil at 3 locations on the former CCC/USDA property. These low concentrations would not result in higher concentrations in groundwater, and therefore the soil on the former CCC/USDA property is not considered to be a source for the carbon tetrachloride contamination in groundwater.

Groundwater is present predominantly in fractured limestone layers. Monitoring wells were installed and screened at various depths, with several locations completed as nested wells to determine contaminant concentrations at depths where water-bearing zones were indicated. Throughout the monitoring program, a detailed evaluation of the hand-measured water levels and carbon tetrachloride data has been conducted to investigate the stratigraphy of the saturated zone. The accumulated water level data confirm that three vertically distinct aquifer zones are present: shallow, intermediate, and deep. The vertical distribution of the carbon tetrachloride in groundwater indicates that the highest concentrations (approximately $50-80 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ over the course of the monitoring program to date) occur in the intermediate aquifer zone. Lower concentrations have been detected in the deep aquifer zone, and no carbon tetrachloride has been detected in the shallow zone. Trace levels of carbon tetrachloride have been detected periodically in the two public water supply wells; these wells are believed to be screened over all three aquifer zones.

Extensive documentation of the potentiometric surface at Barnes during the targeted investigation and subsequent monitoring events (Argonne 2008a-d, 2009a,b, 2010, 2011) indicates that operation of the public water supply wells strongly influences the groundwater flow direction. The data accumulated through 2010 documented a predominant direction of groundwater flow to the northeast under non-pumping conditions. In contrast, flow was toward the northwest, in the approximate direction of the public wells, when the wells were pumping. The data demonstrated that the daily operation of the public water supply wells corresponded with drawdowns of as much as 2.25 ft during pumping. Pumping and subsequent water level recovery periods typically ranged from 3 hr to 7 hr in duration, resulting in groundwater levels (and apparent flow directions) that shifted relatively continuously throughout much of each day. For this reason, water level data collected by the automatic recorders, which are coincident in time at all monitored locations, provided the primary basis for determining the topology of the potentiometric surface at any point in the cycles of groundwater pumping and recovery.

The automatic recorder data have also provided critical information needed to evaluate the hydrologic regime. The data accumulated for recorders installed in deep-zone wells indicate the presence of both vertical and lateral influences on the local hydraulic gradients. Intermediatezone wells equipped with automatic water level recorders have obtained detailed data on the potential temporal variability of the hydraulic heads in this aquifer zone.

## 3 Sampling and Analysis in 2011

### 3.1 Measurement of Groundwater Levels

Since 2006, data recorders have been gathering long-term data on the groundwater elevation and gradient at selected monitoring wells across the investigation area. The data loggers record water levels continuously at $60-\mathrm{min}$ intervals. Water level data collected by the automatic recorders, which are coincident in time at all monitored locations, have provided the primary basis for determination of the topology of the potentiometric surface at any point in the cycles of groundwater pumping and recovery. In addition, manual water level measurements are made in conjunction with sampling and recorder downloads.

In 2011, a total of 12 wells were monitored for water levels, at the locations shown in Figure 3.1. The hand-measured and automatically recorded groundwater level data are presented and discussed in Section 4.1. After multiple recorder failures in 2010, the CCC/USDA recommended restoring a network of 12 recorders (Argonne 2011). This was completed in November 2011. The network will be maintained at this level.

### 3.2 Well Sampling and Analyses

The groundwater sampling event on September 28-29, 2011, involved 12 monitoring wells (MW1D, MW2D, MW4D, MW5, MW8, MW10S, MW10D, MW12M, MW13S, MW13D, MW14S, MW17) and the two operating public wells (PWS2 and PWS3). Sampling of the two public water supply wells was also conducted on December 12, 2011. The well locations are shown in Figure 3.2. A chronological summary of the field activities in 2011 is in Appendix A, Table A.1.

Before implementation of the low-flow sampling, a hand-held water level indicator was used to measure the depth to groundwater and the total depth of each well, to within 0.01 ft , from the top of the well casing. After measurement of water levels, the low-flow groundwater sampling technique, according to U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) guidelines (Puls and Barcelona 1996; Yeskis and Zavala 2002), was used to purge and sample the monitoring wells. The public water supply wells were sampled at their respective faucets after purging for 5 min (Table A. 1 in Appendix A). The field measurements are in Appendix A, Table A.2. For
public wells PWS2 and PWS3, samples of untreated ("raw") produced water were collected at the wellheads prior to mixing and introduction into the public distribution system.

Groundwater samples designated for analyses for volatile organic compounds (VOCs) were collected in appropriate laboratory containers, labeled, packaged, and chilled to $4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ by placement in ice-filled coolers. The samples were shipped via an overnight delivery service to the Applied Geosciences and Environmental Management (AGEM) Laboratory at Argonne for VOCs analyses with EPA Method 524.2 (EPA 1995). Aliquots of selected samples (chosen in the field) were also shipped to TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc., South Burlington, Vermont, for verification VOCs analyses according to EPA Contract Laboratory Program protocols.

The analytical results are presented and discussed in Section 4.2.

### 3.3 Handling and Disposal of Investigation-Derived Waste

Purge water generated as potentially contaminated investigation-derived waste was containerized on-site. The accumulated purge water was sampled on October 31, 2011 (along with wastewater from several other CCC/USDA sites in Kansas), and analyzed by Pace Analytical Services, Inc., Lenexa, Kansas, on November 4, 2011. Methods used were EPA Method 5030/8260 for VOCs, EPA Method 504.1 for ethylene dibromide, and EPA Method 353.2 for nitrate/nitrite nitrogen. Carbon tetrachloride was detected at $1.1 \mu \mathrm{~g}$.L. No other VOCs were detected. Nitrate/nitrite nitrogen was present at $6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$. The laboratory results are in Supplement 1, on the compact disc (CD) inside the back cover of this report. The water was delivered on December 19, 2011 (together with purge water from several other CCC/USDA investigation sites in Kansas), for disposal at the Sabetha publicly owned wastewater treatment plant.

### 3.4 Quality Control for Sample Collection, Handling, and Analysis

Quality assurance/quality control procedures followed during the 2011 monitoring events are described in detail in the Master Work Plan (Argonne 2002). The results are summarized as follows:

- Sample collection and handling activities were monitored by the documentation of samples as they were collected and the use of chain-ofcustody forms and custody seals to ensure sample integrity during handling and shipment.
- Samples designated for VOCs analyses were received with custody seals intact and at the appropriate preservation temperature. All samples sent to the AGEM Laboratory were analyzed within the required holding times.
- Quality control samples collected to monitor sample-handling activities (a field blank, equipment rinsates, and trip blanks) and method blanks analyzed with the samples to monitor analytical methodologies were all free of carbon tetrachloride and chloroform contamination. Analytical results for quality control samples collected to monitor sample-handling activities are in Appendix B, Table B.1.
- Groundwater samples were analyzed for VOCs at the AGEM Laboratory by the purge-and-trap method on a gas chromatograph-mass spectrometer system. Calibration checks analyzed with each sample delivery group were required to be within $\pm 20 \%$ of the standard. Surrogate standard determinations performed on samples and blanks were within the specified range of 80-120\% for all samples, in either the initial analysis or a successful reanalysis.
- Results from the AGEM Laboratory for dual analyses of the groundwater samples are in Appendix B, Table B.1. The results of the dual analyses compare well, with average relative percent difference values for carbon tetrachloride and chloroform of approximately $2 \%$ and $1 \%$, respectively, indicating consistency in the sampling and analytical methodologies.
- In accordance with the procedures defined in the Master Work Plan (Argonne 2002), groundwater samples were submitted to a second laboratory (TestAmerica) for verification analysis according to the protocols of the EPA's Contract Laboratory Program. Documentation is in Supplement 2 (on CD). The results from the two laboratories compare favorably (Appendix B,

Table B.2), with average relative percent difference values for carbon tetrachloride and chloroform of $10 \%$ and $1 \%$, respectively.


FIGURE 3.1 Wells equipped with data loggers for automatic water level monitoring in November 2011. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).


FIGURE 3.2 Groundwater sampling locations in September 2011. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).

## 4 Results and Discussion

### 4.1 Groundwater Level Data

The manual water level measurements taken during 2011 are in Table 4.1. Included are measurements made during sampling of the monitoring well network on September 28-29, 2011, and hand measurements taken on March 16 and November 18, 2011, for all wells in the automatic water level monitoring network. Evaluation of manual water levels measurements (together with the contaminant distribution data discussed in Section 4.2) continues to suggest that three vertically distinguishable aquifer zones are present at Barnes: shallow, intermediate, and deep. The designations "S", " M ", and "D" in monitoring well names (Table 4.1) were assigned at the time of well installation to indicate shallow-, medium-, and deep-screened wells within individual well clusters, and they do not necessarily correspond with the designations of the aquifer zones identified later.

Table 4.2 shows the approximate water level elevations for wells screened in the three aquifer zones, as indicated by the long-term monitoring data accumulated since 2007. As in prior monitoring events, three vertically distinguished aquifer zones are evident in the 2011 data.

Water level data collected by the automatic recorders, which are coincident in time at all monitored locations, provide the primary basis for determination of the topology of the potentiometric surface at any point in the cycles of groundwater pumping and recovery. The water levels measured by hand over a finite time period in the areally distributed network of monitoring wells do not capture the documented short-term, transient water level variations that are related to pumping of the public water supply wells. Figure 4.1 presents a potentiometric surface map (under non-pumping conditions) on November 18, 2011, for the network of wells in the deeper aquifer zone currently being monitored. For comparison, the maps in Figure 4.2a and Figure 4.2b were derived from measurements taken on February 2, 2010, under non-pumping and pumping conditions, respectively.

The hydrographs in Figure 4.3a and Figure 4.3b summarize data for the recording transducers in the deep-zone wells (January-November 2011). The hydrographs show that the groundwater levels in the deep zone declined steadily from January 1 to about mid-May, then rebounded very slightly or stabilized from May until late August, and finally declined again to the end of the data period. Over the entire period of automated monitoring, the water levels in the
deep interval reached their transient (all-time) maximum in mid 2010. The decline observed through much of 2011 has returned the levels to elevations similar to those observed through 2008-2009, but the levels are still approximately 13 ft higher than the all-time lowest levels observed in 2006. Although the data from the intermediate zone are comparatively limited, the available results follow the trends noted in the deep zone.

Throughout the monitoring at Barnes - until June 20, 2011 - the hydrographs consistently showed relatively large and frequent (typically once or twice per day) drawdown spikes associated with pumping of the public wells (Figure 4.4). After this date, the clear cycling pattern is no longer present. Although the hydrographs continue to exhibit the pumping effect of the public wells, more frequent pumping, primarily during daytime, is apparent. This change in the pumping pattern of the public supply wells reflects a recent upgrade of the public water system (Oentrich 2011). In the past, the public wells were pumped manually when the level in the distribution water tower became low. Starting in June 2011, pumping of the wells is activated by a sensor in response to demand, to keep the water level in the tower more constant. As part of the system upgrade, the distribution lines were replaced to reduce leakage. This improvement is likely to result in reduced pumping of the public wells.

### 4.2 Analytical Results for Volatile Organic Compounds in Groundwater Samples and Lateral Distribution of the Contaminants

The analytical data for VOCs in the groundwater samples collected in 2011 are in Table 4.3, together with data for the previous sampling events at Barnes. The highest concentration of carbon tetrachloride in sitewide monitoring continues to be found at intermediate-zone well MW10S (located in the eastern portion of the former CCC/USDA facility and screened at 93-103 ft BGL). In this well, carbon tetrachloride was detected at $37 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ in September 2011, down from $78 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ in September 2010.

The lateral distribution of carbon tetrachloride in groundwater in sampling events in September 2009, September 2010, and September 2011 is illustrated in Figure 4.5. The distribution in 2011 is similar to the previous distribution. Contaminant concentrations at and near the public water supply wells remained low to undetectable. Because of the pulsing influence on groundwater flow patterns when the public wells are pumping, no clear migration trend toward these wells has been evident in sitewide monitoring to date. Carbon tetrachloride was not detected in either public well during sampling in December 2011.

The lateral distribution of chloroform in groundwater in 2011 (Figure 4.6) is also similar to the distribution during previous sampling events. The highest concentration of chloroform in sitewide sampling since 2007 has been found at well MW12M, located northwest of the former CCC/USDA facility and screened at $90-100 \mathrm{ft}$ BGL (in the intermediate aquifer zone), with concentrations of $1.0-5.9 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$. Relatively lower dissolved oxygen and oxidation-reduction potential values have been measured consistently at this location (Table A. 2 in Appendix A).

The vertical distribution of carbon tetrachloride in groundwater indicates that the highest concentrations are present in the intermediate zone, at wells MW10S ( $37 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), MW12M ( $16 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), and MW13S ( $8.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ ), as measured in September 2011 (Table 4.3). The deep-zone wells at these locations showed little to no change in carbon tetrachloride concentrations between the 2010 and 2011 sampling events (Figure 4.5).

TABLE 4.1 Hand-measured water levels in 2011.

| Well | Reference Elevation <br> (ft AMSL) | March 16, 2011 |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { September 28-29, } \\ 2011 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | November 18, 2011 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | ft TOC | ft AMSL | ft TOC | ft AMSL | ft TOC | ft AMSL |
| Shallow aquifer zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW1S | 1351.58 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW11S | 1336.58 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW12S | 1327.46 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Intermediate aquifer zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW10S | 1331.33 | 72.18 | 1259.15 | 74.10 | 1257.23 | 75.11 | 1256.22 |
| MW11M | 1336.51 | 77.13 | 1259.38 | - | - | 79.76 | 1256.75 |
| MW12M | 1327.46 | 68.45 | 1259.01 | 71.25 | 1256.21 | 71.44 | 1256.02 |
| MW13S | 1342.36 | - | - | 87.55 | 1254.81 | 88.15 | 1254.21 |
| MW17 | 1351.77 | 94.11 | 1257.66 | 96.23 | 1255.54 | 97.38 | 1254.39 |
| Deep aquifer zone |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW1D | 1351.33 | 113.67 | 1237.66 | 119.12 | 1232.21 | 120.28 | 1231.05 |
| MW2D | 1348.85 | - | - | 116.22 | 1232.63 | 118.03 | 1230.82 |
| MW3D | 1345.99 | 108.20 | 1237.79 | - | - | 114.66 | 1231.33 |
| MW4D | 1326.32 | 89.84 | 1236.48 | 95.31 | 1231.01 | - | - |
| MW5 | 1327.20 | - | - | 96.40 | 1230.80 | - | - |
| MW6S | 1323.13 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW6D | 1323.15 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW7 | 1329.91 | 94.08 | 1235.83 | - | - | 99.74 | 1230.17 |
| MW8 | 1330.06 | - | - | 98.42 | 1231.64 | - | - |
| MW9 | 1321.86 | 85.45 | 1236.41 | - | - | 91.41 | 1230.45 |
| MW10D | 1331.33 | - | - | 99.65 | 1231.68 | - | - |
| MW11D | 1336.53 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW12D | 1327.52 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW13D | 1342.37 | - | - | 110.20 | 1232.17 | - | - |
| MW14S | 1332.69 | - | - | 101.40 | 1231.29 | - | - |
| MW14D | 1332.74 | 95.89 | 1236.85 | - | - | 103.91 | 1228.83 |
| MW15S | 1309.34 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW15D | 1309.29 | 66.55 | 1242.74 | - | - | - | - |
| MW16S | 1299.47 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW16D | 1299.52 | 65.18 | 1234.34 | - | - | 70.36 | 1229.16 |
| Oentrich | 1336.93 | - | - | - | - | - | - |

TABLE 4.2 Elevation ranges measured for the three aquifer zones, 2007-2011.

|  | Elevation of Aquifer Zone (ft AMSL) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Shallow | Intermediate | Deep |
|  |  |  |  |
| November 2011 | - | $1,254-1,257$ | $1,229-1,231$ |
| September 2011 | - | $1,255-1,257$ | $1,231-1,233$ |
| March 2011 | - | $1,258-1,259$ | $1,234-1,243$ |
| September 2010 | 1,312 | $1,263-1,265$ | $1,242-1,256$ |
| July 2010 | - | $1,267-1,269$ | $1,244-1,254$ |
| March-April 2010 | 1,315 | $1,257-1,259$ | $1,233-1,243$ |
| February 2010 | 1,311 | $1,255-1,257$ | $1,230-1,238$ |
| October 2009 | $1,275-1,307$ | $1,254-1,256$ | $1,229-1,237$ |
| June 2009 | $1,274-1,310$ | $1,255-1,258$ | $1,232-1,241$ |
| March 2009 | 1,308 | $1,251-1,256$ | $1,229-1,236$ |
| November 2008 | - | $1,257-1,259$ | $1,233-1,242$ |
| October 2008 | 1,314 | $1,256-1,259$ | $1,235-1,242$ |
| July 2008 | 1,312 | $1,255-1,258$ | $1,229-1,239$ |
| March 2008 | 1,309 | $1,250-1,254$ | $1,223-1,229$ |
| November 2007 | 1,307 | $1,249-1,254$ | $1,220-1,239$ |
| June 2007 | $1,276-1,314$ | $1,247-1,254$ | $1,221-1,228$ |
|  |  |  |  |

TABLE 4.3 Analytical results from the AGEM Laboratory for volatile organic compounds in groundwater samples, 2006-2011.

| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{a}$ | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbon Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |
| Previously existing monitoring wells |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| MW1S | 13.3-23.3 | Not sampled (well dry) | 7/19/06 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 4/4/07 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 11/18/07 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 3/4/08 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 7/9/08 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 10/22/08 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 3/4/09 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 6/17/09 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 9/30/09 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 3/31/10 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 9/17/10 | - | - | - | - |
| MW1D | 139.85-159.4 | BAMW1D-W-21688 | 7/19/06 | 135.20 | 1.0 | $N D^{\text {a }}$ | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-22565 | 4/4/07 | 132.50 | 1.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-22593 | 11/18/07 | 124.89 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-22627 | 3/4/08 | 127.66 | 0.2 Jb | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-22668 | 7/9/08 | 119.40 | 0.2 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-27720 | 10/22/08 | 113.77 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-22703 | 3/4/09 | 119.90 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-28639 | 6/17/09 | 115.60 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-28678 | 9/30/09 | 120.40 | 0.3 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-28718 | 3/31/10 | 114.55 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-28761 | 9/17/10 | 105.28 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1D-W-28806 | 9/28/11 | 119.12 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW1DDUP-W-28820 | 9/28/11 | - | ND | ND | ND |
| MW2D | 133.26-152.93 | BAMW2D-W-21687 | 7/19/06 | 132.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-22564 | 4/4/07 | 130.17 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-22594 | 11/18/07 | 122.56 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-22628 | 3/7/08 | 125.55 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-22669 | 7/10/08 | 117.15 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-27721 | 10/22/08 | 113.55 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-22704 | 3/4/09 | 117.10 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-28640 | 6/18/09 | 115.70 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-28679 | 9/30/09 | 117.60 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-28719 | 3/31/10 | 112.40 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-28762 | 9/17/10 | 103.38 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW2D-W-28807 | 9/28/11 | 116.22 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW3D | 133.02-152.73 | BAMW3D-W-21686 | 7/19/06 | 128.96 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-22567 | 4/4/07 | 126.64 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-22595 | 11/19/07 | 126.25 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-22629 | 3/7/08 | 121.90 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-22670 | 7/10/08 | 113.30 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-27722 | 10/22/08 | 108.50 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-22705 | 3/4/09 | 116.10 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-28641 | 6/17/09 | 110.15 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-28680 | 9/30/09 | 116.30 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-28720 | 4/1/10 | 108.86 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW3D-W-28763 | 9/17/10 | 99.92 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW4D | 98.38-118.22 | BAMW4D-W-21690 | 7/20/06 | 108.80 | 2.1 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-22583 | 4/6/07 | 108.00 | 3.5 | 0.1 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-22596 | 11/19/07 | 101.39 | 1.7 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-22642 | 3/9/08 | 101.74 | 18 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-22671 | 7/12/08 | 93.60 | 9.4 | 0.5 J | ND |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Carbon <br> Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |

Previously existing monitoring wells (cont.)

| MW4D | $98.38-118.22$ | BAMW4D-W-27723 | $10 / 23 / 08$ | 89.90 | 7.6 | ND |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-22706 | $3 / 5 / 09$ | 94.75 | 7.2 | 0.3 J |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-28642 | $6 / 18 / 09$ | 92.25 | 9.1 | ND |
|  | BAMW4D-W-28681 | $9 / 30 / 09$ | 95.70 | 13 | ND |  |
|  | BAMW4D-W-28721 | $3 / 31 / 10$ | 91.45 | 13 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  | BAMW4D-W-28764 | $9 / 17 / 10$ | 81.25 | 12 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW4D-W-28808 | $9 / 28 / 11$ | 95.31 | 10 | ND |
|  |  |  |  |  | ND |  |

