# Final Progress Report for DOE Award # DE-SC0001898 at University of Alaska Fairbanks

**Project Title:** "Characterization of the dynamics of climate systems and identification of missing mechanisms impacting the long term predictive capabilities of Global Climate Models utilizing dynamical systems approaches to the analysis of observed and modeled

climate"

 PI: Uma S. Bhatt, Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences and Geophysical Institute Date of Report: November 12, 2015
 Period Covered: August 15, 2009 – August 14, 2015
 Budget Amount: Total \$495,000. (DoE \$450,000.00 and UAF match \$45,000.00)
 Participating National Laboratory: Oak Ridge National Laboratory

# Project Summary, Objective and Goals:

The *goal* of this research was to apply fractional and non-linear analysis techniques in order to develop a more complete characterization of climate change and variability for the oceanic, sea ice and atmospheric components of the Earth System. This research applied two measures of dynamical characteristics of time series, the R/S method of calculating the Hurst exponent and Renyi entropy, to observational and modeled climate data in order to evaluate how well climate models capture the long-term dynamics evident in observations. Fractional diffusion analysis was applied to ARGO ocean buoy data to quantify ocean transport. Self organized maps were applied to North Pacific sea level pressure and analyzed in ways to improve seasonal predictability for Alaska fire weather. This body of research shows that these methods can be used to evaluate climate models and shed light on climate mechanisms (i.e., understanding why something happens). With further research, these methods show promise for improving seasonal to longer time scale forecasts of climate.

#### **Project Results:**

1) North Atlantic Ocean Variability (MS student Legatt, Polyakov, and Bhatt)

**Highlight Finding**: The simulated response to atmospheric forcing in a simple box model of the North Atlantic may be viewed as a delayed response to the cumulative atmospheric forcing over an interval defined by the damping properties of the system. AMOC drives multidecadal SST changes. The box model (Legatt et al. 2012 **[1]**) suggests that SST changes induced by (stochastic) atmospheric forcing can drive Multidecadal variability in AMOC. At the same time, the model suggests that the AMOC also can excite SST variations therefore, both mechanisms can independently drive Multidecadal variability in the North Atlantic system. Understanding mechanisms of low-frequency variations in the North Atlantic can ultimately contribute to improved climate forecasts. Publication **[1]**.

2) *Network model for the sea ice-albedo feedback in the Arctic* (PhD student Mueller-Stoffels and Wackerbauer)

**Highlight Finding**: Arctic sea ice cover has been receding rapidly in recent years, and global climate models typically predict continued decline over the next century. It is an

open question whether a possible loss of Arctic sea ice is reversible. We studied the stability of Arctic model sea ice in a conceptual, two-dimensional energy-based regular net-work model of the ice-ocean layer that considers Department of Energy's Barrow Atmospheric Radiation Measurement (ARM) longwave radiative budget data and SHEBA albedo measurements. Seasonal ice cover, perennial ice and perennial open water are asymptotic states accessible by the model. We show that the shape of albedo parameterization near the melting temperature differentiates between reversible continuous sea ice decrease under atmospheric forcing and hysteresis behavior. Fixed points induced solely by the surface energy budget are essential for understanding the interaction of surface energy with the radiative forcing and the underlying body of ice/water, particularly close to a bifurcation point. Publication **[2]**.

#### 3) Use of Hurst and Renyi Analysis to Detect and Characterize Pacific Decadal Oscillation Impacts on Climate Variability in Alaska (MS student Talbot, Bhatt, Wackerbauer, Polyakov, Newman, and Sanchez)

**Highlight Finding**: Differences of predictability of temperature data during opposite phases of the PDO were found in many Alaska stations, both on long (five to 15 years) and short (two to 13 days) time scales. Hurst analysis was used to find differences in persistence on long time scales of five to 15 years, and Renyi analysis was used to find changes in order on short time scales of two to 13 days. These two time scales are unconnected, and represent different processes in the climate.

It was found that on long time scales, surface air temperature in interior and northwestern Alaska is random during the negative PDO, and persistent during the positive PDO. This implies that the long term variability of temperature for those regions of Alaska are statistically more predictable during the positive PDO. Sea level pressure in interior, western and southeastern Alaska are for the most part weakly anti-persistent during the negative PDO, and weakly persistent during the positive PDO. While this is an interesting dynamical change, it does not indicate a change in the long term predictability of pressure.

On short time scales it was found that while Renyi analysis of SLP did not change with the PDO, SAT in southwestern Alaska and along the northwestern coast became more ordered during the positive PDO compared to the negative PDO. The increase of order implies that the most frequent events happen even more frequently during the positive PDO, and statistical weather forecasts in those areas could be made more accurate during the positive PDO.