CCC/USDA wells installed during the 2006-2007 investigation

| MW5 | 110-120 | BAMW5-W-22589 | 4/6/07 | 108.40 | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-22597 | 11/19/07 | 102.78 | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-22637 | 3/8/08 | 102.00 | 0.7 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-22672 | 7/11/08 | 93.80 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-27724 | 10/23/08 | 91.40 | 3.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-22707 | 3/5/09 | 96.90 | 3.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-28643 | 6/19/09 | 93.80 | 4.8 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-28682 | 9/30/09 | 96.60 | 7.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-28722 | 3/30/10 | 92.06 | 7.7 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-28765 | 9/17/10 | 83.10 | 11 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW5-W-28809 | 9/28/11 | 96.40 | 10 | ND | ND |
| MW6S | 90.5-100.5 | Not sampled (well dry) | 4/4/07 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-22598 | 11/19/07 | 96.10 | 0.3 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-22635 | 3/8/08 | 94.50 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-22673 | 7/11/08 | 88.10 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-27725 | 10/23/08 | 84.60 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-22708 | 3/5/09 | 87.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-28644 | 6/18/09 | 86.05 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-28683 | 10/1/09 | 88.85 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-28723 | 3/31/10 | 86.15 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6S-W-28766 | 9/18/10 | 76.46 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW6D | 105-115 | BAMW6D-W-22573 | 4/5/07 | 105.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-22599 | 11/19/07 | 98.50 | 0.5 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-22636 | 3/8/08 | 98.50 | 0.8 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-22674 | 7/11/08 | 89.50 | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-27726 | 10/23/08 | 87.15 | 1.1 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-22709 | 3/5/09 | 93.00 | 1.4 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-28645 | 6/18/09 | 88.70 | 1.5 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-28684 | 10/1/09 | 91.92 | 1.5 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-28724 | 3/31/10 | 87.84 | 1.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW6D-W-28767 | 9/18/10 | 79.35 | 2.0 | ND | ND |
| MW7 | 116-126 | BAMW7-W-22588 | 4/6/07 | 111.11 | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-22600 | 11/19/07 | 105.50 | 2.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-22643 | 3/9/08 | 105.62 | 2.8 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-22675 | 7/12/08 | 97.50 | 1.7 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-27727 | 10/23/08 | 94.90 | 2.1 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-22710 | 3/5/09 | 99.80 | 1.4 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-28646 | 6/19/09 | 95.75 | 1.4 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-28685 | 9/30/09 | 99.55 | 1.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-28725 | 3/30/10 | 94.56 | 1.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW7-W-28768 | 9/17/10 | 85.67 | 2.6 | ND | ND |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{a}$ | Carbon Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |

CCC/USDA wells installed during the 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW8 | 110-120 | BAMW8-W-22584 | 4/6/07 | 111.71 | 14 | 0.7 J | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-22601 | 11/19/07 | 105.17 | 23 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-22652 | 3/10/08 | 104.38 | 19 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-22676 | 7/11/08 | 95.75 | 21 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-27728 | 10/23/08 | 93.40 | 24 | 1.0 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-22711 | 3/5/09 | 98.60 | 20 | 1.3 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-28647 | 6/19/09 | 95.00 | 26 | 1.7 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-28686 | 9/30/09 | 99.20 | 29 | 2.2 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-28726 | 3/31/10 | 94.06 | 30 | 2.0 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-28769 | 9/17/10 | 84.95 | 31 | 2.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW8-W-28810 | 9/29/11 | 98.42 | 19 | 1.6 | ND |
| MW9 | 100-110 | BAMW9-W-22582 | 4/5/07 | 102.90 | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-22602 | 11/19/07 | 97.20 | 7.7 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-22647 | 3/9/08 | 96.40 | 3.0 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-22678 | 7/11/08 | 87.65 | 1.3 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-27729 | 10/24/08 | 86.60 | 2.2 | 0.2 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-22712 | 3/5/09 | 90.40 | 2.3 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-28648 | 6/17/09 | 83.16 | 1.1 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-28687 | 9/29/09 | 87.10 | 4.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-28727 | 3/31/10 | 82.45 | 2.9 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW9-W-28770 | 9/18/10 | 75.46 | 1.4 | ND | ND |
| MW10S | 93-103 | BAMW10S-W-22586 | 4/6/07 | 82.55 | 20 | 1.4 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-22603 | 11/19/07 | 77.81 | 11 | 0.7 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-22649 | 3/10/08 | 77.47 | 56 | 2.0 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-22679 | 7/11/08 | 73.40 | 49 | 1.8 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-27730 | 10/23/08 | 72.00 | 68 | 2.3 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-22713 | 3/5/09 | 76.00 | 49 | 2.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-28649 | 6/19/09 | 73.40 | 76 | 2.5 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-28688 | 9/30/09 | 75.65 | 53 | 2.4 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-28728 | 3/30/10 | 71.96 | 73 | 3.0 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-28771 | 9/17/10 | 65.95 | 78 | 2.9 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10S-W-28811 | 9/28/11 | 74.10 | 37 | 2.1 | ND |
| MW10D | 115-125 | BAMW10D-W-22585 | 4/6/07 | 113.14 | 2.4 | 0.2 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-22604 | 11/19/07 | 106.22 | 6.3 | 0.5 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-22646 | 3/9/08 | 106.36 | 5.7 | 0.5 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-22680 | 7/11/08 | 97.30 | 3.9 | 0.7 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-27731 | 10/23/08 | 95.00 | 4.4 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-22714 | 3/5/09 | 101.30 | 5.3 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-28650 | 6/19/09 | 96.75 | 4.8 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-28689 | 9/30/09 | 100.45 | 4.3 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-28729 | 3/30/10 | 96.86 | 4.4 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-28772 | 9/17/10 | 86.92 | 4.8 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW10D-W-28812 | 9/28/11 | 99.65 | 3.3 | 0.3 J | ND |
| MW11S | 40-50 | BAMW11S-W-22570 | 4/4/07 | 25.90 | ND | 1.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-22605 | 11/19/07 | 29.20 | ND | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-22630 | 3/5/08 | 27.70 | ND | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-22681 | 7/10/08 | 24.80 | ND | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-27732 | 10/23/08 | 22.50 | ND | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-22715 | 3/4/09 | 28.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-28651 | 6/19/09 | 26.64 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-28690 | 10/1/09 | 29.50 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-28730 | $3 / 31 / 10$ | 21.50 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11S-W-28773 | 9/18/10 | 24.71 | ND | ND | ND |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Carbon <br> Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |

CCC/USDA wells installed during the 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW11M | 90-100 | BAMW11M-W-22572 | 4/5/07 | 89.30 | ND | ND | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-22606 | 11/19/07 | 82.33 | 3.7 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-22644 | 3/6/08 | 82.65 | 2.4 | 0.5 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-22682 | 7/10/08 | 78.85 | 2.4 | 0.7 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-27733 | 10/23/08 | 77.80 | 1.7 | 2.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-22716 | 3/4/09 | 80.30 | 0.6 J | 1.2 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-28652 | 6/19/09 | 78.90 | ND | 1.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-28691 | 10/1/09 | 80.45 | ND | 0.5 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-28731 | 3/31/10 | 77.90 | 0.5 J | 0.8 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11M-W-28774 | 9/18/10 | 71.22 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW11D | 125-135 | BAMW11D-W-22571 | 4/4/07 | 117.15 | 1.1 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-22607 | 11/19/07 | 112.46 | 0.8 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-22639 | 3/5/08 | 110.50 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-22683 | 7/10/08 | 102.10 | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-27734 | 10/23/08 | 101.03 | 0.9 J | 0.2 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-22717 | 3/4/09 | 105.03 | 0.8 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-28653 | 6/19/09 | 100.90 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-28692 | 10/1/09 | 105.95 | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-28732 | 4/1/10 | 100.10 | 0.5 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW11D-W-28775 | 9/18/10 | 90.97 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW12S | 43-50 | Not sampled (well dry) | 4/5/07 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 11/19/07 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 3/10/08 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 7/10/08 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 10/22/08 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 3/4/09 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | BAMW12S-W-28654 | 6/19/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 10/1/09 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 3/31/10 | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | Not sampled (well dry) | 9/18/10 | - | - | - | - |
| MW12M | 90-100 | BAMW12M-W-22580 | 4/5/07 | 81.05 | 20 | 4.2 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-22609 | 11/19/07 | 74.50 | 18 | 5.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-22651 | 3/10/08 | 74.77 | 18 | 2.6 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-22685 | 7/10/08 | 70.10 | 27 | 4.2 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-27736 | 10/22/08 | 69.72 | 18 | 4.5 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-22719 | 3/4/09 | 76.50 | 25 | 4.4 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-28655 | 6/19/09 | 70.05 | 28 | 4.9 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-28694 | 10/1/09 | 72.90 | 26 | 5.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-28734 | 3/31/10 | 70.45 | 2.2 | 1.0 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-28777 | 9/18/10 | 63.90 | 6.6 | 5.9 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12M-W-28813 | 9/28/11 | 71.25 | 16 | 5.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12MDUP-W-28821 | 9/28/11 | - | 17 | 5.2 | ND |
| MW12D | 115-125 | BAMW12D-W-22576 | 4/5/07 | 110.20 | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-22610 | 11/18/07 | 102.00 | 1.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-22641 | 3/9/08 | 103.30 | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-22686 | 7/11/08 | 93.70 | 0.7 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-27737 | 10/22/08 | 91.12 | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-22757 | 3/4/09 | 96.80 | 0.7 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-28656 | 6/19/09 | 93.65 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-28695 | 10/1/09 | 96.90 | 1.5 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-28735 | 3/31/10 | 93.55 | 1.0 | 0.2 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW12D-W-28778 | 9/18/10 | 83.10 | 0.6 J | ND | ND |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{a}$ | Carbon <br> Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |

CCC/USDA wells installed during the 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW13S | 112-122 | BAMW13S-W-22575 | 4/5/07 | 101.00 | 21 | 1.6 | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-22611 | 11/19/07 | 92.23 | 17 | 1.8 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-22650 | 3/10/08 | 92.10 | 17 | 1.5 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-22687 | 7/9/08 | 87.00 | 17 | 1.9 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-27738 | 10/22/08 | 86.00 | 20 | 1.6 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-22758 | 3/4/09 | 88.75 | 14 | 1.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-28657 | 6/18/09 | 86.85 | 16 | 1.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-28696 | 9/30/09 | 88.45 | 12 | 0.9 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-28736 | 4/1/10 | 85.65 | 13 | 0.8 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-28779 | 9/18/10 | 78.01 | 6.2 | 1.2 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13S-W-28814 | 9/28/11 | 87.55 | 8.1 | 0.7 J | ND |
| MW13D | 127-137 | BAMW13D-W-22574 | 4/5/07 | 124.67 | 3.5 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-22612 | 11/19/07 | 117.83 | 5.9 | 0.2 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-22645 | 3/9/08 | 118.19 | 11 | 1.1 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-22688 | 7/9/08 | 107.90 | 5.9 | 0.9 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-27739 | 10/22/08 | 105.30 | 6.6 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-22759 | 3/4/09 | 110.58 | 5.9 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-28658 | 6/18/09 | 106.80 | 6.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-28697 | 9/30/09 | 112.85 | 7.2 | 1.0 | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-28737 | 4/1/10 | 105.75 | 5.5 | 0.5 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-28780 | 9/18/10 | 96.88 | 5.8 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW13D-W-28815 | 9/28/11 | 110.20 | 2.4 | ND | ND |
| MW14S | 108-118 | BAMW14S-W-22569 | 4/4/07 | 114.60 | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-22613 | 11/18/07 | 106.75 | 1.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-22640 | 3/8/08 | 106.95 | 4.3 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-22689 | 7/10/08 | 99.40 | 5.6 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-27740 | 10/22/08 | 96.20 | 5.6 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-28620 | 3/4/09 | 101.30 | 5.6 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-28659 | 6/18/09 | 99.80 | 3.7 | 0.6 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-28698 | 10/1/09 | 101.43 | 5.2 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-28738 | 4/1/10 | 96.70 | 4.3 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-28781 | 9/18/10 | 87.82 | 4.9 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14S-W-28816 | 9/28/11 | 101.40 | 3.4 | ND | ND |
| MW14D | 123-133 | BAMW14D-W-22568 | 4/4/07 | 114.00 | 1.2 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-22614 | 11/18/07 | 107.10 | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-22638 | 3/8/08 | 106.95 | 0.7 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-22690 | 7/10/08 | 101.00 | 0.5 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-27741 | 10/22/08 | 96.10 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-28621 | 3/5/09 | 103.20 | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-28660 | 6/18/09 | 97.75 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-28699 | 10/1/09 | 101.48 | 0.5 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-28739 | 4/1/10 | 96.50 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW14D-W-28782 | 9/17/10 | 87.66 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW15S | 88-98 | BAMW15S-W-22560 | 4/4/07 | 91.50 | 1.5 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-22615 | 11/18/07 | 84.33 | 8.7 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-22648 | 3/10/08 | 84.66 | 1.8 | 0.2 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-22691 | 7/12/08 | 80.30 | 2.2 | 0.3 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-27742 | 10/23/08 | 73.20 | 1.9 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-28622 | 3/5/09 | 73.80 | 2.5 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-28661 | 6/17/09 | 75.92 | 3.2 | 0.5 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-28700 | 9/29/09 | 79.45 | 2.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-28740 | 3/30/10 | 75.65 | 4.0 | 0.4 J | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15S-W-28783 | 9/18/10 | 66.07 | 1.9 | ND | ND |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample <br> Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Carbon <br> Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |

CCC/USDA wells installed during the 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW15D | 105-115 | BAMW15D-W-22561 | 4/4/07 | 88.30 | ND | ND | ND |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-22616 | 11/18/07 | 70.20 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-22631 | 3/8/08 | 80.80 | 0.2 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-22692 | 7/12/08 | 70.30 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-27743 | 10/24/08 | 67.60 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-28623 | 3/5/09 | 73.60 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-28662 | 6/17/09 | 67.74 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-28701 | 9/29/09 | 72.10 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-28741 | 3/30/10 | 66.50 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW15D-W-28784 | 9/18/10 | 58.11 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW16S | 76-86 | BAMW16S-W-22563 | 4/4/07 | 81.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-22617 | 11/19/07 | 75.30 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-22632 | 3/7/08 | 75.50 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-22693 | 7/11/08 | 67.35 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-27744 | 10/23/08 | 64.80 | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-28624 | 3/5/09 | 69.60 | 1.4 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-28663 | 6/18/09 | 66.93 | 1.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-28702 | 9/29/09 | 70.35 | 1.7 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-28742 | 3/30/10 | 66.10 | 1.6 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16S-W-28785 | 9/18/10 | 57.24 | 1.7 | ND | ND |
| MW16D | 90-100 | BAMW16D-W-22562 | 4/4/07 | 79.71 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-22618 | 11/19/07 | 74.50 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-22633 | 3/7/08 | 75.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-22694 | 7/11/08 | 66.30 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-27745 | 10/23/08 | 63.90 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-28625 | 3/5/09 | 69.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-28664 | 6/18/09 | 66.49 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-28703 | 9/29/09 | 70.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-28743 | 3/30/10 | 65.95 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW16D-W-28786 | 9/18/10 | 57.65 | ND | ND | ND |
| MW17 | 120-130 | BAMW17D-W-22566 | 4/4/07 | 110.68 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17D-W-22619 | 11/19/07 | 102.68 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-22634 | 3/5/08 | 101.75 | 0.3 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-22695 | 7/9/08 | 96.60 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-27746 | 10/22/08 | 95.15 | 0.7 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-28626 | 3/4/09 | 98.10 | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-28665 | 6/17/09 | 95.75 | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-28704 | 9/30/09 | 98.00 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-28744 | 3/31/10 | 94.90 | 0.5 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-28787 | 9/17/10 | 88.03 | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAMW17-W-28817 | 9/28/11 | 96.23 | 0.4 J | ND | ND |

Private wells

| BAOENT-W-21693 | $7 / 20 / 06$ | - | 0.3 J | ND | ND |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| BAOENT-W-21713 | $8 / 2 / 06$ | - | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-22579 | $4 / 5 / 07$ | - | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-22622 | $11 / 9 / 07$ | - | 0.8 J | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-22654 | $3 / 6 / 08$ | - | 1.3 | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-22695 | $7 / 11 / 08$ | - | 0.3 J | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-27747 | $10 / 23 / 08$ | - | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRIIC-W-28627 | $3 / 5 / 09$ | - | 1.1 | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-28666 | $6 / 8 / 09$ | - | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-28705 | $9 / 30 / 09$ | - | 1.6 | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-28745 | $4 / 1 / 10$ | - | 1.2 | ND | ND |
| BAOENTRICH-W-28788 | $9 / 18 / 10$ | - | 3.3 | 0.8 J | ND |

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{a}$ | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Carbon Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |
| Private wells (cont.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sedivy | 138 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BACW-W-21849 } \\ & \text { BASED2-W-21913 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 8/22/06 } \\ & 9 / 13 / 06 \end{aligned}$ | - | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ND } \\ & \text { ND } \end{aligned}$ | ND ND |
| Sedivy1 | 90 | Not sampled (well dry) | 9/13/06 | - | - | - | - |
| Public water supply wells ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PWS2 | 155 | BAPWS2-W-22510 | 3/9/07 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPW2-W-22578 | 4/5/07 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPW2-W-22620 | 11/20/07 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-22655 | 3/6/08 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-22696 | 7/11/08 | - | 0.8 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPW2-W-27748 | 10/23/08 | - | 1.7 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28628 | 3/5/09 | - | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28667 | 6/18/09 | - | 1.0 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28706 | 9/30/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28715 | 12/14/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28746 | 3/31/10 | - | 0.9 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28758 | 6/17/10 | - | 0.8 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28789 | 9/18/10 | - | 1.1 | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28803 | 12/15/10 | - | 0.7 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28818 | 9/28/11 | - | 0.6 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS2-W-28827 | 12/12/11 | - | ND | ND | ND |
| PWS3 | 160 | BAPWS3-W-22511 | 3/9/07 | - | 0.2 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPW3-W-22577 | 4/5/07 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPW3-W-22621 | 11/20/07 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-22656 | 3/6/08 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-22697 | 7/11/08 | - | 0.2 J | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPW3-W-27749 | 10/23/08 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28629 | 3/5/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28668 | 6/18/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28707 | 9/30/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28716 | 12/14/09 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28747 | 3/31/10 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28759 | 6/17/10 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28790 | 9/18/10 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28804 | 12/15/10 | - | ND | ND | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28819 | 9/28/11 | - | ND | 2.2 | ND |
|  |  | BAPWS3-W-28828 | 12/12/11 | - | ND | ND | ND |

Footnotes on next page

TABLE 4.3 (Cont.)

|  |  |  |  |  | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Screen Interval Depth (ft BGL) | Sample | Sample Date | Depth to Water (ft BTOC) ${ }^{a}$ | Carbon <br> Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |

a BTOC, below top of casing.
b ND, contaminant not detected at an instrument detection limit of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.
c Qualifier J indicates an estimated concentration below the purge-and-trap method quantitation limit of $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.
d Pumping status of public wells:

| Sampling Date | PWS2 | PWS3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 3/9/07 | Well has been pumping today. | Well has been pumping today. |
| 4/5/07 | Sampled after letting run for 5-10 min. | Well has been pumping all day. |
| 11/20/07 | Well on at time of sampling. | Well on at time of sampling. |
| 3/6/08 | Let water run from tap for 2-3 min, then sampled. | Sample collected from tap in well house. Let water run from tap for 2-3 min, then sampled. |
| 7/11/08 | Running for 30 min . | Running for 30 min . |
| 10/23/08 | Well was pumping for 5 min . | Well was pumping for 30 min . |
| 3/5/09 | Well operating to fill water tower prior to sampling. | Ran for 5 min . |
| 6/18/09 | Well pumping since 6 a.m. on June 18. Let run from tap for 5 min , then sampled. | Well was used on June 17. Let pump run for 10 min, then sampled. |
| 9/30/09 | Well used on September 29. Let well run for 10 min, then sampled. | Well in use. Let tap run for 5 min , then sampled. |
| 12/14/09 | Well has been pumping today. | Well has been pumping today. |
| 3/31/10 | Well used on March 30 . Let well run for 10 min , then sampled. | Well in use oversnight. Let tap run for 5 min , then sampled. |
| 6/17/10 | Recent use of well not recorded in log. | Recent use of well not recorded in log. |
| 9/18/10 | Well in use for past 2 days. Sampled from tap after purging for $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$ (approximately 500 gal ). | Well in use for past 2 days. Sampled from tap after purging for $5-10 \mathrm{~min}$ (approximately 500 gal ). |
| 12/15/10 | Recent use of well not recorded in log. | Recent use of well not recorded in log. |
| 9/28/11 | Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . | Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . |
| 12/12/11 | Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . | Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . |



FIGURE 4.1 Potentiometric surface map depicting the groundwater flow direction in the deep aquifer zone under static (non-pumping) conditions on November 18, 2011. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).


FIGURE 4.2a Potentiometric surface map depicting the groundwater flow direction in the deep aquifer zone under static (non-pumping) conditions on February 2, 2010. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).


FIGURE 4.2b Potentiometric surface map depicting the groundwater flow direction in the deep aquifer zone under pumping conditions on February 2, 2010. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002)


FIGURE 4.3a Hydrographs summarizing results of long-term water level monitoring in the deep-zone wells, January-June 2011.


FIGURE 4.3b Hydrographs summarizing results of long-term water level monitoring in the deep-zone wells, July-November 2011.


FIGURE 4.4 Hydrographs summarizing results of water level monitoring in the deep-zone wells, June 2011.


FIGURE 4.5 Analytical results for carbon tetrachloride in groundwater samples collected in 2009-2011. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).


FIGURE 4.6 Analytical results for chloroform in groundwater samples collected in 2009-2011. Source of photograph: NAPP (2002).

## 5 Conclusions, Observations, and Recommendations

### 5.1 Conclusions

The findings of the monitoring events at Barnes in 2011 continued to support the following previous conclusions:

- Measurements of groundwater levels obtained manually and through the use of automatic recorders have consistently indicated that the flow direction is strongly influenced by pumping of the public water supply wells. The results have demonstrated
- An apparent groundwater flow direction to the northeast when the public wells are not pumping and
- A northwesterly groundwater flow trend when the public wells are pumping.
- Evaluation of manual water level measurements and carbon tetrachloride concentrations continues to suggest that three vertically distinguishable aquifer zones are present at Barnes: shallow, intermediate, and deep (Table 4.1). The highest concentration of carbon tetrachloride occurs in the intermediate zone, in wells near the former CCC/USDA grain storage facility. Lower concentrations have been detected in the deep aquifer zone (where the public water supply wells are screened), and no carbon tetrachloride has been detected in the shallow zone.
- The conceptual model of the groundwater flow system at Barnes, as postulated on the basis of the accumulated results, suggests that the observed vertical hydraulic gradients and higher carbon tetrachloride concentrations in the intermediate zone might reflect generally lower permeability and hence less effective groundwater and contaminant migration in the intermediate zone than in the deep aquifer zone.
- As it has since March 2008, intermediate-zone well MW10S, in the eastern portion of the former CCC/USDA facility, contained the highest concentrations of carbon tetrachloride.
- Overall, the lateral distribution of carbon tetrachloride in groundwater in 2011 is similar to the distribution during previous sampling events. The accumulated data, including a trend analysis conducted in 2009, indicate stable contaminant concentrations, with no imminent impact to the public wells.


### 5.2 Observations in 2011

The frequency and magnitude of the cyclic drawdown events historically observed in the hydrographs for the Barnes site changed in June 2011. Information from the city (Oentrich 2011) indicates that the changes are due to an upgrade of the municipal water delivery system during the summer. Whereas the municipal wells were previously switched on and off manually, sensors now control the pumping and maintain a more consistent water level in the city's water tower. The wells now operate more frequently than before, but for a much shorter duration during each pumping episode. Leaks in the former system were also repaired, thus reducing water usage.

These changes should minimize or eliminate the very appreciable drawdowns (up to 2.5 ft during pumping) that previously caused transient reversal of the local groundwater flow pattern, from northeasterly under ambient conditions to northwesterly (toward the public wells) during pumping. The modified operating scheme might also moderate or prevent further movement of the contaminant plume across the site toward the northwest, ultimately reducing the risk of contamination to public water supply wells PWS2 and PWS3.

Continued groundwater sampling under the existing monitoring program will be required to determine the potential impacts of the operational changes on the distribution and concentrations of carbon tetrachloride at the site and the time frame over which such impacts might occur. In December 2011, no carbon tetrachloride was detected in the public wells.

### 5.3 Recommendations

- Continue automatic water level measurements across the site investigation area (Figure 3.1).
- Continue annual sampling of the monitoring wells and semi-annual sampling of the public water supply wells (Figure 3.2).
- Through continued sampling and analysis, evaluate the potential impacts of operational changes in the public water distribution system.
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## Appendix A:

Sampling Activities and Field Measurements in 2011

TABLE A. 1 Sequence of groundwater sampling activities in 2011.

| Sample Date and Time | Sample | Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Location | Depth <br> (ft BGL) | Chain of Custody | Shipping Date | Sample Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/28/11 10:52 | BAMW13S-W-28814 | MW | MW13S | 112-122 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=87.55 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=122 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 5 L . Pump intake positioned at 117 ft . |
| 9/28/11 12:10 | BAMW2D-W-28807 | MW | MW2D | 133.26-152.93 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=116.22 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=$ 152.93 ft . Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 8.5 L . Pump intake positioned at 143.10 ft . |
| 9/28/11 12:52 | BAMW13D-W-28815 | MW | MW13D | 127-137 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=110.20 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=137 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 5 L . Pump intake positioned at 132 ft . |
| 9/28/11 15:10 | BAMW17-W-28817 | MW | MW17 | 120-130 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=96.23 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=130 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 4.5 L . Pump intake positioned at 125 ft . |
| 9/28/11 15:36 | BAMW1D-W-28806 | MW | MW1D | 139.85-159.4 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=119.12 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=$ 159.4 ft . Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 7 L . Pump intake positioned at 149.63 ft . |
| 9/28/11 15:37 | BAMW1DDUP-W-28820 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | MW | MW1D | 139.85-159.4 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Replicate of sample BAMW1D-W-28806. |
| 9/28/11 16:40 | BAMW14S-W-28816 | MW | MW14S | 108-118 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=101.40 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=118 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 5 L . Pump intake positioned at 113 ft . |
| 9/28/11 17:19 | BAMW12M-W-28813 | MW | MW12M | 90-100 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=71.25 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of $2-\mathrm{in}$. well $=100 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 11 L . Pump intake positioned at 95 ft . |
| 9/28/11 17:20 | BAMW12MDUP-W-28821 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | MW | MW12M | 90-100 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Replicate of sample BAMW12M-W-28813. |
| 9/28/11 17:45 | BAQCIR-W-28822 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | RI | QC | - | 6633 | 9/29/11 | Rinsate of decontaminated sampling line after collection of sample BAMW12M-W-28813 and replicate BAMW12MDUP-W-28821. |
| 9/28/11 17:54 | BAMW10D-W-28812 | MW | MW10D | 115-125 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=99.65 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=125 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 7 L . Pump intake positioned at 120 ft . |
| 9/28/11 18:03 | BAQCIR-W-28823 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | RI | QC | - | 6633 | 9/29/11 | Rinsate of decontaminated sampling line after collection of sample BAMW10D-W-28812. |
| 9/28/11 18:34 | BADIH2O-W-28824 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | FB | QC | - | 6633 | 9/29/11 | Field blank of water used for equipment decontamination during September 2011 sampling event. |

TABLE A. 1 (Cont.)

| Sample Date and Time | Sample | Type ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Location | Depth (ft BGL) | Chain of Custody | Shipping Date | Sample Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9/28/11 18:40 | BAQCTB-W-28825 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TB | QC | - | 6633 | 9/29/11 | Trip blank sent to the AGEM Laboratory for VOCs analyses with water samples listed on chain of custody forms (COCs) 6631 and 6633. |
| 9/28/11 18:56 | BAMW10S-W-28811 | MW | MW10S | 93-103 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=74.10 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=103 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 6 L . Pump intake positioned at 98 ft . |
| 9/28/11 18:59 | BAMW4D-W-28808 | MW | MW4D | 98.38-118.22 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=95.31 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well = 118.22 ft . Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 5 L . Pump intake positioned at 108.30 ft . |
| 9/28/11 19:19 | BAPWS3-W-28819 | PW | PWS3 | 160 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Well converted to run on demand. Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . |
| 9/28/11 19:30 | BAPWS2-W-28818 | PW | PWS2 | 155 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Well runs when the water tower needs replenishing. Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . |
| 9/28/11 20:00 | BAMW5-W-28809 | MW | MW5 | 110-120 | 6631 | 9/28/11 | Depth to water $=96.40 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=120 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 6.5 L . Pump intake positioned at 115 ft . |
| 9/29/11 9:50 | BAMW8-W-28810 | MW | MW8 | 110-120 | 6633 | 9/29/11 | Depth to water $=98.42 \mathrm{ft}$. Depth of 2-in. well $=120 \mathrm{ft}$. Sample collected by using low-flow bladder pump after purging of 4.5 L . Pump intake positioned at 115 ft . |
| 12/12/11 15:24 | BAPWS2-W-28827 | PW | PWS2 | 155 | 6646 | 12/12/11 | Well runs on demand via sensor in water tower. Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . |
| 12/12/11 15:28 | BAPWS3-W-28828 | PW | PWS3 | 160 | 6646 | 12/12/11 | Well runs on demand via sensor in water tower. Sampled from tap after purging for 5 min . |
| 12/12/11 17:30 | BAQCTB-W-28829 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | TB | QC | - | 6646 | 12/12/11 | Trip blank sent to the AGEM Laboratory for VOC analyses with water samples listed on COC 6646. |

[^0]TABLE A. 2 Field measurements for groundwater samples, 2006-2011.

| Well | Screen Interval <br> $(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{BGL})$ | Sample <br> Date | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | pH | Conductivity <br> $(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Dissolved <br> Oxygen <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Oxygen <br> Reduction <br> Potential <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Previously existing KDHE monitoring wells

| MW1S | 13.3-23.3 | 7/19/06 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 4/4/07a | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $3 / 4 / 08^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 7/9/08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10/22/08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3/4/09a | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 6/17/09a | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 9/30/09a | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $3 / 31 / 10^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 9/17/10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW1D | 139.85-159.4 | 7/19/06 | 22.8 | 7.15 | 945 | - | - |
|  |  | 4/4/07 | 15.7 | 6.30 | 855 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 12.7 | 7.62 | 712 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/4/08 | 5.5 | 7.22 | 1167 | 11.6 | 244 |
|  |  | 7/9/08 | 18.1 | 7.05 | 992 | 16.2 | 98 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 12.6 | 7.07 | 937 | 9.0 | 108 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 13.8 | 7.07 | 962 | 8.9 | 253 |
|  |  | 6/17/09 | 23.3 | 7.07 | 1021 | 5.4 | 106 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 16.3 | 6.87 | 1007 | 6.7 | 268 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 16.6 | 7.08 | 760 | 6.6 | -25 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 17.5 | 7.14 | 851 | 8.2 | 160 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 18.1 | 7.47 | 799 | 5.8 | 102 |
| MW2D | 133.26-152.93 | 7/19/06 | 24.7 | 7.72 | 946 | - | - |
|  |  | 4/4/07 | 15.1 | 6.32 | 887 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 12.1 | 6.96 | 1448 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/7/08 | 6.5 | 7.22 | 1198 | 4.6 | 197 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 18.4 | 6.91 | 1163 | 5.0 | 155 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 11.6 | 7.07 | 931 | 6.2 | 132 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.5 | 7.06 | 1126 | 5.6 | 243 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 18.7 | 6.97 | 1235 | 3.6 | 116 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 17.0 | 6.15 | 1196 | 3.4 | 25 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 16.2 | 7.09 | 827 | 5.2 | -37 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 20.1 | 7.13 | 945 | 5.0 | 150 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 18.6 | 7.72 | 988 | 12.4 | 297 |
| MW3D | 133.02-152.73 |  | $23.0$ |  | 976 | - | - |
|  |  | 4/4/07 | 15.6 | 6.37 | 989 | _ | _ |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 10.5 | 7.16 | 1093 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/7/08 | 8.2 | 7.09 | 1195 | 5.3 | 255 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 19.8 | 6.99 | 1177 | 13.8 | 110 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 13.5 | 7.01 | 1238 | 4.5 | 84 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 12.8 | 7.08 | 1062 | 7.0 | 210 |
|  |  | 6/17/09 | 18.5 | 6.65 | 1038 | 5.9 | 110 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 15.2 | 6.87 | 1057 | 6.0 | 209 |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 18.2 | 7.11 | 789 | 5.6 | -60 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 20.0 | 7.00 | 953 | 4.9 | 77 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)

Well \begin{tabular}{ccccccc}
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| :---: |
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\hline
\end{tabular}

Previously existing KDHE monitoring wells (cont.)

| MW4D | $98.38-118.22$ | $7 / 20 / 06$ | 23.5 | 6.26 | 968 | - |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $4 / 6 / 07$ | 11.3 | 6.21 | 1018 | - | - |
|  | $11 / 19 / 07$ | 15.7 | 6.98 | 1022 | - | - |
|  | $3 / 9 / 08$ | 11.5 | 7.14 | 859 | 6.6 | 201 |
|  | $7 / 12 / 08$ | 14.4 | 6.94 | 1001 | 6.8 | 149 |
|  | $10 / 23 / 08$ | 13.0 | 7.02 | 973 | 5.6 | 94 |
|  | $3 / 5 / 09$ | 15.9 | 7.61 | 1402 | 4.0 | 17 |
|  | $6 / 18 / 09$ | 18.5 | 7.03 | 975 | 5.7 | 127 |
|  | $9 / 30 / 09$ | 17.3 | 6.85 | 925 | 7.9 | 150 |
|  | $3 / 31 / 10$ | 15.1 | 7.09 | 785 | 7.2 | 22 |
|  | $9 / 17 / 10$ | 16.5 | 7.01 | 900 | 7.2 | 259 |
|  | $9 / 28 / 11$ | 11.6 | 8.09 | 665 | 7.2 | 186 |

CCC/USDA wells installed during 2006-2007 investigation

| MW5 | 110-120 | 4/6/07 | 13.9 | 6.17 | 1705 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 15.2 | 6.74 | 3070 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/8/08 | 9.9 | 6.76 | 2770 | 0.7 | 123 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 18.8 | 6.66 | 2930 | 1.3 | 37 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 12.8 | 6.78 | 2384 | 0.7 | 20 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.9 | 6.86 | 2146 | 2.4 | 56 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 16.4 | 6.71 | 2292 | 1.0 | 45 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 16.1 | 6.66 | 1780 | 3.1 | 72 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 15.2 | 6.58 | 907 | 4.7 | 17 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 17.2 | 6.96 | 1093 | 4.0 | -20 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 14.0 | 7.46 | 1049 | 4.1 | 53 |
| MW6S | 90.5-100.5 | 4/4/07 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 12.0 | 7.60 | 723 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/8/08 | 4.7 | 7.77 | 673 | 6.7 | 272 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 28.2 | 7.61 | 753 | 9.9 | 92 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 11.9 | 11.17 | 582 | 5.1 | 91 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 13.9 | 7.88 | 603 | 5.2 | 18 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 19.5 | 7.70 | 698 | 4.5 | 27 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 14.6 | 6.30 | 618 | 5.8 | 88 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 16.4 | 7.52 | 584 | 5.8 | -25 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.8 | 11.16 | 568 | 2.3 | 35 |
| MW6D | 105-115 | 4/5/07 | 6.2 | 6.11 | 936 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 13.6 | 7.00 | 1103 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/8/08 | 9.1 | 7.15 | 908 | 5.6 | 241 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 19.8 | 7.05 | 999 | 12.8 | 100 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 12.0 | 7.18 | 957 | 6.3 | 128 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 13.4 | 7.22 | 903 | 3.1 | 21 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 19.0 | 6.86 | 992 | 4.4 | 114 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 16.0 | 6.41 | 910 | 4.2 | 98 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 17.6 | 7.10 | 821 | 5.0 | -2 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.9 | 7.06 | 981 | 4.9 | 147 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)
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CCC/USDA wells installed during 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW7 | 116-126 | 4/6/07 | 14.1 | 6.30 | 1051 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 14.6 | 7.16 | 890 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/9/08 | 13.1 | 7.10 | 1068 | 4.2 | 186 |
|  |  | 7/12/08 | 14.4 | 6.95 | 1238 | 4.4 | 98 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 12.7 | 7.16 | 1191 | 8.6 | 122 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.3 | 7.11 | 1141 | 9.9 | 126 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 16.3 | 7.05 | 1174 | 4.3 | 48 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 16.2 | 6.86 | 1132 | 8.2 | 216 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 16.4 | 7.04 | 923 | 7.3 | -48 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 17.2 | 6.88 | 1149 | 4.2 | 104 |
| MW8 | 110-120 | 4/6/07 | 12.1 | 6.23 | 974 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 14.6 | 7.03 | 909 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/10/08 | 13.1 | 7.09 | 961 | 6.7 | 182 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 18.6 | 6.38 | 1049 | 6.2 | 152 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 12.9 | 7.06 | 948 | 8.7 | 97 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 16.2 | 7.12 | 985 | 9.4 | 165 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 18.0 | 7.07 | 972 | 6.7 | 102 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 16.7 | 6.15 | 889 | 6.2 | 33 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 14.0 | 7.07 | 815 | 7.5 | 23 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 17.4 | 7.05 | 940 | 6.9 | 231 |
|  |  | 9/29/11 | 15.8 | 7.69 | 801 | 7.3 | 253 |
| MW9 | 100-110 | 4/5/07 | 12.9 | 6.20 | 976 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 16.5 | 7.21 | 1066 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/9/08 | 11.2 | 7.07 | 928 | 5.8 | 239 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 17.7 | 6.58 | 1010 | 5.6 | 189 |
|  |  | 10/24/08 | 13.0 | 7.06 | 888 | 5.4 | 79 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 16.2 | 7.10 | 939 | 8.4 | 173 |
|  |  | 6/17/09 | 15.9 | 6.87 | 907 | 5.6 | 146 |
|  |  | 9/29/09 | 13.9 | 6.79 | 871 | 7.8 | 135 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 15.4 | 6.88 | 754 | 7.3 | 123 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 14.7 | 7.14 | 879 | 7.2 | 188 |
| MW10S | 93-103 | 4/6/07 | 13.2 | 6.36 | 1004 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 14.5 | 7.22 | 942 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/10/08 | 12.7 | 7.08 | 912 | 5.2 | 176 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 17.3 | 6.91 | 975 | 12.8 | 119 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 13.4 | 7.08 | 913 | 5.4 | 78 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.3 | 7.25 | 895 | 2.7 | 13 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 16.0 | 7.12 | 925 | 5.4 | 104 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 15.8 | 6.58 | 829 | 4.6 | 31 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 15.3 | 7.08 | 776 | 6.9 | -25 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 17.2 | 7.02 | 865 | 5.2 | 164 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 14.6 | 7.44 | 677 | 5.6 | 125 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)

Well \begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline Screen Interval <br>
$(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{BGL})$

$\quad$

Sample <br>
Date

$\quad$

Temperature <br>
$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

$\quad \mathrm{pH} \quad$

Conductivity <br>
$(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$

 

Dissolved <br>
Oxygen <br>
$(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$

 


| Oxygen |
| :---: |
| Reduction |
| Potential |
| $(\mathrm{mV})$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

CCC/USDA wells installed during 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW10D | 115-125 | 4/6/07 | 12.1 | 6.21 | 992 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 14.5 | 7.42 | 1175 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/9/08 | 13.7 | 7.01 | 1024 | 5.1 | 236 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 17.4 | 6.78 | 1090 | 12.6 | 117 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 13.5 | 7.01 | 1000 | 5.0 | 93 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.9 | 7.20 | 969 | 4.4 | 12 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 16.9 | 7.08 | 1035 | 5.7 | 109 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 15.8 | 6.62 | 922 | 5.5 | 32 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 15.1 | 7.00 | 835 | 6.4 | -34 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 17.6 | 6.97 | 955 | 5.7 | 204 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 15.7 | 7.36 | 735 | 6.1 | 138 |
| MW11S | 40-50 | 4/4/07 | 12.8 | 6.14 | 1027 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 11.2 | 7.15 | 1174 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/5/08 | 9.4 | 6.81 | 1122 | 2.3 | 241 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 19.5 | 6.47 | 1224 | 1.9 | 166 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 10.2 | 6.99 | 1085 | 5.8 | 146 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.5 | 7.11 | 1186 | 4.3 | 37 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 15.0 | 6.81 | 1159 | 3.1 | 173 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 15.9 | 6.67 | 1114 | 2.2 | 203 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 18.0 | 6.81 | 958 | 6.1 | 84 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.8 | 6.98 | 1178 | 2.0 | 185 |
| MW11M | 90-100 | 4/5/07 | 7.5 | 7.60 | 1097 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 11.9 | 7.17 | 1144 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/6/08 | 10.8 | 7.06 | 997 | 2.7 | 254 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 31.9 | 7.08 | 1124 | 3.9 | 149 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 12.4 | 7.06 | 962 | 3.2 | 116 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 13.6 | 7.33 | 910 | 4.9 | 28 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 14.7 | 6.92 | 973 | 4.8 | 185 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 14.5 | 6.85 | 919 | 6.7 | 153 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 17.4 | 6.96 | 742 | 5.5 | 67 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 16.0 | 7.22 | 846 | 8.8 | 174 |
| MW11D | 125-135 | 4/4/07 | 13.8 | 6.18 | 990 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 13.1 | 7.22 | 987 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/5/08 | 6.0 | 7.06 | 872 | 6.9 | 252 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 17.5 | 6.25 | 957 | 7.1 | 177 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 12.3 | 7.11 | 863 | 8.7 | 123 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.3 | 7.23 | 848 | 4.5 | 27 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 17.4 | 6.96 | 885 | 5.9 | 131 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 14.7 | 6.85 | 854 | 6.9 | 154 |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 17.4 | 6.91 | 750 | 7.4 | 99 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.8 | 7.11 | 871 | 6.5 | 189 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)