These methods have also proven useful at finding relationships between climate time series and synoptic mechanisms. The improved predictability of the short term temperature variability in southwestern Alaska and along the northwest coast was plausibly linked to the more preferential behavior of the Aleutian low during the positive PDO. Also the improved predictability of the long term temperature variability in interior and northwestern Alaska was plausibly linked to the increase of warm storms from south of 40°N in the Bering Sea during the positive PDO. Both the Renyi and Hurst analysis results can be linked to circulation changes in the synoptic system.

CMIP5 climate models were evaluated in this study and showed both strengths and weaknesses when compared to observations. Publication [3] & [4].

4) Using Self-Organizing Maps to Detail Synoptic Connections Between Climate Indices and Alaska Weather (MS student Winnan, Bhatt, and Wackerbauer)

**Highlight Finding**: Seasonal forecasts for Alaska strongly depend on the phases of Pacific Decadal Oscillation (PDO), El Niño-Southern Oscillation (ENSO), and possibly the socalled "Pacific blob." The canonical descriptions of these climate indices are based on averages, and anomalies that are based on a long-term mean. They show the general geographical placement, and display sharper contrast between opposite phases, but this also may be misleading. Self-organizing maps (SOMs) are a way of describing multidimensional data, like daily sea level pressure (SLP) time series, by comparing actual data to multiple patterns that are representative of that data. This study used SOMs to describe the range of synoptic patterns that make up major Pacific indices in finer detail. Results suggest that the patterns common during a given phase of the PDO include subtle differences that would result in Alaska weather that is very different from what is expected from the canonical PDO description. These subtle differences would not be evident in the overall average used to produce the canonical PDO description. The paper also finds evidence that supports recent studies suggesting that the pattern responsible for the 2014 Pacific warm blob is linked to tropical SST forcing. A summer SOMs analysis identified distinct patterns characterized by low pressure in the Bering Sea or Gulf of Alaska that are present in summers with large fire seasons. These patterns are consistent with increased lightning activity, which provide ignition to start the fires given ideal fuel conditions (i.e., dry fuel). Publication [5].

5) Fractional diffusion in the ocean (Sanchez, Newman, Polyakov, and Bhatt) Highlight Finding: ARGO floats deep data were used to identify non-diffusive motion at the parking depth of the buoys. We constructed time by adding successive deep periods and displacements by projecting motion on a local Euclidean frame. The findings of this analysis are as follows:

- We have applied stochastic transport techniques to assess the nature of zonal and meridional deep motion of ARGO buoys regionally in the ocean (equatorial, midlatitude etc..)
- The R/S results suggest that the dynamics are different in the zonal and meridional direction. This suggests that ocean models should treat diffusion in the east-west and north-south direction differently. This is currently not done.

Dr. Sanchez plans to recalculate the fractional diffusion with recently added ARGO buoy data and refine his results before this work will be ready for publication.

6) Comparing GCM and observed climate variability through characterization of dynamics using nonlinear analysis techniques (Bhatt, Newman, Wackerbauer, Polyakov, Sanchez, Talbot) **Highlight Finding**: New comparison techniques are important for furthering development of predictability. GCM simulations of varying complexity were compared using R/S and Renyi entropy. The results show:

- These measures highlight differences between different simulations of climate indices. This means that a full coupled climate simulation with air-sea interaction has has different long term correlations and short term persistence than a fix sea ice simulation. These analysis focused on climate indices of the Arctic Oscillation (calculated as the first empirical orthogonal function of daily sea level pressure), North Atlantic Oscillation, and an index Arctic Oscillations (calculated by annual area average pressure difference between the Arctic and midlatitutes).
- Our measures indicate that the long term correlations are different between the AO and the index AO. This warrants more attention because EOF analysis may be unrealistically impacting the dynamics of time series.
- The indices shed light on missing physics in models, physicas that is important in the observations.

This suggests that these metrics would be useful as part of a suite of metrics to evaluate climate models. This study was conducted using the CMIP3 models and will be publishable with the addition of the CMIP5 models.