Well \begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline Screen Interval <br>
$(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{BGL})$

$\quad$

Sample <br>
Date

$\quad$

Temperature <br>
$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

$\quad \mathrm{pH} \quad$

Conductivity <br>
$(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$

 

Dissolved <br>
Oxygen <br>
$(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$

 


| Oxygen |
| :---: |
| Reduction |
| Potential |
| $(\mathrm{mV})$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

CCC/USDA wells installed during 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW12S | 43-53 | 4/5/07a ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11/19/07a | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3/10/08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 7/10/08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10/22/08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3/4/09 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 20.5 | 7.00 | 1436 | - | - |
|  |  | 10/1/09 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | $3 / 31 / 10^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 9/18/10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | - | - | - | - | - |
| MW12M | 90-100 | 4/5/07 | 12.6 | 6.42 | 867 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 14.9 | 7.13 | 835 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/10/08 | 12.6 | 7.13 | 665 | 1.8 | 212 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 16.9 | 7.09 | 878 | 8.2 | 87 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 12.2 | 7.20 | 785 | 8.9 | 126 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.1 | 7.24 | 851 | 2.2 | 20 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 17.0 | 6.99 | 856 | 2.3 | 112 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 14.4 | 6.81 | 840 | 3.4 | 52 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 17.5 | 7.05 | 334 | 0.4 | 36 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.8 | 7.13 | 649 | 0.2 | -61 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 14.8 | 7.82 | 648 | 1.8 | 39 |
| MW12D | 115-125 | 4/5/07 | 14.0 | 6.36 | 930 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 15.6 | 6.95 | 571 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/9/08 | 8.8 | 7.13 | 881 | 5.3 | 237 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 19.9 | 6.01 | 987 | 4.7 | 197 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 12.3 | 7.09 | 873 | 8.0 | 136 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.4 | 7.25 | 923 | 4.1 | 21 |
|  |  | 6/19/09 | 16.4 | 6.96 | 895 | 5.1 | 150 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 15.8 | 6.85 | 869 | 6.7 | 154 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 16.6 | 6.89 | 753 | 6.6 | 103 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.5 | 6.97 | 872 | 6.2 | 139 |
| MW13S | 112-122 | 4/5/07 | 9.8 | 6.42 | 946 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 16.5 | 7.21 | 893 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/10/08 | 12.2 | 7.13 | 810 | 6.2 | 199 |
|  |  | 7/9/08 | 17.4 | 6.99 | 875 | 7.7 | 116 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 13.5 | 7.08 | 793 | 5.1 | 100 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 13.8 | 7.23 | 818 | 4.4 | 29 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 17.6 | 7.04 | 803 | 6.1 | 104 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 15.4 | 5.74 | 721 | 6.1 | 177 |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 15.0 | 7.14 | 667 | 7.5 | 64 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 16.7 | 7.42 | 772 | 12.9 | 186 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 16.8 | 7.60 | 633 | 6.8 | 154 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)

Well \begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline Screen Interval <br>
$(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{BGL})$

$\quad$

Sample <br>
Date

$\quad$

Temperature <br>
$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

$\quad \mathrm{pH} \quad$

Conductivity <br>
$(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$

 

Dissolved <br>
Oxygen <br>
$(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$

$\quad$


| Oxygen |
| :---: |
| Reduction |
| Potential |
| $(\mathrm{mV})$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

CCC/USDA wells installed during 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW13D | 127-137 | 4/5/07 | 14.9 | 6.25 | 397 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 17.0 | 7.00 | 763 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/9/08 | 13.1 | 7.09 | 758 | 6.0 | 213 |
|  |  | 7/9/08 | 18.6 | 7.07 | 848 | 18.1 | 57 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 13.3 | 7.06 | 824 | 5.3 | 98 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.5 | 7.19 | 833 | 4.0 | 25 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 17.5 | 6.93 | 828 | 5.6 | 117 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 17.4 | 5.99 | 706 | 5.6 | 142 |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 16.3 | 7.09 | 694 | 6.6 | 21 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 17.3 | 7.14 | 803 | 6.3 | 189 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 18.0 | 7.59 | 687 | 16.1 | 137 |
| MW14S | 108-118 | 4/4/07 | 13.4 | 6.50 | 704 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 12.9 | 7.26 | 966 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/8/08 | 13.2 | 7.20 | 729 | 6.6 | 208 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 17.4 | 7.16 | 775 | 16.4 | 87 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 13.2 | 7.11 | 716 | 5.8 | 90 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 13.8 | 7.15 | 736 | 9.0 | 268 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 17.5 | 7.01 | 742 | 5.2 | 97 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 16.0 | 6.25 | 663 | 5.8 | 99 |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 17.8 | 6.96 | 625 | 7.7 | 73 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 16.4 | 7.11 | 705 | 6.8 | 193 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 17.2 | 7.62 | 592 | 7.0 | 118 |
| MW14D | 123-133 | 4/4/07 | 14.7 | 6.34 | 932 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 13.2 | 7.47 | 739 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/8/08 | 12.0 | 7.06 | 1424 | 2.0 | 282 |
|  |  | 7/10/08 | 17.7 | 7.07 | 1459 | 14.5 | 86 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 13.0 | 7.00 | 1212 | 1.4 | 79 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 13.6 | 7.06 | 1339 | 2.2 | 69 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 19.8 | 7.04 | 1523 | 2.0 | 46 |
|  |  | 10/1/09 | 15.5 | 6.21 | 1154 | 1.6 | 90 |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 17.8 | 6.93 | 1189 | 1.8 | 67 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 16.1 | 7.09 | 1162 | 6.5 | 45 |
| MW15S | 88-98 | 4/4/07 | 13.1 | 8.03 | 854 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 13.9 | NR | 1883 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/10/08 | 12.1 | 8.67 | 697 | 5.5 | 173 |
|  |  | 7/12/08 | 14.0 | 8.88 | 660 | 11.6 | 94 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 13.0 | 8.00 | 789 | 5.3 | 67 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.7 | 8.78 | 589 | 7.5 | 163 |
|  |  | 6/17/09 | 18.2 | 9.13 | 386 | 4.8 | 62 |
|  |  | 9/29/09 | 15.4 | 7.40 | 786 | 6.9 | 181 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 15.7 | 7.81 | 467 | 6.7 | 63 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 16.4 | 7.49 | 776 | 5.8 | 127 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)

Well \begin{tabular}{cccccccc}
\hline Screen Interval <br>
$(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{BGL})$

$\quad$

Sample <br>
Date

$\quad$

Temperature <br>
$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$

$\quad \mathrm{pH} \quad$

Conductivity <br>
$(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$

 

Dissolved <br>
Oxygen <br>
$(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$

 


| Oxygen |
| :---: |
| Reduction |
| Potential |
| $(\mathrm{mV})$ | <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}

CCC/USDA wells installed during 2006-2007 investigation (cont.)

| MW15D | 105-115 | 4/4/07 | 14.8 | 6.15 | 2980 | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 11/18/07 | 13.1 | 6.85 | 2190 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/8/08 | 9.0 | 6.85 | 2912 | 0.6 | 131 |
|  |  | 7/12/08 | 14.1 | 6.80 | 3067 | 1.1 | 90 |
|  |  | 10/24/08 | 13.0 | 6.81 | 2876 | 0.3 | 27 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.8 | 6.82 | 2945 | 0.4 | -15 |
|  |  | 6/17/09 | 18.6 | 6.71 | 2887 | 0.6 | 51 |
|  |  | 9/29/09 | 15.6 | 6.53 | 2848 | 0.3 | 92 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 17.3 | 6.68 | 2486 | 0.3 | 54 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 16.2 | 6.75 | 2778 | 0.2 | -38 |
| MW16S | 76-86 | 4/4/07 | 12.8 | 6.35 | 1708 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 15.0 | 6.94 | 1616 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/7/08 | 7.3 | 6.96 | 1968 | 3.5 | 184 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 18.8 | 6.71 | 2883 | 1.1 | 52 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 11.6 | 7.01 | 1350 | 6.0 | 136 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 16.3 | 7.19 | 1505 | 2.5 | 12 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 15.9 | 7.03 | 971 | 5.6 | 101 |
|  |  | 9/29/09 | 14.3 | 6.76 | 963 | 7.5 | 123 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 16.6 | 6.92 | 828 | 6.9 | 90 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 17.0 | 6.84 | 966 | 5.6 | 106 |
| MW16D | 90-100 | 4/4/07 | 14.1 | 6.17 | 2910 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 12.5 | 6.78 | 2400 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/7/08 | 7.0 | 6.86 | 2866 | 0.5 | 140 |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | 18.9 | 6.64 | 3134 | 0.4 | 32 |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | 11.3 | 6.79 | 2791 | 0.5 | 37 |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | 15.6 | 6.94 | 2926 | 0.3 | 14 |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 18.3 | 6.77 | 2867 | 0.3 | 46 |
|  |  | 9/29/09 | 14.4 | 6.67 | 2583 | 0.6 | 17 |
|  |  | 3/30/10 | 16.3 | 6.59 | 2429 | 0.2 | 78 |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | 15.7 | 6.68 | 2759 | 0.2 | -53 |
| MW17 | 120-130 | 4/4/07 | 16.0 | 6.44 | 861 | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 8.3 | 7.15 | 610 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/5/08 | 5.5 | 7.12 | 804 | 7.0 | 239 |
|  |  | 7/9/08 | 17.5 | 7.11 | 843 | 20.6 | 89 |
|  |  | 10/22/08 | 13.1 | 7.10 | 777 | 8.4 | 110 |
|  |  | 3/4/09 | 14.1 | 7.12 | 823 | 8.5 | 258 |
|  |  | 6/17/09 | 18.4 | 7.01 | 825 | 5.7 | 103 |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 14.8 | 6.89 | 800 | 7.7 | 248 |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | 14.1 | 7.15 | 687 | 7.0 | -66 |
|  |  | 9/17/10 | 19.2 | 7.22 | 790 | 9.6 | 153 |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 13.9 | 7.83 | 634 | 5.2 | 351 |

TABLE A. 2 (Cont.)

| Well | Screen Interval <br> $(\mathrm{ft} \mathrm{BGL})$ | Sample <br> Date | Temperature <br> $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ | pH | Conductivity <br> $(\mu \mathrm{S} / \mathrm{cm})$ | Dissolved <br> Oxygen <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L})$ | Oxygen <br> Reduction <br> Potential <br> $(\mathrm{mV})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Private wells

| Oentrich | 150 | 7/20/06 | - | - | - | - | - |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 8/2/06 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 4/5/07 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 11/19/07 | 12.1 | 8.26 | 1830 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/6/08 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 7/11/08 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 10/23/08 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 3/5/09 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | 15.3 | 7.15 | 1270 | - | - |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | 15.6 | 7.14 | 1275 | - | - |
|  |  | 4/1/10 | 12.8 | 7.41 | 1017 | - | - |
|  |  | 9/18/10 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Sedivy | 138 | 8/22/06 | - | - | - | - | - |
|  |  | 9/13/06 | 22.5 | 6.57 | 739 | - | - |
| Public wells |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| PWS2 | 155 | 11/20/07 | - | - | 955 | - | - |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | - | - | 863 | - | - |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | - | - | 851 | - | - |
|  |  | 12/14/09 | - | - | 857 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | - | - | 853 | - | - |
|  |  | 6/17/10 | - | - | 866 | - | - |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 15.2 | 8.04 | 694 | - | - |
|  |  | 12/12/11 | 15.1 | 7.88 | 694 | - | - |
| PWS3 | 160 | 11/20/07 | - | - | 999 | - | - |
|  |  | 6/18/09 | _ | _ | 867 | - | - |
|  |  | 9/30/09 | - | - | 858 | - | - |
|  |  | 12/14/09 | - | - | 859 | - | - |
|  |  | 3/31/10 | - | - | 867 | - | - |
|  |  | 6/17/10 | - | - | 880 | - | - |
|  |  | 9/28/11 | 15.7 | 7.97 | 721 | - | - |
|  |  | 12/12/11 | 15.0 | 7.83 | 718 | - | - |

a Not sampled (well dry).

## Appendix B:

Results from the AGEM Laboratory for Dual Analyses of Samples Collected in 2011 and for Quality Control Samples

TABLE B. 1 Analytical results from the AGEM Laboratory for quality control samples collected to monitor sample collection and handling activities in 2011.
$\left.\begin{array}{llllllllll}\hline & & & & & \text { Concentration ( } \mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L} \text { ) }\end{array}\right]$
a ND, contaminant not detected at an instrument detection limit of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.
b J, estimated concentration below the purge-and-trap method quantitation limit of $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.

TABLE B. 2 Results for verification organic analyses during groundwater monitoring in 2011.

| Location | Sample | Sample Date and Time | Concentration ( $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | AGEM Laboratory |  |  | TestAmerica |  |  |
|  |  |  | Carbon Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride | Carbon <br> Tetrachloride | Chloroform | Methylene Chloride |
| MW13S | BAMW13S-W-28814 | 9/28/11 10:52 | 8.1 | 0.7 J | $N D^{\text {a }}$ | 8.3 | 0.69 | ND |
| MW14S | BAMW14S-W-28816 | 9/28/11 16:40 | 3.4 | ND | ND | 2.8 | 0.21 Jb | ND |
| MW17 | BAMW17-W-28817 | 9/28/11 15:10 | 0.4 J | ND | ND | 0.33 J | ND | ND |
| QC | BAQCTB-W-28825 | 9/28/11 18:40 | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND | ND |

a ND, not detected at an instrument detection limit of $0.1 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$.
b J, estimated concentration below the method quantitation limit of $1.0 \mu \mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{L}$ at the AGEM Laboratatory (for modified EPA Method 524.2 ) or 0.5 $\mu \mathrm{g} / \mathrm{L}$ at TestAmerica (for EPA Method SOM01 - trace volatiles).

## Supplement 1:

## Waste Characterization Data

November 11, 2011

Mr. Travis Kamler
TCW Construction Inc
141 M Street
Lincoln, NE 68508

## RE: Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

Dear Mr. Kamler:
Enclosed are the analytical results for sample(s) received by the laboratory on November 01, 2011. The results relate only to the samples included in this report. Results reported herein conform to the most current TNI standards and the laboratory's Quality Assurance Manual, where applicable, unless otherwise noted in the body of the report.

If you have any questions concerning this report, please feel free to contact me.

Sincerely,
Srudiy Siciazon

Trudy Gipson
trudy.gipson@pacelabs.com
Project Manager

Enclosures
cc: Mr. David Surgnier


## CERTIFICATIONS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water<br>Pace Project No.: 60109211

## Kansas Certification IDs

9608 Loiret Boulevard, Lenexa, KS 66219
A2LA Certification \#: 2456.01
Arkansas Certification \#: 05-008-0
illinois Certification \#: 001191
lowa Certification \#: 118
Kansas/NELAP Certification \#: E-10116

Louisiana Certification \#: 03055
Nevada Certification \#: KS000212008A
Oklahoma Certification \#: 9205/9935
Texas Certification \#: T104704407-08-TX
Utah Certification \#: 9135995665

## SAMPLE SUMMARY

| Project: | KS/MO Waste Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 60109211 |


| Lab ID | Sample ID | Matrix | Date Coilected | Date Received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60109211001 | AGPURGE-W-10111 | Water | 10/31/11 09:00 | 11/01/11 09:20 |
| 60109211002 | BAPURGE-W-10112 | Water | 10/31/11 12:55 | 11/01/11 09:20 |
| 60109211003 | CNPURGE-W-10113 | Water | 10/31/11 14:02 | 11/01/11 09:20 |
| 60109211004 | EUPURGE-W-10114 | Water | 10/31/11 15:52 | 11/01/11 09:20 |
| 60109211005 | HAPURGE-W-10115 | Water | 10/31/11 12:27 | 11/01/11 09:20 |
| 60109211006 | MRPURGE-W-10116 | Water | 10/31/11 14:42 | 11/01/11 09:20 |
| 60109211007 | SVPURGE-W-10117 | Water | 10/31/11 18:30 | 11/01/11 09:20 |

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219

## SAMPLE ANALYTE COUNT

| Project: | KS/MO Waste Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 60109211 |


| Lab ID | Sample ID | Method | Analysts | Analytes Reported |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 60109211001 | AGPURGE-W-10111 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | - EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |
| 60109211002 | BAPURGE-W-10112 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |
| 60109211003 | CNPURGE-W-10113 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |
| 60109211004 | EUPURGE-W-10114 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |
| 60109211005 | HAPURGE-W-10115 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | - EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |
| 60109211006 | MRPURGE-W-10116 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |
| 60109211007 | SVPURGE-W-10117 | EPA 504.1 | NAW | 1 |
|  |  | EPA 5030B/8260 | HMW | 70 |
|  |  | EPA 353.2 | AJM | 1 |

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: AGPURGE-W-10111 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211001 <br> Results <br> Units | Collected: 10/31/11 <br> Report Limit | $\begin{aligned} & 09: 00 \\ & \text { DF } \end{aligned}$ | Received: 11 <br> Prepared | 01/11 09:20 M <br> Analyzed | trix: Water CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 504 GCS EDB and DBCP | Analytical Method: EPA 504.1 Preparation Method: EPA 504.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 0.028 | 1 | 11/07/11 00:00 | 11/08/11 00:52 | 106-93-4 |  |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 67-64-1 |  |
| Benzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 71-43-2 |  |
| Bromobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 108-86-1 |  |
| Bromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 74-97-5 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-27-4 |  |
| Bromoform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-25-2 |  |
| Bromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 74-83-9 |  |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 78-93-3 |  |
| n-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 104-51-8 |  |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 135-98-8 |  |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 98-06-6 |  |
| Carbon disulfide | ND ug/L | 5.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-15-0 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 21.8 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 56-23-5 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 108-90-7 |  |
| Chloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-00-3 |  |
| Chloroform | 1.6 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 67-66-3 |  |
| Chloromethane | ND ug/L. | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 74-87-3 |  |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 95-49-8 |  |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 106-43-4 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 96-12-8 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 124-48-1 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 106-93-4 |  |
| Dibromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 74-95-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 95-50-1 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 541-73-1 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 106-46-7 |  |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-71-8 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-34-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 107-06-2 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 540-59-0 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 75-35-4 |  |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 156-59-2 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 156-60-5 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 78-87-5 |  |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 142-28-9 |  |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 594-20-7 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L' | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 563-58-6 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ugh | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 10061-01-5 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 10061-02-6 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 100-41-4 |  |
| Hexachioro-1,3-butadiene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 87-68-3 |  |
| 2-Hexanone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 591-78-6 |  |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 98-82-8 |  |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:18 | 99-87-6 |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: | KS/MO Waste Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 60109211 |



This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

# ANALYTICAL RESULTS 

Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: BAPURGE-W-10112 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211002 <br> Results <br> Units | Collected: 10/31/4 <br> Report Limit | $12: 55$ <br> DF | Received: 11/ <br> Prepared | 01/11 09:20 <br> Analyzed | trix: Water CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 504 GCS EDB and DBCP | Analytical Method: EPA 504.1 Preparation Method: EPA 504.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 0.029 | 1 | 11/07/11 00:00 | 11/08/11 01:04 | 106-93-4 |  |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 67-64-1 |  |
| Benzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 71-43-2 |  |
| Bromobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 108-86-1 |  |
| Bromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 74-97-5 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-27-4 |  |
| Bromoform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-25-2 |  |
| Bromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 74-83-9 |  |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 78-93-3 |  |
| n-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 104-51-8 |  |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 135-98-8 |  |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 98-06-6 |  |
| Carbon disulfide | ND ug/L | 5.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-15-0 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 1.1 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 56-23-5 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 108-90-7 |  |
| Chloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-00-3 |  |
| Chloroform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 67-66-3 |  |
| Chloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 74-87-3 |  |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 95-49-8 |  |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 106-43-4 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 96-12-8 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 124-48-1 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 106-93-4 |  |
| Dibromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 74-95-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 95-50-1 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 541-73-1 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 106-46-7 |  |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-71-8 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-34-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 107-06-2 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 540-59-0 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-35-4 |  |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 156-59-2 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 156-60-5 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 78-87-5 |  |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 142-28-9 |  |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 594-20-7 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 563-58-6 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 10061-01-5 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 10061-02-6 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 100-41-4 |  |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 87-68-3 |  |
| 2-Hexanone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 591-78-6 |  |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 98-82-8 |  |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 99-87-6 |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: KS/MO Waste Water <br> Pace Project No.: 60109211 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: BAPURGE-W-10112 | Lab ID: 60109211002 | Collected: 10/31/11 12:55 |  | Received: 11/01/11 09:20 |  | Matrix: Water |  |
|  | Results Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5 | 30B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-09-2 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 108-10-1 |  |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 1634-04-4 |  |
| Naphthalene | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 91-20-3 |  |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 103-65-1 |  |
| Styrene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 100-42-5 |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 630-20-6 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 79-34-5 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 127-18-4 |  |
| Toluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 108-88-3 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 87-61-6 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 120-82-1 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 71-55-6 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 79-00-5 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 79-01-6 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-69-4 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 96-18-4 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 95-63-6 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 108-67-8 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 75-01-4 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ND ug/L | 3.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 1330-20-7 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | 102 \% | 87-113 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 460-00-4 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | $98 \%$ | 86-112 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 1868-53-7 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S) | 101 \% | 82-119 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 17060-07-0 |  |
| Toluene-d8 (S) | $95 \%$ | 90-110 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 | 2037-26-5 |  |
| Preservation pH | 7.0 | 0.10 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:35 |  |  |
| 353.2 Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 2 / \mathrm{NO} 3$ unpres | Analytical Method: EPA 353.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $6.1 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.20 | 1 |  | 11/02/11 09:17 |  |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: . KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211


This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
(913)599-5665

ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: CNPURGE-W-10113 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211003 <br> Results Units  | Collected: 10/31/11 14:02 |  | Received: 11/01/11 09:20 |  | Matrix: Water |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 75-09-2 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 108-10-1 |  |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 1634-04-4 |  |
| Naphthalene | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 91-20-3 |  |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 103-65-1 |  |
| Styrene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 100-42-5 |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 630-20-6 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 79-34-5 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 127-18-4 |  |
| Toluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 108-88-3 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 87-61-6 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 120-82-1 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 71-55-6 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 79-00-5 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 79-01-6 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 75-69-4 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 96-18-4 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 95-63-6 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 108-67-8 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 75-01-4 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ND ug/L | 3.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 1330-20-7 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | 107 \% | 87-113 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 460-00-4 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | 110 \% | 86-112 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 1868-53-7 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S) | $114 \%$ | 82-119 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 $20: 51$ | 17060-07-0 |  |
| Toluene-d8 ( S ) | $108 \%$ | 90-110 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 | 2037-26-5 |  |
| Preservation pH | 7.0 | 0.10 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 20:51 |  |  |
| 353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres | Analytical Method: EPA 353.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $4.4 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.10 | 1 |  | 11/02/11 09:06 |  |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: EUPURGE-W-10114 <br> Parameters | $\begin{array}{lr}\text { Lab ID: } & 60109211004 \\ \text { Results } & \text { Units }\end{array}$ | Collected: 10/31/1 <br> Report Limit | $\begin{aligned} & 15: 52 \\ & D F \end{aligned}$ | Received: 11 <br> Prepared | 01/11 09:20 <br> Analyzed | trix: Water CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 504 GCS EDB and DBCP | Analytical Method: EPA 504.1 Preparation Method: EPA 504.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 0.029 | 1 | 11/07/11 00:00 | 11/08/11 01:30 | 106-93-4 |  |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 67-64-1 |  |
| Benzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 71-43-2 |  |
| Bromobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 108-86-1 |  |
| Bromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 74-97-5 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-27-4 |  |
| Bromoform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-25-2 |  |
| Bromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 74-83-9 |  |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 78-93-3 |  |
| n-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 104-51-8 |  |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 135-98-8 |  |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 98-06-6 |  |
| Carbon disulfide | ND ug/L | 5.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-15-0 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 56-23-5 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 108-90-7 |  |
| Chloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-00-3 |  |
| Chloroform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 67-66-3 |  |
| Chloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 74-87-3 |  |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 95-49-8 |  |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 106-43-4 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 96-12-8 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 124-48-1 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 106-93-4 |  |
| Dibromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 74-95-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 95-50-1 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 541-73-1 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 106-46-7 |  |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-71-8 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-34-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 107-06-2 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 540-59-0 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-35-4 |  |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 156-59-2 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 156-60-5 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 78-87-5 |  |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 142-28-9 |  |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 594-20-7 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 563-58-6 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 10061-01-5 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ught | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 10061-02-6 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 100-41-4 |  |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 87-68-3 |  |
| 2-Hexanone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 591-78-6 |  |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 98-82-8 |  |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 99-87-6 |  |

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

| Project: KS/MO Waste <br> Pace Project No.: 60109211 | KS/MO Waste Water |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sample: EUPURGE-W-10114 | Lab ID: 60109211004 | Collected: 10/31/11 | 15:52 | Received: | 1/11 09:20 | atrix: Water |  |
| Parameters | Results Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-09-2 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 108-10-1 |  |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 1634-04-4 |  |
| Naphthalene | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 91-20-3 |  |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 103-65-1 |  |
| Styrene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 100-42-5 |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 630-20-6 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 79-34-5 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 127-18-4 |  |
| Toluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 108-88-3 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 87-61-6 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 120-82-1 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 71-55-6 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 79-00-5 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 79-01-6 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-69-4 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 96-18-4 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 95-63-6 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 108-67-8 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 75-01-4 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ND ug/L | 3.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 1330-20-7 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | 106 \% | 87-113 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 460-00-4 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | 108 \% | 86-112 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 1868-53-7 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S) | 113 \% | 82-119 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 17060-07-0 |  |
| Toluene-d8 (S) | 105 \% | 90-110 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 | 2037-26-5 |  |
| Preservation pH | 7.0 | 0.10 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:07 |  |  |
| 353.2 Nitrogen, $\mathrm{NO} 2 / \mathrm{NO} 3$ unpres | Analytical Method: EPA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | 10.6 mg/L | 0.50 | 1 |  | 11/02/11 09:21 |  |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
(913)599-5665

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: HAPURGE-W-10115 | Lab ID: $\mathbf{6 0 1 0 9 2 1 1 0 0 5}$ | Collected: $10 / 31 / 11$ | $12: 27$ | Received: | 11/01/11 09:20 | Matrix: Water |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameters | Results |  | Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |

504 GCS EDB and DBCP
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)
8260 MSV

| Acetone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 67-64-1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Benzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 71-43-2 |
| Bromobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 108-86-1 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 74-97-5 |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-27-4 |
| Bromoform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-25-2 |
| Bromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 74-83-9 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 78-93-3 |
| n-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 104-51-8 |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 135-98-8 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 98-06-6 |
| Carbon disulfide | ND ug/L | 5.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-15-0 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 6.1 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 56-23-5 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 108-90-7 |
| Chloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-00-3 |
| Chloroform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 67-66-3 |
| Chloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 74-87-3 |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 95-49-8 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 106-43-4 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 96-12-8 |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 124-48-1 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 106-93-4 |
| Dibromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 74-95-3 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 95-50-1 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 541-73-1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 106-46-7 |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-71-8 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-34-3 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 107-06-2 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 540-59-0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-35-4 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 156-59-2 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 156-60-5 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 78-87-5 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 142-28-9 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 594-20-7 |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 563-58-6 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 10061-01-5 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 10061-02-6 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 100-41-4 |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 87-68-3 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 591-78-6 |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 98-82-8 |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 | 11/04/11 21:24 | 99-87-6 |

Analytical Method: EPA 504.1 Preparation Method: EPA 504.1
$0.028 \quad 1 \quad 11 / 07 / 1100: 00 \quad 11 / 08 / 1101: 43 \quad 106-93-4$

## Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219 (913)599-5665

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: HAPURGE-W-10115 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211005 <br> Results <br> Units | Collected: 10/31/11 12:27 |  | Received: 11/01/11 09:20 |  | Matrix: Water |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-09-2 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 108-10-1 |  |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 1634-04-4 |  |
| Naphthalene | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 91-20-3 |  |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 103-65-1 |  |
| Styrene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 100-42-5 |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 630-20-6 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 79-34-5 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND ug/L' | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 127-18-4 |  |
| Toluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 108-88-3 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 87-61-6 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 120-82-1 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 71-55-6 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 79-00-5 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 79-01-6 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-69-4 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 96-18-4 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 95-63-6 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 108-67-8 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 75-01-4 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ND ug/L | 3.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 1330-20-7 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | 106 \% | 87-113 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 460-00-4 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | $103 \%$ | 86-112 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 1868-53-7 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S) | $106 \%$ | 82-119 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 17060-07-0 |  |
| Toluene-d8 (S) | $100 \%$ | 90-110 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:24 | 2037-26-5 |  |
| Preservation pH | 7.0 | 0.10 | 1 | . | 11/04/11 21:24 |  |  |
| 353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres | Analytical Method: EPA 353.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $5.7 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.20 | 1 |  | 11/02/11 09:16 |  |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: MRPURGE-W-10116 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211006 | Collected: 10/31/11 <br> Report Limit | $\begin{aligned} & 14: 42 \\ & \text { DF } \end{aligned}$ | Received: 11/ <br> Prepared | Analyzed | atrix: Water CAS No. | Qual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 504 GCS EDB and DBCP | Analytical Method: EPA 504.1 Preparation Method: EPA 504.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 0.029 | 1 | 11/07/11 00:00 | 11/08/11 01:55 | 106-93-4 |  |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 67-64-1 |  |
| Benzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 71-43-2 |  |
| Bromobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 108-86-1 |  |
| Bromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 74-97-5 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-27-4 |  |
| Bromoform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-25-2 |  |
| Bromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 74-83-9 |  |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 78-93-3 |  |
| n-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 104-51-8 |  |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 135-98-8 |  |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 98-06-6 |  |
| Carbon disulfide | ND ug/L | 5.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-15-0 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 3.4 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 56-23-5 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 108-90-7 |  |
| Chloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-00-3 |  |
| Chloroform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 67-66-3 |  |
| Chloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 74-87-3 |  |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 95-49-8 |  |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 106-43-4 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 96-12-8 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 124-48-1 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 106-93-4 |  |
| Dibromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 74-95-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 95-50-1 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 541-73-1 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 106-46-7 |  |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-71-8 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-34-3 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 107-06-2 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 540-59-0 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 75-35-4 |  |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 156-59-2 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 156-60-5 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 78-87-5 |  |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 142-28-9 |  |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 594-20-7 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 563-58-6 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 10061-01-5 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 10061-02-6 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 100-41-4 |  |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 87-68-3 |  |
| 2-Hexanone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 591-78-6 |  |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 98-82-8 |  |
| p-isopropyltoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:40 | 99-87-6 |  |

Date: 11/11/2011 11:15 AM

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211


Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219
(913)599-5665

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: SVPURGE-W-10117 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211007 <br> Results <br> Units | Collected: 10/31/1 <br> Report Limit | 18:30 <br> DF | Received: 11 <br> Prepared | Analyzed | atrix: Water CAS No. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 504 GCS EDB and DBCP | Analytical Method: EPA 504.1 Preparation Method: EPA 504.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 0.029 | 1 | 11/07/11 00:00 | 11/08/11 02:09 | 106-93-4 |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Acetone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 67-64-1 |
| Benzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 71-43-2 |
| Bromobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 108-86-1 |
| Bromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 74-97-5 |
| Bromodichloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-27-4 |
| Bromoform | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-25-2 |
| Bromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 74-83-9 |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 78-93-3 |
| n-Butyibenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 104-51-8 |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 135-98-8 |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 98-06-6 |
| Carbon disulfide | ND ug/L | 5.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-15-0 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 6.9 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 56-23-5 |
| Chlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 108-90-7 |
| Chloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | -11/04/11 21:56 | 75-00-3 |
| Chloroform | 3.3 ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 67-66-3 |
| Chloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 74-87-3 |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 95-49-8 |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 106-43-4 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 96-12-8 |
| Dibromochloromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 124-48-1 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 106-93-4 |
| Dibromomethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 74-95-3 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 95-50-1 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 541-73-1 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 106-46-7 |
| Dichiorodifluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-71-8 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-34-3 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 107-06-2 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 540-59-0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-35-4 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 156-59-2 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 156-60-5 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 78-87-5 |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 142-28-9 |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 594-20-7 |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 563-58-6 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 10061-01-5 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 10061-02-6 |
| Ethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 100-41-4 |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 87-68-3 |
| 2-Hexanone | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 591-78-6 |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 98-82-8 |
| p-isopropyltoluene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 99-87-6 |

Date: 11/11/2011 11:15 AM

## REPORT OF LABORATORY ANALYSIS

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

## ANALYTICAL RESULTS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

| Sample: SVPURGE-W-10117 <br> Parameters | Lab ID: 60109211007 | Collected: 10/31/11 18:30 |  | Received: 11/01/11 09:20 |  | Matrix: Water |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Results Units | Report Limit | DF | Prepared | Analyzed | CAS No. | Qual |
| 8260 MSV | Analytical Method: EPA 5030B/8260 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Methylene chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-09-2 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 108-10-1 |  |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 1634-04-4 |  |
| Naphthalene | ND ug/L | 10.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 91-20-3 |  |
| n-Propylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 103-65-1 |  |
| Styrene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 100-42-5 |  |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 630-20-6 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 79-34-5 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 127-18-4 |  |
| Toluene | ND úg/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 108-88-3 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 87-61-6 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 120-82-1 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 71-55-6 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 79-00-5 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 79-01-6 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-69-4 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ND ug/L | 2.5 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 96-18-4 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 95-63-6 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 108-67-8 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ND ug/L | 1.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 75-01-4 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ND ug/L | 3.0 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 1330-20-7 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | $90 \%$ | 87-113 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 460-00-4 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | $106 \%$ | 86-112 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 1868-53-7 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S) | 111 \% | 82-119 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 17060-07-0 |  |
| Toluene-d8 (S) | 110 \% | 90-110 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 | 2037-26-5 |  |
| Preservation pH | 7.0 | 0.10 | 1 |  | 11/04/11 21:56 |  |  |
| 353.2 Nitrogen, NO2/NO3 unpres | Analytical Method: EPA 353.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $0.41 \mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 0.10 | 1 |  | 11/02/11 09:11 |  |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.
9608 Loiret Blvd.
Lenexa, KS 66219 (913)599-5665

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

| Project: | KS/MO Waste Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No. | 60109211 |

Pace Project No.: 60109211

| QC Batch: | OEXT/31027 | Analysis Method: | EPA 504.1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 504.1 | Analysis Description: | GCS 504 EDB DBCP |

Associated Lab Samples: $\quad 60109211001,60109211002,60109211003,60109211004,60109211005,60109211006,60109211007$
METHOD BLANK: 906554
Matrix: Water
Associated Lab Samples: $\quad 60109211001,60109211002,60109211003,60109211004,60109211005,60109211006,60109211007$
Parameter
1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB)
ug/L


## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

| QC Batch: | MSV/41422 | Analysis Method: | EPA 5030B/8260 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 5030B/8260 | Analysis Description: | 8260 MSV Water 7 day |
| Associated Lab Samples: | $60109211001,60109211002,60109211003,60109211004,60109211005,60109211006,60109211007$ |  |  |


| METHOD BLANK: 905182 |  | Matrix: | Vater |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Associated Lab Samples: | 001, 601092 | 9211003, 6 | 09211004, 60 | 09211005,6010 | 1006, 60109211007 |
|  |  | Blank | Reporting |  |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Limit | Analyzed | Qualifiers |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | $u g / L$ | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | ND | 2.5 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | ug/L | ND | 2.5 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethene (Total) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,3,5-Trimethylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,3-Dichloropropane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 2,2-Dichloropropane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 2-Butanone (MEK) | ug/L | ND | 10.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 2-Chlorotoluene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 2-Hexanone | ug/L | ND | 10.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 4-Chlorotoluene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone (MIBK) | ug/L | ND | 10.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Acetone | ug/L | ND | 10.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Benzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Bromobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Bromochloromethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Bromodichloromethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Bromoform | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Bromomethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Carbon disulfide | ug/L | ND | 5.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Carbon tetrachloride | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Chlorobenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Chloroethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Chioroform | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Chloromethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Dibromochloromethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full,
without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

Pace Analytical Services, Inc.

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211
METHOD BLANK: 905182 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60109211001, 60109211002, 60109211003, 60109211004, 60109211005, 60109211006, 60109211007

| Parameter | Units | Blank <br> Result | Reporting Limit | Analyzed | Qualifiers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dibromomethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Dichiorodifluoromethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Ethylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Hexachloro-1,3-butadiene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Isopropylbenzene (Cumene) | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Methyl-tert-butyl ether | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Methylene chloride | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| n -Butylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| n-Propylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Naphthalene | ug/L | ND | 10.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| p-Isopropyltoluene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| sec-Butylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Styrene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| tert-Butylbenzene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Tetrachloroethene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Toluene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Trichloroethene | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Vinyl chloride | ug/L | ND | 1.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ug/L | ND | 3.0 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 (S) | \% | 107 | 82-119 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | \% | 97 | 87-113 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | \% | 105 | 86-112 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |
| Toluene-d8 (S) | \% | 103 | 90-110 | 11/04/11 17:52 |  |


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: <br> Parameter | 905183 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Spike Conc | LCS Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{gathered}$ | \% Rec <br> Limits | Qualifiers |
| 1,1,1,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | 20 | 17.3 | 86 | 81-121 |  |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | ug/L | 20 | 17.6 | 88 | 82-119 |  |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | ug/L | 20 | 18.1 | 91 | 78-124 |  |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | ug/L | 20 | 20.3 | 102 | 79-121 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | ug/L | 20 | 18.3 | 91 | 73-119 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | ug/L | 20 | 17.7 | 89 | 75-120 |  |
| 1,1-Dichloropropene | ug/L | 20 | 18.5 | 93 | 79-123 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | 20 | 17.8 | 89 | 73-122 |  |
| 1,2,3-Trichloropropane | ug/L | 20 | 18.1 | 91 | 77-124 | , |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | ug/L | 20 | 17.4 | 87 | 75-120 |  |
| 1,2,4-Trimethylbenzene | ug/L | 20 | 18.7 | 94 | 77-120 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-chloropropane | $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | 20 | 16.7 | 84 | 69-125 |  |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane (EDB) | ug/L | 20 | 18.8 | 94 | 85-121 |  |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | ug/L | 20 | 19.2 | 96 | 82-115 |  |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | ug/L | 20 | 19.3 | 96 | 77-125 |  |

This report shall not be reproduced, except in full, without the written consent of Pace Analytical Services, Inc..

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: KS/MO Waste Water
Pace Project No.: 60109211


## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

| Project: | KS/MO Waste Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 60109211 |


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: | 905183 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Units | Spike <br> Conc. | LCS <br> Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{gathered}$ | \% Rec <br> Limits | Qualifiers |
| Vinyl chloride | $u g / L$ | 20 | 17.5 | 88 | 57-163 |  |
| Xylene (Total) | ug/L | 60 | 53.9 | 90 | 75-120 |  |
| 1,2-Dichioroethane-d4 (S) | \% |  |  | 103 | 82-119 |  |
| 4-Bromofluorobenzene (S) | \% |  |  | 104 | 87-113 |  |
| Dibromofluoromethane (S) | \% |  |  | 101 | 86-112 |  |
| Toluene-d8 (S) | \% |  |  | 99 | 90-110 |  |

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

| Project: | KS/MO Waste Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Pace Project No.: | 60109211 |


| QC Batch: | WETA/18128 | Analysis Method: | EPA 353.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 353.2 | Analysis Description: | 353.2 Nitrate + Nitrite, Unpres. |
| Associated Lab Samples: | 60109211001 |  |  |

METHOD BLANK: 903260 Matrix: Water

Associated Lab Samples: 60109211001

$\frac{\text { Parameter }}{\text { Nitrogen, Nitrate }} \frac{\text { Units }}{\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}} \frac{$|  Blank  |
| :---: |
|  Result  |}{}$\frac{$|  Reporting  |
| :---: |
|  Limit  |}{ND}$\frac{\text { Analyzed }}{11 / 02 / 1108: 39} \xrightarrow{\text { Qualifiers }}$



| MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: | 903263 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60109214002 | Spike | MS | MS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | mg/L | ND | 1.6 | 1.6 | 102 | 90- |  |


| SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 903264 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60109214008 | Dup |  | Max | . |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Result | RPD | RPD | Qualifiers |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate |  | ND | ND |  |  |  |

Pace Analytical Services, Inc. 9608 Loiret Blvd. Lenexa, KS 66219 (913)599-5665

## QUALITY CONTROL DATA

Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

| QC Batch: | WETA18129 | Analysis Method: | EPA 353.2 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| QC Batch Method: | EPA 353.2 | Analysis Description: | 353.2 Nitrate + Nitrite, Unpres. |

Associated Lab Samples: $\quad 60109211002,60109211003,60109211004,60109211005,60109211006,60109211007$

| METHOD BLANK: 903266 | Matrix: Water |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Associated Lab Samples: 60109211002, 60109211003, 60109211004, 60109211005, 60109211006, 60109211007 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parameter | Units | Blank | Reporting |  |  |
|  |  | Result | Limit | Analyzed | Qualifiers |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | ND | 0.10 | /02/11 09:03 |  |


| LABORATORY CONTROL SAMPLE: 903267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Units | Spike <br> Conc. | LCS <br> Result | $\begin{gathered} \text { LCS } \\ \% \operatorname{Rec} \end{gathered}$ | \% Rec Limits | Qualifiers |  |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 1.6 | 1.6 | 98 | 90-110 |  |  |
| MATRIX SPIKE SAMPLE: | 903268 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 60109211007 | Spike | MS | MS | \% Rec |  |
| Parameter | Uhits | Result | Conc. | Result | \% Rec | Limits | Qualifiers |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | mg/L | 0.4 | 1.6 |  |  | 90- |  |

SAMPLE DUPLICATE: 903269

|  |  | 60109238001 | Dup |  | Max |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Parameter | Units | Result | Result | RPD | RPD | Qualifiers |
| Nitrogen, Nitrate | $\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ | 6.8 | 6.8 |  | 15 |  |

## QUALIFIERS

Project: KS/MO Waste Water

Pace Project No.: 60109211

## DEFINITIONS

DF - Dilution Factor, if reported, represents the factor applied to the reported data due to changes in sample preparation, dilution of
the sample aliquot, or moisture content.
ND - Not Detected at or above adjusted reporting limit.
J - Estimated concentration above the adjusted method detection limit and below the adjusted reporting limit.
MDL - Adjusted Method Detection Limit.
S - Surrogate
1,2-Diphenyihydrazine (8270 listed analyte) decomposes to Azobenzene.
Consistent with EPA guidelines, unrounded data are displayed and have been used to calculate \% recovery and RPD values.
LCS(D) - Laboratory Control Sample (Duplicate)
MS(D) - Matrix Spike (Duplicate)
DUP - Sample Duplicate
RPD - Refative Percent Difference
NC - Not Calculable.
SG - Silica Gel - Clean-Up
U - Indicates the compound was analyzed for, but not detected.
N-Nitrosodiphenylamine decomposes and cannot be separated from Diphenylamine using Method 8270 . The result reported for
each analyte is a combined concentration.
Pace Analytical is TNI accredited. Contact your Pace PM for the current list of accredited analytes.