## **Reviewed Publications: (Students underlined)**

- [1] Legatt, R., I.V. Polyakov, U.S. Bhatt, X. Zhang, and R. Bekryaev, 2012: North Atlantic Variability Driven by Atmospheric and Oceanic Stochastic Forcing in a Simple Box Model, Tellus A, 64, 18695, http://dx.doi.org/10.3402/tellusa.v64i0.18695.
- [2] M Mueller-Stoffels and R Wackerbauer, 2012: Albedo parameterization and reversibility of sea ice decay, Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics 19, 81-94.
- [3] J K Talbot, 2011: Use of Hurst and Renyi Analysis to Detect and Characterize Pacific Decadal Oscillation Impacts on Climate Variability in Alaska, M.S. Thesis, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 57 pp. online at: http://ffden-2.phys.uaf.edu/atm/atm/graduates.html\_files/Talbot\_MS2011.pdf.
- [4] JK Talbot, U S Bhatt, D Newman, R Wackerbauer, IV Polyakov, R Sanchez, H. Angeloff, R Thoman, PA Bieniek, 2015: Use of Hurst and Renyi Analysis to Detect and Characterize PDO Impacts on Climate Variability in Alaska, J. Geophys. Res. Atmospheres (to be submitted Dec 2015).
- [5] <u>R Winnan</u>, 2015: Using Self-Organizing Maps to Detail Synoptic Connections Between Climate Indices and Alaska Weather, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alaska Fairbanks, 72 pp. (In final editing stage and will be available online by end of Fall 2015 Semester).

#### Personnel who worked on project and what support they received:

#### **Core Scientific Team**

• Uma S. Bhatt, Professor in Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Alaska (supported by this grant at 2 months/year and expert on climate variability).

- **Igor V. Polyakov**, Professor, Department of Atmospheric Sciences, UAF (supported by this grant at 1 month/year and expert on Atlantic and Arctic oceanography).
- **Renate Wackerbauer**, Professor, Department of Physics, UAF (supported by this grant at 1 month/year and expert on Renyi Analysis).
- **David E. Newman**, Professor, Department of Physics, UAF (unfunded collaborator and expert on Hurst Analysis).
- **Raul E. Sanchez III**, Fusion Energy Division, Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Oak Ridge, TN, currently Professor of Physics at Universidad Carlos III, Madrid Spain (unfunded collaborator and expert on Fractional Diffusion).

## Students

- Jeanie Talbot, MS Fall 2011, Dept of Atmospheric Sciences, UAF, supervisor Bhatt, (Funded by this grant for MS study and 1 semester of PhD ~ 2.5 years of support of a total of 3 years including stipend and tuition). Ms. Talbot is currently the Physics Laboratory Manager at the Dept. of Physics, UAF.
- **Reynir Winnan**, MS Fall 2015, Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences, UAF, supervisor Bhatt, (Funded by this grant for 1 year of MS study including stipend and tuition). Mr. Winnan plans to work for the climate services sector (i.e., weather hazard forecasting) in the greater New York area.
- Marc Mueller-Stoffels, PhD 2012, Dept. of Physics, UAF, supervisor Wackerbauer (not funded by this grant). Dr. Muller-Stoffels is currently a Research Assistant Professor, Power Systems Integration Program at Institute of Northern Engineering at UAF.
- **Rebecca Legatt**, MS Fall 2010, UAF, supervisor Polyakov (not funded by this grant). Ms. Legatt (Heim) is currently the National Weather Service Alaska Program Sea Ice Leader, Anchorage Alaska.

# Additional Scientists that contributed substantially to this work

- Heather Angeloff, Geophysical Institute, UAF, provided assistance and expertise concerning Alaska meteorological station data.
- Richard Thoman, Climate Science and Services Manager, NOAA/National Weather Service, Fairbanks Alaska, provided weather and climate forecasting/processes expertise.

#### Other support for this work:

We submitted a proposal to NOAA in October 2015 to investigate and apply SOMs for forecasting fire weather in Alaska based on the promising results of Winnan MS thesis. We are seeking grant support to build on this work and the next key scientific step is to develop methodology for applying the Hurst analysis to prediction. This will require partnering with theoreticians.