## BATCH QUALIFIERS

Batch: MSV/41422
[M5] A matrix spike/matrix spike duplicate was not performed for this batch due to insufficient sample volume.
 Project Number:

$$
\begin{array}{l|l|l}
\begin{array}{l}
\text { Matrix Codes } \\
\text { MATRIX / CODE }
\end{array} & \underset{0}{E} & \underset{0}{\underline{0}} \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$ $\frac{\text { MATRIX / CODE }}{\text { Dinking Water OW }}$

 Water
Waste Water
Product Proluct
Solilisolid

old Lincoln NE 68508 EngikToilen@+cwconstrection. com | Phone: |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| $40 \lambda 2167255$ | Fax: |
| Requested Due DateiTAT: |  |

Section D RequiredClient Information

SAMPLE ID
(A-Z, O-9 /,
1 AGPURGE-W-10111

| $r$ |
| :---: |
| 2 |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 3 |
| 1 |
| 4 |
| 3 |
| 0 |
| 5 |
| 0 |
| 0 |
| 4 |
| 0 |

3 CNPGRGE - W- 10113


| $n$ |
| :---: |
| 0 |
| 2 |
| 1 |
| 3 |
| $\vdots$ |
| 4 |
| 3 |
| 2 |
| 3 |
| 2 |
| 2 |
| $\vdots$ |


7 SVPGRGE-W-10117
${ }^{7}$ S S
8
9
$\infty$ the 2011 Year

ORIGINAL


## Sample Condition Upon Receipt

Client Name: TCW Const.
Project \# 601092.11


| Optional |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Proj. Due Date: <br> Proj. Name: | $11 / 1$ |



| Chain of Custody present: | Whes | -no | - N/A | 1. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chain of Custody filled out: | DYes | $\square$ No | -N/A | 2. |  |  |
| Chain of Custody relinquished: | FYes | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | -N/A | 3. |  |  |
| Sampler name \& signature on COC : | dyes [ | - ${ }^{\text {No }}$ | -N/A | 4. |  |  |
| Samples arrived within holding time: | \#Yes [ | $\square$ No | - N/A | 5. |  |  |
| Short Hold Time analyses (<72hr): | \% Yes | - No | IN/A | 6. $\mathrm{NO}_{3}$ |  |  |
| Rush Turn Around Time requested: | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$, | ENo | - N/A | 7. |  |  |
| Sufficient volume: | \#Yes [ | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | IINA | 8. |  |  |
| Correct containers used: -Pace containers used: | $\square$ Yes <br> 7 Yes | - N 。 $\square \text { No }$ | [iN/A <br> ■n/a | 9. |  |  |
| Containers intact: | Wres | - ${ }^{\text {no }}$ | -N/A | 10. |  |  |
| Unpreserved 5035A soils frozen w/in 48hrs? | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ [ | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | ¢ ${ }^{\text {N/A }}$ | 11. |  |  |
| Filtered volume received for dissolved tests | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ [ | $\square \mathrm{No}$ | ERNA | 12. |  |  |
| Sample labels match COC: <br> -Includes date/time/ID/analyses Matrix: | EYYes water |  | ■N/A | 13. |  |  |
| All containers needing preservation have been checked. <br> All containers needing preservation are found to be in compliance with EPA recommendation. |  | $\square$ No <br> ■No | $\psi_{\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}}$ <br> 7 7 N/A | 14. |  |  |
| Exceptions: VOA, coliform, TOC, O\&G, WI-DRO (water), Phenolics | Ores |  |  | Initial when completed | Lot \# of added preservative |  |
| Trip Blank present: <br> Pace Trip Blank lot \# (if purchased): $\qquad$ Mh | $\square \mathrm{Yes}$ | ZiNo | $\square \mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | 15. |  |  |
| Headspace in VOA vials ( $>6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ) | $\square \mathrm{Yes} 7$ | ¢No | [INA | 16. |  |  |
| Project sampled in USDA Regulated Area: | DYes | 口no | [7NA | 17. List State: |  | Q |

Client Notification/Resolution: $\quad$ Copy COC to Client? $\quad \mathrm{Y} /(\mathrm{N} \quad$ Field Data Required?
Person Contacted:
Comments/ Resolution:


[^1]
## Supplement 2:

## Sample Documentation from TestAmerica Laboratories, Inc.

## ANALYTICAL REPORT

Job Number: 200-7268-1
SDG Number: 200-7268
Job Description: Barnes (200-7268)
Contract Number: 1E-30401
For:
Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue
Building 203
Office B-149
Argonne, IL 60439
Attention: Mr. Clyde Dennis


The test results in this report relate only to sample(s) as received by the laboratory. These test results were derived under a quality system that adheres to the requirements of NELAC. Pursuant to NELAC, this report may not be produced in full without written approval from the laboratory
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## CASE NARRATIVE

# Client: Argonne National Laboratory 

## Project: Barnes (200-7268)

Report Number: 200-7268-1


#### Abstract

Enclosed is the data set for the referenced project work. With the exceptions noted as flags or footnotes, standard analytical protocols were followed in performing the analytical work and the applied control limits were met.

Calculations were performed before rounding to avoid round-off errors in calculated results. All holding times were met and proper preservation noted for the methods performed on these samples, unless otherwise detailed in the individual sections below.

\section*{Receipt}

The samples were received on 09/30/2011. Documentation of the condition of the samples at the time of their receipt and any exception to the laboratory's Sample Acceptance Policy is documented in the Shipping and Receiving section of this submittal. The samples, as received, were not acid preserved. On that basis, the laboratory did provide for the analytical work to be performed within seven days of sample collection.


## SOM01.2 Volatile Organics (Trace Level Water)

A storage blank was prepared for volatile organics analysis, and stored in association with the storage of the samples. That storage blank, identified as VHBLK01, was carried through the holding period with the samples, and analyzed.

Each sample in the sample set was analyzed without a dilution. Each of the analyses associated with the sample set exhibited an acceptable internal standard performance. There was an acceptable recovery of each deuterated monitoring compound (DMC) in the analysis of the method blank associated with the analytical work, and in the analysis of the storage blank associated with the sample set. The analysis of the samples in this sample set did meet the technical acceptance criteria specific to DMC recoveries, although not all DMC recoveries were within the control range in each analysis. The technical acceptance criteria does provide for the recovery of up to three DMCs to fall outside of the control range in the analysis of field samples. Matrix spike and matrix spike duplicate analyses were not performed on samples in this sample set. Trace concentrations of acetone, carbon disulfide, toluene, 1,3-dichlorobenzene, and 1,2,4-trichlorobenzene were identified in the analysis of the method blank associated with the analytical work. The concentration of each analyte in that analysis was below the established reporting limit, and the analysis did meet the technical acceptance criteria for a compliant method blank analysis. A trace concentration of acetone was identified in the analysis of the storage blank associated with the sample set. The concentration of acetone in that analysis was below the established reporting limit, and the analysis did meet the technical acceptance criteria for a compliant storage blank analysis. Present in the method blank and storage blank analyses was a non-target constituent that represents a compound that is related to the DMC formulation. The fact that the presence of this compound is not within the laboratory's control is at issue. The derived results for that compound have been qualified with an "X" qualifier to reflect the source of the contamination.

The responses for each of the target analytes met the relative standard deviation criterion in the initial calibration. The response for each target analyte met the percent difference criterion in the opening/continuing calibration check acquisition. The response for each target analyte met the 50.0 percent difference criterion in the closing calibration check acquisition.

The primary quantitation mass for methylcyclohexane that is specified in the Statement of Work is mass 83 . The laboratory did identify a contribution to mass 83 from 1,2-dichloropropane- $\mathrm{d}_{6}$, one of the deuterated monitoring compounds (DMCs). The laboratory did change the primary quantitation mass assignment to mass 55 for the quantification of methylcyclohexane.

Manual integration was employed in deriving certain of the analytical results. The values that have been derived from manual integration are qualified on the quantitation reports. Extracted ion current profiles for each manual integration are included in the data package, and further documented at the end of this submittal.

## DATA REPORTING QUALIFIERS

Client: Argonne National Laboratory
Job Number: 200-7268-1
Sdg Number: 200-7268

## Lab Section

## Qualifier

Description
GC/MS VOA

U

J

J
X
*

B

Analyzed for but not detected.
Indicates an Estimated Value for TICs
Indicates an estimated value.
See case narrative notes for explanation of the ' $X$ ' flag
Surrogate exceeds the control limit
The analyte was found in an associated blank, as well as in the sample.


TestAmerica Burlington
INTERNAL CHAIN OF CUSTODY LOG (ICOC)

${ }^{1}$ Extract, digestate, or any other prepared sample that is no longer in original sample container ${ }^{2}$ Miliftary Time
TestAmerica Burlington
INTERNAL CHAIN OF CUSTODY LOG (ICOC)


${ }^{\circ}{ }^{1}$ Extract, digestate, or any other prepared sample that is no longer in original sample container

## Shipping and Receiving Documents



## Login Sample Receipt Checklist

Client: Argonne National Laboratory
Job Number: 200-7268-1 SDG Number: 200-7268

Login Number: 7268
List Source: TestAmerica Burlington
List Number: 1
Creator: Holt, Jamie

| Question | Answer | Comment |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Radioactivity either was not measured or, if measured, is at or below background | True | Lab does not accept radioactive samples. |
| The cooler's custody seal, if present, is intact. | True | NO CUSTODY SEAL NUMBERS |
| The cooler or samples do not appear to have been compromised or tampered with. | True |  |
| Samples were received on ice. | True |  |
| Cooler Temperature is acceptable. | True |  |
| Cooler Temperature is recorded. | True | $0.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, IR GUN ID: $96, \mathrm{CF}: 0$ |
| COC is present. | True |  |
| COC is filled out in ink and legible. | True |  |
| COC is filled out with all pertinent information. | True |  |
| Is the Field Sampler's name present on COC? | True |  |
| There are no discrepancies between the sample IDs on the containers and the COC. | True |  |
| Samples are received within Holding Time. | True |  |
| Sample containers have legible labels. | True |  |
| Containers are not broken or leaking. | True |  |
| Sample collection date/times are provided. | True |  |
| Appropriate sample containers are used. | True |  |
| Sample bottles are completely filled. | True |  |
| Sample Preservation Verified. | N/A | Sample volumes received unpreserved. |
| There is sufficient vol. for all requested analyses, incl. any requested MS/MSDs | True |  |
| VOA sample vials do not have headspace or bubble is $<6 \mathrm{~mm}$ ( $1 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ ) in diameter. | True | . |
| Multiphasic samples are not present. | True |  |
| Samples do not require splitting or compositing. | True |  |
| Residual Chlorine Checked. | N/A |  |

## Sample Login Acknowledgement

Job 200-7268-1

| Client Job Description: | Barnes (200-7268) | Report To: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Purchase Order \#: | 1E-30401 | Argonne National Laboratory |
| Work Order \#: | $1 \mathrm{E}-30401$ | Jorge Alvarado |
| Project Manager: | Kirk F Young | 9700 South Cass Avenue |
| Job Due Date: | $10 / 14 / 2011$ | Building 203 |
| Job TAT: | 14 Days | Office B-149 |
| Max Deliverable Level: | N | Argonne, IL 60439 |
|  |  | Bill To: |
| Earliest Deliverable Due: | $10 / 14 / 2011$ | Argonne National Laboratory |
|  |  | Accounts Payable |
|  |  | Chief Financial Offices <br> 9700 S. Cass Ave. <br> Building 201 |
|  |  | Argonne, IL 60439 |

Login 200-7268

Sample Receipt:
9/30/2011 10:20:00 AM
FedEx Priority Overnight

| e\# | Client Sample ID |
| :---: | :---: |
| Method | Method Description / Work Location |
| 200-7268-1 | BAMW13S-W-28814 |
| SOM01.2_Vol_Tr | r SOM01.2 Trace Volatile Organics / In-Lab |
| 200-7268-2 | BAMW14S-W-28816 |
| SOM01.2_Vol_ | I SOM01.2 Trace Volatile Organics / In-Lab |
| 200-7268-3 B | BAMW17-W-28817 |
| SOM01.2_ Vol_Tr | $r$ SOM01.2 Trace Volatile Organics / In-Lab |
| 200-7268-4 B | BAQCTB-W-28825 |
| SOM01.2_Vol_Tr | r SOM01.2 Trace Volatile Organics / In-Lab |
| 200-7268-5 | VHBLK01 |

Number of Coolers: $\quad 1$
Cooler Temperature(s) ( $\mathbf{C}^{\circ}$ ): 0.6 ;

| Date Sampled | Matrix |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Rpt Basis | Dry/Wet** |
| 9/28/2011 12:00:00 AM | Water |  |
|  | Total | Wet |
| 9/28/2011 12:00:00 AM | Water |  |
|  | Total | Wet |
| 9/28/2011 12:00:00 AM | Water |  |
|  | Total | Wet |
| 9/28/2011 12:00:00 AM | Water |  |
|  | Total | Wet |
| 9/30/2011 2:30:00 PM | Water |  |
|  | Total | Wet |

[^2]** Wet/Dry indicates whether the reported results will be corrected forpacequare. Bonteft, ind based on sample Wet weight or Dry

## METHODOLOGY SUMMARY

| Laboratory: | TestAmerica Laboratories | Project No: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Location: | South Burlington, Vermont | SDG No: 200-7268 |
| VOA |  |  |
| Volatile Organics Trace - USEPA CLP SOM01.2 |  |  |

2A - FORM II VOA-1
WATER VOLATILE DEUTERATED MONITORING COMPOUND RECOVERY
 Level: (TRACE or LOW) TRACE


| VDMC1 | $(V C L)=$ Vinyl Chloride-d3 |
| :--- | :--- |
| VDMC2 | $(C L A)=$ Chloroethane-d5 |
| VDMC3 | $(D C E)=1,1-D i c h l o r o e t h e n e-d 2$ |
| VDMC4 | $(B U T)=2-$ Butanone-d5 |
| VDMC5 | $(C L F)=$ Chloroform-d |
| VDMC6 | $(D C A)=1,2-D i c h l o r o e t h a n e-d 4$ |
| VDMC7 | $(B E N)=$ Benzene-d 6 |


| QC LIMITS |
| :---: |
| $(65-131)$ |
| $(71-131)$ |
| $(55-104)$ |
| $(49-155)$ |
| $(78-121)$ |
| $(78-129)$ |
| $(77-124)$ |

[^3]Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 8E-00302
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: SDG No.: $200-7268$

Level: (TRACE or LOW) TRACE

|  | EPA SAMPLE NO. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { VDMC8 } \\ & \text { (DPA) \# } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { VDMC9 } \\ \text { (TOL) } \# \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VDMC10 } \\ & \text { (TDP) \# } \end{aligned}$ | VDMC11 <br> (HEX) \# | $\begin{aligned} & \text { VDMC12 } \\ & \text { (TCA) \# } \end{aligned}$ | VDMC13 <br> (DCZ) \# | OTHER | $\begin{aligned} & \text { TOT } \\ & \text { OUT } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | VBLKDG | 96 | 89 | 86 | 93 | 92 | 96 |  | 0 |
| 02 | BAQCTB-W-28825 | 97 | 89 | 88 | 95 | 94 | 90 |  | 0 |
| 03 | BAMW13S-W-2881 <br> 4 | 94 | 87 | 82 | 177 * | 88 | 90 |  | 2 |
| 04 | BAMW145-W-2881 <br> 6 | 88 | 82 | 76 | 171 * | 82 | 88 |  | 2 |
| 05 | BAMW17-W-28817 | 93 | 87 | 81 | 174 * | 89 | 93 |  | 2 |
| 06 | VHBLK01 | 88 | 81 | 76 | 81 | 85 | 92 |  | 0 |


| VDMC8 | (DPA) | = 1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VDMC9 | (TOL) | = Toluene-d8 |
| VDMC10 | (TDP) | = trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 |
| VDMC11 | (HEX) | $=2-H e x a n o n e-d 5$ |
| VDMC12 | (TCA) | = 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 |
| VDMC13 | (DCZ) | = 1,2-Dichlorobenzene- d 4 |


| QC LIMITS |
| :---: |
| $(79-124)$ |
| $(77-121)$ |
| $(73-121)$ |
| $(28-135)$ |
| $(73-125)$ |
| $(80-131)$ |

\# Column to be used to flag recovery values

* Values outside of contract required QC limits

Report 1,4-Dioxane-d8 for Low-Medium VOA analysis only

4A - FORM IV VOA
EPA SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE METHOD BLANK SUMMARY $\square$


|  | EPA <br> SAMPLE NO. | $\begin{gathered} \text { LAB } \\ \text { SAMPLE ID } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { LAB } \\ & \text { FILE ID } \end{aligned}$ | TIME <br> ANALYZED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAQCTB-W-288 } \\ & 25 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-4 | DHSD05.D | 1010 |
| 02 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAMW13S-W-28 } \\ & 814 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-1 | DHSD06.D | 1035 |
| 03 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAMW145-W-28 } \\ & 816 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-2 | DHSD07.D | 1100 |
| 04 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAMW17-W-288 } \\ & 17 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-3 | DHSD08.D | 1125 |
| 05 | VHBLK01 | 200-7268-5 | DHSD15.D | 1456 |

COMMENTS:

> 5A - FORM V VOA VOLATILE ORGANICS INSTRUMENT
> PERFORMANCE CHECK BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BFB)

EPA SAMPLE NO.

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Lab File Id: DHSO2.D

Instrument Id: D.i
GC Column: DB-624
DB-624 ID: 0.20 $\qquad$ (mm)

| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ | ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA | $\%$ RELATIVE <br> ABUNDANCE |
| ---: | :--- | :---: |
| 50 | $15.0-40.0 \%$ of mass 95 | 20.6 |
| 75 | $30.0-80.0 \%$ of mass 95 | 43.2 |
| 95 | Base peak, $100 \%$ relative abundance | 100 |
| 96 | $5.0-9.0 \%$ of mass 95 | 7.1 |
| 173 | Less than $2.0 \%$ of mass 174 | $0.4(1) 0.6) 1$ |
| 174 | $50.0-120 \%$ of mass 95 | 71.4 |
| 175 | $5.0-9.0 \%$ of mass 174 | $5.1 \quad(7.1) 1$ |
| 176 | $95.0-101 \%$ of mass 174 | $69.8(97.7) 1$ |
| 177 | $5.0-9.0 \%$ of mass 176 | $4.5(16.4) 2$ |

l - Value is \%mass 174 2 Value is \%mass 176

| EPA <br> SAMPLE NO. | LAB <br> SAMPLE ID | LAB <br> FILE ID | DATE <br> ANALYZED | TIME <br> ANALYZED |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | VSTD0.5DB | IC $200-25802 / 5$ | DHSO5.D | $09 / 22 / 2011$ | 0848 |
| 02 | VSTD001DB | IC $200-25802 / 6$ | DHS06.D | $09 / 22 / 2011$ | 0913 |
| 04 | VSTD005DB | ICIS $200-25802 / 7$ | DHSO7.D | $09 / 22 / 2011$ | 0938 |
| 05 | VSTD010DB | IC $200-25802 / 8$ | DHS08.D | $09 / 22 / 2011$ | 1002 |
|  | VSTD020DB | IC $200-25802 / 9$ | DHS09.D | $09 / 22 / 2011$ | 1038 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

5A - FORM V VOA
VOLATILE ORGANICS INSTRUMENT
PEREORMANCE CHECK
BROMOFLUOROBENZENE (BEB)

EPA SAMPLE NO. ORGANICS INSTRUMENT
( BEB )
$\square$
BEBDG

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Contract: 8E-00302
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No. $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268
Lab.File Id: DHSD01.D
Instrument Id: D.i
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20
(mm)

| $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{e}$ | ION ABUNDANCE CRITERIA | \% RELATIVE ABUNDANCE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50 | 15.0-40.0\% of mass 95 | 25.7 |
| 75 | $30.0-80.0 \%$ of mass 95 | 42.9 |
| 95 | Base peak, 100\% relative abundance | 100 |
| 96 | $5.0-9.0 \%$ of mass 95 | 6.8 |
| 173 | Less than 2.0\% of mass 174 | 0.4 ( 0.5)1 |
| 174 | 50.0-120\% of mass 95 | 82.2 |
| 175 | $5.0-9.0 \%$ of mass 174 | $5.5(6.7) 1$ |
| 176 | 95.0 - 101\% of mass 174 | 78.2 ( 95.2)1 |
| 177 | 5.0-9.0\% of mass 176 | $4.7(6.0) 2$ |

1 - value is \%mass $174 \quad 2$ - Value is \%mass 176

|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { EPA } \\ \text { SAMPLE NO. } \end{gathered}$ | LAB <br> SAMPLE ID | LAB FILE ID | DATE ANALYZED | TIME ANALYZED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 | VSTD005DG | CCVIS 200-26126/2 | DHSD02.D | 10/03/2011 | 0854 |
| 02 | VBLKDG | MB 200-26126/3 | DHSD03. D | 10/03/2011 | 0919 |
| 03 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAQCTB-W-2 } \\ & 8825 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-4 | DHSD05.D | 10/03/2011 | 1010 |
| 04 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAMW13S-W- } \\ & 28814 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-1 | DHSD06.D | 10/03/2011 | 1035 |
| 05 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAMW145-W- } \\ & 28816 \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-2 | DHSD07.D | 10/03/2011 | 1100 |
| 06 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { BAMW17-W-2 } \\ & 8817 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 200-7268-3 | DHSD08. ${ }^{\text {D }}$ | 10/03/2011 | 1125 |
| 07 | VHBLK01 | 200-7268-5 | DHSD15.D | 10/03/2011 | 1456 |
| 08 | VSTD005GD | CCVC 200-26126/16 | DHSD16.D | 10/03/2011 | 1521 |

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.:

Contract: 8E-00302

GC Column: DB-624 $\qquad$ ID: 0.20

EPA Sample No. (VSTD\#\#\#\#\#): VSTD005DG

Lab File ID (Standard): DHSDO2.D
Instrument ID: D.i

|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { IS1 (CBZ) } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | RT \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { IS2 (DFB) } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | RT \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { IS3 (DCB) } \\ \text { AREA } \end{gathered}$ | RT \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 HOUR STD | 203303 | 8.73 | 239176 | 5.37 | 100305 | 11.56 |
|  | UPPER LIMIT | 284624 | 9.06 | 334846 | 5.70 | 140427 | 11.89 |
|  | LOWER LIMIT | 121982 | 8.40 | 143506 | 5.04 | 60183 | 11.23 |
|  | EPA SAMPLE NO. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 01 | VBLKDG | 192667 | 8.73 | 223517 | 5.37 | 82863 | 11.56 |
| 02 | BAQCTB-W-28825 | 175366 | 8.73 | 204518 | 5.37 | 78960 | 11.56 |
| 03 | BAMW13S-W-2881 4 | 174948 | 8.73 | 205714 | 5.37 | 72759 | 11.56 |
| 04 | BAMW145-W-2881 6 | 184082 | 8.73 | 216076 | 5.37 | 75905 | 11.56 |
| 05 | BAMW17-W-28817 | 177767 | 8.73 | 204092 | 5.37 | 72714 | 11.56 |
| 06 | VHBLK01 | 163937 | 8.73 | 184959 | 5.37 | 66069 | 11.56 |

```
IS1 (CBZ) = Chlorobenzene-d5
IS2 (DFB) = 1,4-Difluorobenzene
IS3 (DCB) = 1,4-Dichlorobenzene-d4
AREA UPPER LIMIT = 140% (Trace Volatiles) of internal standard area
AREA LOWER LIMIT = 60% (Trace Volatiles) of internal standard area
RT UPPER LIMIT = + 0.33 (Trace Volatiles) minutes of internal standard RT
RT LOWER LIMIT = - 0.33 (Trace Volatiles) minutes of internal standard RT
```

\# Column used to flag values outside contract required QC limits with an asterisk.

## VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No. $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Sample wt/vol: 25.0 $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Leve1: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624
$\qquad$

Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)
Purge Volume: 25.0 $\qquad$ (mL)
Lab Sample ID: $\frac{200-7268-1}{}$
Lab File ID: $\frac{\text { DHSD06.D }}{0 / 30 / 2011}$
Date Received: $\quad \underline{10 / 03 / 2011}$
Date Analyzed:
Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/kg) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-71-8 | Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 74-87-3 | Chloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-01-4 | Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U |
| 74-83-9 | Bromomethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-00-3 | Chloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-69-4 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-35-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 76-13-1 | 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 67-64-1 | Acetone | 1.9 | J B |
| 75-15-0 | Carbon disulfide | 0.50 | U |
| 79-20-9 | Methyl acetate | 0.50 | U |
| 75-09-2 | Methylene Chloride | 0.50 | U |
| 156-60-5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 1634-04-4 | Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.50 | U |
| 75-34-3 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 156-59-2 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 78-93-3 | 2-Butanone | 5.0 | U |
| 74-97-5 | Bromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 67-66-3 | Chloroform | 0.69 |  |
| 71-55-6 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 110-82-7 | Cyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 56-23-5 | Carbon tetrachloride | 8.3 |  |
| 71-43-2 | Benzene | 0.50 | U |
| 107-06-2 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No. $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: $\frac{25.0}{(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL})} \mathrm{mL}$ |  |  |
| Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) | TRACE |  |
| \% Moisture: not dec. |  |  |

GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)

Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$ (uL) (mL)

Purge Volume: 25.0
Lab Sample ID: $\frac{200-7268-1}{}$
Lab File ID: DHSD06.D
Date Received: $\underline{09 / 30 / 2011}$
Date Analyzed: $10 / 03 / 2011$
Dilution Factor: 1.0

Soil Aliquot Volume: (uL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :---: |
| (ug/L or ug/kg) $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |  |  | Q


Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-1
Sample wt/vol: $25.0 \quad(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Lab File ID: DHSD06.D
Level: (TRACE or LOW/MED) TRACE
Date Received: 09/30/2011
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
\% Moisture: not dec. $\qquad$
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

|  | CAS NUMBER | COMPOUND NAME | RT | EST. CONC. | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 |  | Unknown | 6.69 | 3.0 | B X J |
| 02 | E966796 ${ }^{1}$ | Total Alkanes | N/A |  |  |

1 EPA-designated Registry Number.

1A - FORM I VOA-1
EPA SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No

Contract: 8E-00302
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Sample wt/vol: $25.0(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec. $\qquad$


GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)

Soil Extract Volume:
(uL)
Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-2
Lab File ID: DHSD07.D
Date Received: 09/30/2011
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume:
(uL)
Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: | (ug/L or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | Q

Report 1,4-Dioxane for Low-Medium VOA analysis only


Contract: 8E-00302
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No. $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: 25.0 | $(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$ |

Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624
$\qquad$ ID: 0.20 (mm)

Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$

Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-2
Lab File ID: DHSD07.D
Date Received: 09/30/2011
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: ( $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79-01-6 | Trichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-87-2 | Methylcyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 78-87-5 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-27-4 | Bromodichloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 10061-01-5 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-10-1 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 5.0 | U |
| 108-88-3 | Toluene | 0.0071 | J B |
| 10061-02-6 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-00-5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 591-78-6 | 2-Hexanone | 5.0 | U |
| 124-48-1 | Dibromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 106-93-4 | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.50 | U |
| 108-90-7 | Chlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-47-6 | o-Xylene | 0.50 | U |
| 179601-23-1 | m, p-xylene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-42-5 | Styrene | 0.50 | U |
| 75-25-2 | Bromoform | 0.50 | U |
| 98-82-8 | Isopropylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 541-73-1 | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 96-12-8 | 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 120-82-1 | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 87-61-6 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |

1J - FORM I VOA-TIC
EPA SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET TENTATIVELY IDENTIFIED COMPOUNDS
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: $\qquad$
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Sample wt/vol: $25.0 \quad(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Level: (TRACE or LOW/MED) TRACE
Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-2
Lab File ID: DHSD07.D
\% Moisture: not dec.
Date Received: 09/30/2011
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)
Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Dilution Factor: 1.0

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $u g / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (m.L)

|  | CAS NUMBER | COMPOUND NAME | RT | EST. CONC. | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 01 |  | Unknown | 6.69 | 2.8 | B X J |
| 02 | E9667961 | Total Alkanes | N/A |  |  |

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Case No. BARNES Mod. Ref No.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water
Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-3

Sample wt/vol: 25.0
$(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec. $\qquad$
(mm)

Soil Extract Volume: ID: 0.20
(uL)
Lab File ID: $\frac{\text { DHSD08.D }}{}$
Date Received: $\frac{09 / 30 / 2011}{10 / 03 / 2011}$
Date Analyzed: $\frac{1.0}{\text { Dilution Factor: }}$
$\qquad$ Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: <br> ( $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-71-8 | Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 74-87-3 | Chloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-01-4 | Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U |
| 74-83-9 | Bromomethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-00-3 | Chloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-69-4 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-35-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 76-13-1 | 1,1,2-Trichloro-l,2,2-trifluoroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 67-64-1 | Acetone | 5.0 | U |
| 75-15-0 | Carbon disulfide | 0.50 | U |
| 79-20-9 | Methyl acetate | 0.50 | U |
| 75-09-2 | Methylene Chloride | 0.50 | U |
| 156-60-5 | trans-l,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 1634-04-4 | Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.50 | U |
| 75-34-3 | 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 156-59-2 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 78-93-3 | 2-Butanone | 5.0 | U |
| 74-97-5 | Bromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 67-66-3 | Chloroform | 0.50 | U |
| 71-55-6 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 110-82-7 | Cyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 56-23-5 | Carbon tetrachloride | 0.33 | J |
| 71-43-2 | Benzene | 0.50 | U |
| 107-06-2 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.
Contract: $8 \mathrm{E}-00302$
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Sample wt/vol: $25.0 \quad(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)
$\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-3
Lab File ID: DHSD08.D
Date Received: 09/30/2011
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: ( $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79-01-6 | Trichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-87-2 | Methylcyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 78-87-5 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-27-4 | Bromodichloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 10061-01-5 | Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-10-1 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 5.0 | U |
| 108-88-3 | Toluene | 0.0070 | J B |
| 10061-02-6 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-00-5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 591-78-6 | 2-Hexanone | 5.0 | U |
| 124-48-1 | Dibromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 106-93-4 | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.50 | U |
| 108-90-7 | Chlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-47-6 | o-xylene | 0.50 | U |
| 179601-23-1 | m, p-xylene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-42-5 | Styrene | 0.50 | U |
| 75-25-2 | Bromoform | 0.50 | U |
| 98-82-8 | Isopropylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 541-73-1 | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 96-12-8 | 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 120-82-1 | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 87-61-6 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |



1A - FORM I VOA-1
EPA SAMPLE NO.
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

Contract: 8E-00302

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: 25.0 | $(\mathrm{g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$ |

$\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: $\mathrm{DB}-624$
ID: 0.20 (mma)
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)
Lab Sample ID: $\underline{200-7268-4}$
Lab File ID: DHSD05.D
Date Received: $\frac{09 / 30 / 2011}{}$
Date Analyzed: $\frac{10 / 03 / 2011}{}$
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume:

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: <br> (ug/L or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L | Q |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $75-71-8$ | Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $74-87-3$ | Chloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-01-4$ | Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U |
| $74-83-9$ | Bromomethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-00-3$ | Chloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-69-4$ | Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-35-4$ | $1,1-$ Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $76-13-1$ | 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-tri.fluoroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $67-64-1$ | Acetone | 4.6 | J |
| $75-15-0$ | Carbon disulfide | 0.056 | J B |
| $79-20-9$ | Methyl acetate | 0.50 | U |
| $75-09-2$ | Methylene Chloride | 0.50 | U |
| $156-60-5$ | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $1634-04-4$ | Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.50 | U |
| $75-34-3$ | $1,1-$ Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $156-59-2$ | Cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $78-93-3$ | 2-Butanone | 5.0 | U |
| $74-97-5$ | Bromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $67-66-3$ | Chloroform | 0.50 | U |
| $71-55-6$ | $1,1, l-T r i c h l o r o e t h a n e$ | 0.50 | U |
| $110-82-7$ | Cyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| $56-23-5$ | Carbon tetrachloride | 0.50 | U |
| $71-43-2$ | Benzene | 0.078 | J |
| $107-06-2$ | $1,2-$ Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: $\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) water

Sample wt/vol: $25.0 \quad(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20
(mm)

Soil Extract Volume: $\qquad$ (uL) ( mL L )
Purge Volume: 25.0 -

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: ( $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79-01-6 | Trichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-87-2 | Methylcyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 78-87-5 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-27-4 | Bromodichloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 10061-01-5 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-10-1 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 5.0 | U |
| 108-88-3 | Toluene | 0.53 | B |
| 10061-02-6 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-00-5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 591-78-6 | 2-Hexanone | 5. 0 | U |
| 124-48-1 | Dibromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 106-93-4 | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.50 | U |
| 108-90-7 | Chlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | 0.030 | J |
| 95-47-6 | o-xylene | 0.024 | J |
| 179601-23-1 | m, p-xylene | 0.085 | J |
| 100-42-5 | Styrene | 0.18 | J |
| 75-25-2 | Bromoform | 0.50 | U |
| 98-82-8 | Isopropylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 541-73-1 | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 96-12-8 | 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 120-82-1 | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 87-61-6 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |


| Lab Name: | TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON | Contract: | $\frac{8 E-00302}{}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: | SDG No.: 200-7268 |  |  |

Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-4
Sample wt/vol: $25.0 \quad(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Lab File ID: DHSD05.D
Date Received: 09/30/2011
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

$$
\text { CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or } \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}) \quad \underline{\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}} \quad \text { Purge Volume: } 25.0 \quad(\mathrm{~mL})
$$

| CAS NUMBER | COMPOUND NAME | RT | EST. CONC. | Q |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Unknown | 6.69 | 3.2 | B X J |
|  | E9667961 | Total Alkanes | N/A |  |

6A - FORM VI VOA-1
VOLATILE ORGANICS INITIAL CALIBRATION DATA

| Lab Name: TESTAMERI | TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON |  | Contract: |  | 8E-00302 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Code: STLV | Case No.: | BARNES | Mod. Ref No.: |  | SDG No.: 200-7268 |  |
| Instrument ID: D.i |  |  | Calibration | Date (s) : | 09/22/2011 | 09/22/2011 |
| Heated Purge: ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) | N |  | Calibration | Time (s) : | 0848 | 1038 |
| Purge Volume: 25.0 |  |  | (mL) |  |  |  |

GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm) Length: 25 (m)

| LAB FILE ID: $\operatorname{RRF} \underline{5.0}=\underline{\text { DHS07.D }}$ <br> COMPOUND | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{RRF} \underline{0.5}=\underline{\text { DHSO5.D }} \\ & \operatorname{RRF} 10=\underline{D H S O 8 . D} \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{RRF} 1.0=\text { DHS06.D } \\ & \operatorname{RRF} 20=\text { DHS09.D } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | RRF 0.5 | RRF1.0 | RRF 5.0 | RRF 10 | RRF20 | $\overline{\mathrm{RRF}}$ | \%RSD |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.507 | 0.475 | 0.480 | 0.489 | 0.487 | 0.488 | 2.5 |
| Chloromethane | 0.801 | 0.648 | 0.594 | 0.593 | 0.584 | 0.644 | 14.2 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.452 | 0.427 | 0.424 | 0.442 | 0.437 | 0.436 | 2.6 |
| Bromomethane | 0.206 | 0.200 | 0.187 | 0.193 | 0.193 | 0.196 | 3.7 |
| Chloroethane | 0.267 | 0.214 | 0.205 | 0.221 | 0.215 | 0.224 | 11.0 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.542 | 0.522 | 0.528 | 0.542 | 0.542 | 0.535 | 1.7 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.257 | 0.254 | 0.260 | 0.261 | 0.253 | 0.257 | 1.3 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane | 0.304 | 0.307 | 0.305 | 0.308 | 0.299 | 0.305 | 1.2 |
| Acetone | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.022 | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0.024 | 13.5 |
| Carbon disulfide | 0.758 | 0.658 | 0.663 | 0.696 | 0.711 | 0.697 | 5.8 |
| Methyl acetate | 0.066 | 0.060 | 0.057 | 0.062 | 0.058 | 0.060 | 5.5 |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.226 | 0.213 | 0.209 | 0.221 | 0.209 | 0.216 | 3.5 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.305 | 0.308 | 0.304 | 0.321 | 0.315 | 0.311 | 2.3 |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.373 | 0.361 | 0.364 | 0.385 | 0.354 | 0.367 | 3.3 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.600 | 0.585 | 0.592 | 0.617 | 0.608 | 0.600 | 2.1 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.330 | 0.299 | 0.305 | 0.317 | 0.312 | 0.313 | 3.7 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.040 | 0.040 | 0.039 | 0.042 | 0.039 | 0.040 | 3.7 |
| Bromochloromethane | 0.113 | 0.103 | 0.103 | 0.107 | 0.102 | 0.106 | 4.1 |
| Chloroform | 0.500 | 0.463 | 0.480 | 0.502 | 0.488 | 0.487 | 3.3 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.547 | 0.528 | 0.530 | 0.567 | 0.578 | 0.550 | 4.0 |
| Cyclohexane | 0.846 | 0.839 | 0.869 | 0.918 | 0.917 | 0.878 | 4.3 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.509 | 0.475 | 0.509 | 0.535 | 0.547 | 0.515 | 5.4 |
| Benzene | 1.568 | 1.515 | 1.482 | 1.544 | 1.514 | 1.525 | 2.2 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.272 | 0.252 | 0.271 | 0.279 | 0.268 | 0.268 | 3.7 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.394 | 0.385 | 0.377 | 0.396 | 0.397 | 0.390 | 2.2 |
| Methylcyclohexane | 0.721 | 0.692 | 0.715 | 0.753 | 0.755 | 0.727 | 3.7 |

Report 1,4-Dioxane for Low-Medium VOA analysis only

6B - FORM VI VOA-2
VOLATILE ORGANICS INITIAL CALIBRATION DATA

| Lab Name: TESTAMER | TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON |  |  | Contract: 8 |  | 8E-00302 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lab Code: STLV | Case No.: | BARNES | Mod. | . Ref No.: |  | SDG No.: 2 | 200-7268 |
| Instrument ID: D.i |  |  |  | Calibration | Date(s) : | 09/22/2011 | 09/22/2011 |
| Heated Purge: ( $\mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N}$ ) | N |  |  | Calibration | Time (s) : | 0848 | 1038 |


| LAB FILE ID: | RRF $0.5=$ DHS05.D | RRF1.0 $=\underline{\text { DHS06.D }}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RRF5.0 $=$ DHS07.D | RRF10 $=\underline{\text { DHS08.D }}$ | RRF20 $=\underline{\text { DHS09.D }}$ |


| COMPOUND | RRF 0.5 | RRF1.0 | RRF5 5.0 | RRF10 | RRF20 | $\overline{\mathrm{RRF}}$ | \%RSD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.366 | 0.346 | 0.353 | 0.373 | 0.363 | 0.360 | 3.0 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.299 | 0.296 | 0.319 | 0.345 | 0.342 | 0.320 | 7.2 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.383 | 0.394 | 0.423 | 0.461 | 0.445 | 0.421 | 7.8 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 0.104 | 0.106 | 0.116 | 0.125 | 0.116 | 0.113 | 7.8 |
| Toluene | 1.677 | 1.641 | 1.626 | 1.715 | 1.684 | 1.669 | 2.1 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.266 | 0.275 | 0.304 | 0.330 | 0.317 | 0.298 | 9.1 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.169 | 0.158 | 0.162 | 0.169 | 0.158 | 0.163 | 3.2 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.322 | 0.307 | 0.308 | 0.321 | 0.319 | 0.315 | 2.3 |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.072 | 0.074 | 0.081 | 0.086 | 0.078 | 0.078 | 6.9 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.170 | 0.166 | 0.183 | 0.206 | 0.203 | 0.186 | 10.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.146 | 0.143 | 0.146 | 0.157 | 0.149 | 0.148 | 3.4 |
| Chlorobenzene | 1.087 | 1.060 | 1.019 | 1.063 | 1.036 | 1.053 | 2.5 |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.819 | 1.784 | 1.862 | 1.965 | 1.939 | 1.874 | 4.1 |
| o-Xylene | 0.675 | 0.660 | 0.704 | 0.746 | 0.721 | 0.701 | 4.9 |
| m, p-Xylene | 0.741 | 0.722 | 0.754 | 0.796 | 0.782 | 0.759 | 3.9 |
| Styrene | 0.934 | 0.954 | 1.052 | 1.115 | 1.078 | 1.027 | 7.7 |
| Bromoform | 0.105 | 0.123 | 0.136 | 0.150 | 0.153 | 0.133 | 14.9 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 1.782 | 1.820 | 1.941 | 2.045 | 2.033 | 1.924 | 6.3 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.150 | 0.146 | 0.151 | 0.164 | 0.149 | 0.152 | 4.5 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.703 | 1.573 | 1.651 | 1.731 | 1.687 | 1.669 | 3.6 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.858 | 1.629 | 1.627 | 1.691 | 1.626 | 1.686 | 5.9 |
| 1,2-Dich1orobenzene | 1.450 | 1.331 | 1.370 | 1.417 | 1.352 | 1.384 | 3.5 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.043 | 0.036 | 0.038 | 0.043 | 0.042 | 0.040 | 8.2 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.793 | 0.688 | 0.756 | 0.806 | 0.785 | 0.766 | 6.1 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.568 | 0.536 | 0.576 | 0.603 | 0.584 | 0.573 | 4.3 |



| LAB FILE ID: | $\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{RRF} 0.5=\underline{\text { DHS05.D }} \\ & \operatorname{RRF} 10=\text { DHS08.D } \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RRF1.0 }=\text { DHS06.D } \\ & \text { RRF20 }=\text { DHS09.D } \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RRF5.0 $=$ DHS07.D |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| COMPOUND | RRF0.5 | RRF1.0 | RRF5.0 | RRF10 | RRF20 | RRF | \%RSD |
| Vinyl Chloride-d3 | 0.431 | 0.382 | 0.368 | 0.377 | 0.370 | 0.385 | 6.8 |
| Chloroethane-d5 | 0.247 | 0.237 | 0.229 | 0.231 | 0.225 | 0.234 | 3.6 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 | 0.649 | 0.614 | 0.609 | 0.633 | 0.620 | 0.625 | 2.6 |
| 2-Butanone-d5 | 0.040 | 0.037 | 0.039 | 0.041 | 0.036 | 0.039 | 5.0 |
| Chloroform-d | 0.505 | 0.487 | 0.489 | 0.507 | 0.495 | 0.497 | 1.8 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 0.210 | 0.195 | 0.193 | 0.197 | 0.188 | 0.196 | 4.1 |
| Benzene-d6 | 1.524 | 1.480 | 1.440 | 1.494 | 1.481 | 1.484 | 2.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 | 0.379 | 0.352 | 0.354 | 0.379 | 0.368 | 0.366 | 3.6 |
| Toluene-d8 | 1.466 | 1.376 | 1.393 | 1.459 | 1.434 | 1.426 | 2.8 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 | 0.266 | 0.247 | 0.262 | 0.287 | 0.281 | 0.269 | 5.9 |
| 2-Hexanone-d5 | 0.031 | 0.034 | 0.038 | 0.042 | 0.038 | 0.037 | 11.1 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 | 0.156 | 0.149 | 0.151 | 0.161 | 0.149 | 0.153 | 3.6 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 | 0.910 | 0.811 | 0.804 | 0.833 | 0.798 | 0.831 | 5.5 |

Report 1,4-Dioxane-d8 for Low-Medium VOA analysis only


Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| COMPOUND | $\overline{\mathrm{RRF}}$ | RRF5.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { RRF } \end{aligned}$ | \% D | MAX $\%$ D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.488 | 0.465 | 0.010 | -4.6 | 40.0 |
| Chloromethane | 0.644 | 0.678 | 0.010 | 5.3 | 40.0 |
| Vinyl chloride | 0.436 | 0.515 | 0.010 | 17.9 | 30.0 |
| Bromomethane | 0.196 | 0.172 | 0.010 | $-12.3$ | 30.0 |
| Chloroethane | 0.224 | 0.264 | 0.010 | 17.5 | 40.0 |
| Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.535 | 0.555 | 0.010 | 3.6 | 40.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.257 | 0.297 | 0.010 | 15.6 | 30.0 |
| 1,1,2-Trich1oro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane | 0.305 | 0.338 | 0.010 | 10.7 | 40.0 |
| Acetone | 0.024 | 0.023 | 0.010 | -3.1 | 40.0 |
| Carbon disulfide | 0.697 | 0.798 | 0.010 | 14.5 | 40.0 |
| Methyl acetate | 0.060 | 0.064 | 0.010 | 6.0 | 40.0 |
| Methylene Chloride | 0.216 | 0.221 | 0.010 | 2.4 | 40.0 |
| trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.311 | 0.295 | 0.010 | -5.0 | 40.0 |
| Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.367 | 0.282 | 0.010 | $-23.3$ | 40.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethane | 0.600 | 0.582 | 0.010 | -3.1 | 30.0 |
| cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.313 | 0.285 | 0.010 | -9.0 | 40.0 |
| 2-Butanone | 0.040 | 0.037 | 0.010 | -8.2 | 40.0 |
| Bromochloromethane | 0.106 | 0.092 | 0.010 | $-13.4$ | 30.0 |
| Chloroform | 0.487 | 0.444 | 0.010 | -8.8 | 30.0 |
| 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.550 | 0.475 | 0.010 | $-13.5$ | 30.0 |
| Cyclohexane | 0.878 | 0.875 | 0.010 | -0.4 | 40.0 |
| Carbon tetrachloride | 0.515 | 0.447 | 0.010 | -13.1 | 30.0 |
| Benzene | 1.525 | 1.415 | 0.010 | -7.2 | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.268 | 0.241 | 0.010 | -10.0 | 30.0 |
| Trichloroethene | 0.390 | 0.336 | 0.010 | -13.8 | 30.0 |
| Methylcyclohexane | 0.727 | 0.719 | 0.010 | -1.1 | 40.0 |

Report 1,4-Dioxane for Low/Medium VOA analysis only


| COMPOUND | $\overline{\mathrm{RRF}}$ | RRF5.0 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MIN } \\ & \text { RRE } \end{aligned}$ | \% D | MAX \% D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.360 | 0.347 | 0.010 | -3.7 | 40.0 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.320 | 0.274 | 0.010 | -14.3 | 30.0 |
| cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.421 | 0.372 | 0.010 | -11.6 | 30.0 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 0.113 | 0.105 | 0.010 | -7.6 | 40.0 |
| Toluene | 1.669 | 1.531 | 0.010 | -8.3 | 30.0 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.298 | 0.262 | 0.010 | -12.3 | 30.0 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.163 | 0.140 | 0.010 | $-14.6$ | 30.0 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.315 | 0.286 | 0.010 | -9.3 | 30.0 |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.078 | 0.071 | 0.010 | -9.0 | 40.0 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.186 | 0.157 | 0.010 | $-15.7$ | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.148 | 0.120 | 0.010 | $-18.8$ | 40.0 |
| Chlorobenzene | 1.053 | 0.950 | 0.010 | -9.7 | 30.0 |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.874 | 1.755 | 0.010 | -6.4 | 30.0 |
| o-xylene | 0.701 | 0.681 | 0.010 | -2.9 | 30.0 |
| m, p-Xylene | 0.759 | 0.722 | 0.010 | -4.8 | 30.0 |
| Styrene | 1.027 | 0.966 | 0.010 | -5.9 | 30.0 |
| Bromoform | 0.133 | 0.114 | 0.010 | -14.8 | 30.0 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 1.924 | 1.892 | 0.010 | $-1.7$ | 40.0 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.152 | 0.136 | 0.010 | $-10.6$ | 30.0 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.669 | 1.454 | 0.010 | $-12.9$ | 30.0 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.686 | 1.451 | 0.010 | -14.0 | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1.384 | 1.219 | 0.010 | -11.9 | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.040 | 0.029 | 0.010 | -27.7 | 40.0 |
| 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.766 | 0.626 | 0.010 | $-18.2$ | 30.0 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.573 | 0.460 | 0.010 | $-19.7$ | 30.0 |



|  |
| :---: |
|  |  |

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| COMPOUND | $\overline{\text { RRF }}$ | RRF5.0 | MIN <br> RRF | \%D | MAX \%D |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vinyl Chloride-d3 | 0.385 | 0.446 | 0.010 | 15.6 | 30.0 |
| Chloroethane-d5 | 0.234 | 0.281 | 0.010 | 20.1 | 40.0 |
| 1,1-Dichloroethene-d2 | 0.625 | 0.686 | 0.010 | 9.8 | 30.0 |
| 2-Butanone-d5 | 0.039 | 0.035 | 0.010 | -9.2 | 40.0 |
| Chloroform-d | 0.497 | 0.447 | 0.010 | -10.0 | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloroethane-d4 | 0.196 | 0.167 | 0.010 | -14.8 | 30.0 |
| Benzene-d6 | 1.484 | 1.340 | 0.010 | -9.7 | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane-d6 | 0.366 | 0.335 | 0.010 | -8.5 | 40.0 |
| Toluene-d8 | 1.426 | 1.297 | 0.010 | -9.0 | 30.0 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene-d4 | 0.269 | 0.217 | 0.010 | -19.3 | 30.0 |
| 2-Hexanone-d5 | 0.037 | 0.029 | 0.010 | -21.6 | 40.0 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane-d2 | 0.153 | 0.133 | 0.010 | -13.2 | 30.0 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene-d4 | 0.831 | 0.697 | 0.010 | -16.1 | 30.0 |



Heated Purge: (Y/N) N GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm) Length: 25 (m)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| COMPOUND | $\overline{R R F}$ | RRF5.0 | MIN <br> RRF | $\% \mathrm{D}$ | MAX \%D |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.360 | 0.356 | 0.010 | -1.2 | 50.0 |
| Bromodichloromethane | 0.320 | 0.294 | 0.200 | -8.1 | 50.0 |
| Cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.421 | 0.388 | 0.200 | -7.9 | 50.0 |
| 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 0.113 | 0.128 | 0.010 | 12.9 | 50.0 |
| Toluene | 1.669 | 1.540 | 0.400 | -7.7 | 50.0 |
| trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.298 | 0.280 | 0.100 | -6.1 | 50.0 |
| 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.163 | 0.151 | 0.100 | -7.7 | 50.0 |
| Tetrachloroethene | 0.315 | 0.285 | 0.100 | -9.8 | 50.0 |
| 2-Hexanone | 0.078 | 0.089 | 0.010 | 13.8 | 50.0 |
| Dibromochloromethane | 0.186 | 0.183 | 0.100 | -1.2 | 50.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.148 | 0.134 | 0.010 | -9.8 | 50.0 |
| Chlorobenzene | 1.053 | 0.984 | 0.500 | -6.6 | 50.0 |
| Ethylbenzene | 1.874 | 1.759 | 0.100 | -6.1 | 50.0 |
| o-Xylene | 0.701 | 0.690 | 0.300 | -1.6 | 50.0 |
| m,p-Xylene | 0.759 | 0.743 | 0.300 | -2.1 | 50.0 |
| Styrene | 1.027 | 1.042 | 0.300 | 1.5 | 50.0 |
| Bromoform | 0.133 | 0.130 | 0.050 | -2.7 | 50.0 |
| Isopropylbenzene | 1.924 | 1.855 | 0.010 | -3.6 | 50.0 |
| 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.152 | 0.158 | 0.100 | 4.2 | 50.0 |
| 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 1.669 | 1.417 | 0.400 | -15.1 | 50.0 |
| 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 1.686 | 1.516 | 0.400 | -10.1 | 50.0 |
| 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 1.384 | 1.290 | 0.400 | -6.8 | 50.0 |
| 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.040 | 0.034 | 0.010 | -16.4 | 50.0 |
| $1,2,4-T r i c h l o r o b e n z e n e ~$ | 0.766 | 0.609 | 0.200 | -20.4 | 50.0 |
| 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.573 | 0.485 | 0.200 | -15.5 | 50.0 |



1A - FORM I VOA-1
VOLATILE ORGANICS ANALYSIS DATA SHEET

EPA SAMPLE NO.
$\square$

Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.:

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: 25.0 | $(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$ |

Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624
$\qquad$

Soil Extract Volume: ID: 0.20 (mm)
$\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 $\qquad$ (mL)

Contract: 8E-00302
$\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

Lab Sample ID: MB 200-26126/3
Lab File ID: DHSDO3.D
Date Received:
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume:

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/kg) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75-71-8 | Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 74-87-3 | Chloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-01-4 | Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U |
| 74-83-9 | Bromomethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-00-3 | Chloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-69-4 | Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-35-4 | 1,1-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 76-13-1 | 1,1,2-Trichloro-1,2,2-trifluoroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 67-64-1 | Acetone | 2.8 | J |
| 75-15-0 | Carbon disulfide | 0.10 | J |
| 79-20-9 | Methyl acetate | 0.50 | U |
| 75-09-2 | Methylene Chloride | 0.50 | U |
| 156-60-5 | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 1634-04-4 | Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.50 | U |
| 75-34-3 | 1,l-Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 156-59-2 | cis-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 78-93-3 | 2-Butanone | 5.0 | U |
| 74-97-5 | Bromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 67-66-3 | Chloroform | 0.50 | U |
| 71-55-6 | 1,1,1-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 110-82-7 | Cyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 56-23-5 | Carbon tetrachloride | 0.50 | U |
| 71-43-2 | Benzene | 0.50 | U |
| 107-06-2 | 1,2-Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |

Lab Name: $\frac{\text { TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON }}{\text { Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No. }}$.
Contract: $\frac{8 \mathrm{E}-00302}{\text { SDG No. }: ~ 200-7268}$

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: 25.0 | (g/mL) mL |
| Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) | TRACE |
| Moisture: not dec. |  |

GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)

Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

Lab Sample ID: MB 200-26126/3
Lab File ID: DHSD03.D
Date Received:
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or ug/kg) ug/L | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 79-01-6 | Trichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-87-2 | Methylcyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| 78-87-5 | 1,2-Dichloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 75-27-4 | Bromodichloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 10061-01-5 | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 108-10-1 | 4-Methyl-2-pentanone | 5.0 | U |
| 108-88-3 | Toluene | 0.0087 | J |
| 10061-02-6 | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-00-5 | 1,1,2-Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 127-18-4 | Tetrachloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| 591-78-6 | 2-Hexanone | 5.0 | U |
| 124-48-1 | Dibromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| 106-93-4 | 1,2-Dibromoethane | 0.50 | U |
| 108-90-7 | Chlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-41-4 | Ethylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-47-6 | o-Xylene | 0.50 | U |
| 179601-23-1 | m,p-Xylene | 0.50 | U |
| 100-42-5 | Styrene | 0.50 | U |
| 75-25-2 | Bromoform | 0.50 | U |
| 98-82-8 | Isopropylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 79-34-5 | 1,1,2,2-Tetrachloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| 541-73-1 | 1,3-Dichlorobenzene | 0.030 | J |
| 106-46-7 | 1,4-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 95-50-1 | 1,2-Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| 96-12-8 | 1,2-Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| 120-82-1 | 1,2,4-Trichlorobenzene | 0.057 | J |
| 87-61-6 | 1,2,3-Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |



Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.:

Contract: 8E-00302
$\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: 25.0 | $(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$ |

Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-5

Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)

Soil Extract Volume:
(UL)
Lab File ID: DHSD15.D
Date Received:
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: | (ug/L or ug/kg) ug/L |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $75-71-8$ | Dichlorodifluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $74-87-3$ | Chloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-01-4$ | Vinyl chloride | 0.50 | U |
| $74-83-9$ | Bromomethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-00-3$ | Chloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-69-4$ | Trichlorofluoromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-35-4$ | $1,1-$ Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $76-13-1$ | $1,1,2-T r i c h l o r o-1,2,2-$ trifluoroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $67-64-1$ | Acetone | 2.4 | J |
| $75-15-0$ | Carbon disulfide | 0.50 | U |
| $79-20-9$ | Methyl acetate | 0.50 | U |
| $75-09-2$ | Methylene Chloride | 0.50 | U |
| $156-60-5$ | trans-1,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $1634-04-4$ | Methyl tert-butyl ether | 0.50 | U |
| $75-34-3$ | $1,1-$ Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $156-59-2$ | cis-l,2-Dichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $78-93-3$ | 2-Butanone | 5.0 | U |
| $74-97-5$ | Bromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $67-66-3$ | Chloroform | 0.50 | U |
| $71-55-6$ | $1,1,1-T r i c h l o r o e t h a n e ~$ | 0.50 | U |
| $110-82-7$ | Cyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| $56-23-5$ | Carbon tetrachloride | 0.50 | U |
| $71-43-2$ | Benzene | 0.50 | U |
| $107-06-2$ | $1,2-$ Dichloroethane | 0.50 | U |

Report 1,4-Dioxane for Low-Medium VOA analysis only
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON $\quad$ Contract: $\frac{8 E-00302}{}$
Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.:
$\qquad$ SDG No.: 200-7268

| Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) | Water |
| :--- | :--- |
| Sample wt/vol: 25.0 | $(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$ |

Level: (TRACE/LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec.
GC Column: $\underline{D B-624}$ ID: 0.20 (mm)
Soil Extract Volume:
(uL)
Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-5
Lab File ID: DHSD15.D
Date Received:
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)
Purge Volume: 25.0 (mL)

| CAS NO. | COMPOUND | CONCENTRATION UNITS: <br> (ug/L or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}) \mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{L}$ | Q |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| $79-01-6$ | Trichloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $108-87-2$ | Methylcyclohexane | 0.50 | U |
| $78-87-5$ | $1,2-$ Dichloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| $75-27-4$ | Bromodichloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $10061-01-5$ | cis-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| $108-10-1$ | $4-$ Methyl-2-pentanone | 5.0 | U |
| $108-88-3$ | Toluene | 0.50 | U |
| $10061-02-6$ | trans-1,3-Dichloropropene | 0.50 | U |
| $79-00-5$ | $1,1,2-$ Trichloroethane | 0.50 | U |
| $127-18-4$ | Tetrachloroethene | 0.50 | U |
| $591-78-6$ | $2-$ Hexanone | 5.0 | U |
| $124-48-1$ | Dibromochloromethane | 0.50 | U |
| $106-93-4$ | $1,2-$ Dibromoethane | 0.50 | U |
| $108-90-7$ | Chlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $100-41-4$ | Ethylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $95-47-6$ | o-Xylene | 0.50 | U |
| $179601-23-1$ | m, p-Xylene | 0.50 | U |
| $100-42-5$ | Styrene | 0.50 | U |
| $75-25-2$ | Bromoform | 0.50 | U |
| $98-82-8$ | Isopropylbenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $79-34-5$ | $1,1,2,2-T e t r a c h l o r o e t h a n e ~$ | 0.50 | U |
| $541-73-1$ | $1,3-$ Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $106-46-7$ | $1,4-$ Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $95-50-1$ | $1,2-$ Dichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $96-12-8$ | $1,2-$ Dibromo-3-Chloropropane | 0.50 | U |
| $120-82-1$ | $1,2,4-$ Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |
| $87-61-6$ | $1,2,3-$ Trichlorobenzene | 0.50 | U |

Contract: 8E-00302
Lab Name: TESTAMERICA BURLINGTON Contract: 8E-00302

Lab Code: STLV Case No.: BARNES Mod. Ref No.: Lab Sample ID: 200-7268-5
Matrix: (SOIL/SED/WATER) Water

Sample wt/vol: $25.0(\mathrm{~g} / \mathrm{mL}) \mathrm{mL}$
Lab File ID: DHSD15.D
Level: (TRACE or LOW/MED) TRACE
\% Moisture: not dec. $\qquad$
GC Column: DB-624 ID: 0.20 (mm)
Soil Extract Volume: (uL)

CONCENTRATION UNITS: (ug/L or $\mathrm{ug} / \mathrm{kg}$ ) ug/L
Date Received: $\qquad$
Date Analyzed: 10/03/2011
Dilution Factor: 1.0
Soil Aliquot Volume: $\qquad$ (uL)

Purge Volume: 25.0_(mL)

| CAS NUMBER | COMPOUND NAME | RT | EST. CONC. | Q |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Unknown | 6.69 | 2.8 |
| 01 | E9667961 | Total Alkanes | N/A |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |

[^4]
## Environmental Science Division

Argonne National Laboratory
9700 South Cass Avenue, Bldg. 203
Argonne, IL 60439-4843
www.anl.gov
us onanamearor


[^0]:    a Sample types: FB, field blank; MW, monitoring well; PW, public water supply well; RI, rinsate; TB, trip blank.
    b Quality control sample.

[^1]:    Note: Whenever there is a discrepancy affecting North Carolina compliance samples, a copy of this form will be sent to the North Carolina DEHNR Certification Office (i.e out of hold, incorrect preservative, out of temp, incorect containers)

[^2]:    *Method on-hold

[^3]:    \# Column to be used to flag recovery values

    * Values outside of contract required QC limits

[^4]:    1EPA-designated Registry Number.