**Cost Status:** Please see attached original budget and final budget form on following pages.

BODGET. Key die Propies	Period of P	erformance: Start	1-Oct-07																	End	U. Bhatt 30-Sep-10
		\$ Month		Cost Share	YR1 - IARC	ost Share	YR1 - CNSM C	ost Share	YR2 - GI C	ost Share	YR2 - IARC C	ost Share	YR2 - CNSM	Cost Share	YR3 - GI	Cost Share	YR3 - IARC	ost Share	YR3 - CNSM		
A. SALARIES - Senior           Months         Senior Salaries           2/2/2/00         PI         F9           1/1/10/0         CO-I         F9           0/0/0/00         CO-I         F9           2/2/2/00         CO-I         F9	D. Newman	Department         6           GI         \$6,862           IARC         \$8,751           GI         \$8,415           CNSM         \$6,645	\$ 13,724 \$ -	\$ 3,016 \$ -	\$ 8,751	\$ 1,895	\$ 13,290 \$	0.070	\$ 14,342 \$ \$ - \$		\$ 9,145 \$	\$ 1,895	\$ 13,888	¢ 0.070	\$ 14,987 \$ -	\$ 2,955 \$ -	\$ 9,556	\$ 1,895	\$ 14,513	¢ 2.070	\$ 52,012 \$ 33,137 \$ - \$ 50,325
2/2/2/0/0 CO-I F9	R. Wackerbauer (Includes 1.4% Leave Reserve)	Total Seniors	13,724	3,016	8,751	1,895	13,290	2,878	14,342	2,988	9,145	1,895	13,888	2,878	14,987	2,955	9,556	1,895	14,513	2,878	\$ 50,323 135,474
B. SALARIES - Other Personr	nel																				
Hours         Undergraduate S           450/450/0/0/0         1         ST	itudent Salaries Undergraduate Student (summer only) (Includes 0.0% Leave Reserve)	Hours Total Temps	4,275 4,275		-		-		4,275 4,275		-		-		-		-		-		8,55 <i>8,55</i>
Pay Periods :e Student Salarie			AY07						AY08						AY09						
19/19/19/0/0 <b>1 GN/G</b> 7/7/7/0/0 <b>1</b>	PhD prior to advancement (summer only)		16,454 7,794						18,346 6,759						18,897 6,962						53,69 21,51
	(Includes 0.0% Leave Reserve)	Total Grad Students Total Salaries	24,248 42,247	3,016	- 8,751	1,895	13,290	2,878	25, 105 43, 722	2,988	9,145	1,895	13,888	2,878	25,859 40,846	2,955	9,556	1,895	- 14,513	2,878	75,21 219,23
C. STAFF BENEFITS																					
36.5% F9 8.5% ST 8.5% GT	Senior Salaries* U-graduate Salaries (summers only; flat rate for all y PhD prior Student Salaries (summers only)		5,009 \$363 662	1,101	3,194	692	4,851	1,050	5,307 \$363 575	1,106	3,384	701	5,139	1,065	5,635 \$0 592	1,111	3,593	713	5,457	1,082	50,190 \$726 1,829
	(*Rate increases by 1.5% ea. year) TOTAL SALARIES & STAFF BENEFITS		6,034 <b>48,281</b>	1,101 <b>4,117</b>	3,194 <b>11,945</b>	692 2,587	4,851 18,141	1,050 <b>3,928</b>	6,245 <b>49,967</b>	1,106 <b>4,094</b>	3,384 12,529	701 2,596	5,139 <b>19,027</b>	1,065 <b>3,943</b>	6,227 47,073	1,111 <b>4,066</b>	3,593 13,149	713 <b>2,608</b>	5,457 <b>19,970</b>	1,082 <b>3,960</b>	52,74 271,98
D. EQUIPMENT 1/0/1/0/0 /ea	Data server computer system TOTAL EQUIPMENT	\$5,000 /ea	5,000 <b>5,000</b>						-						5,000 <b>5,000</b>						10,000 <b>10,00</b> 0
E. TRAVEL			-,												-,						
Domestic 2/2/2/0/0 /trips	RT Fairbanks/Washington DC	\$800 /trip \$259 /day	1,600 2,590						1,600 2,590						1,600 2,590						4,800 7,770
10/10/10/00 /days 10/10/10/00 /days	Days Per Diem Days Auto Rental	\$2597day \$40 /day \$800 /trip	400						400						400						1,20
3/3/2/0/0 /trips 15/15/10/0/0 /days	RT Fairbanks/Denver Days Per Diem	\$176 /day	2,400 2,640						2,400 2,640						1,600 1,760						7,040
15/15/10/0/0 <b>/days</b>	Days Auto Rental	\$40 /day Total Domestic	600 10,230						600 10,230						400 <i>8,350</i>						1,600 28,810
Foreign 1/1/1/0/0 /trips 8/8/8/0/0 /days	RT Fairbanks∕Vienna, or equivalent TBD Days Per Diem	\$1,200 /trip \$299 /day	1,200 2,392						1,200 2,392						1,200 2,392						3,60 7,17
8/8/8/0/0 /days	Days Auto Rental	\$50 /day Total Foreign	400 3,992						400 3,992						400 3,992						1,200 11,976
	TOTAL TRAVEL		14,222						14,222						12,342						40,786
G.1. MATERIALS/SUPPLIES 1 1894/1 1854/0 6254/0/0	Project Supplies TOTAL MATERIALS/SUPPLIES	\$1,000 /ea	1,289 1,289						1,289 1,289						733 733						3,31 3,31
G. OTHER DIRECT COSTS			-,						-,												-,
1/1/1/0/0 /ea	Grad Student Tuition (Resident) Student Health Insurance	Tuition** \$5,166 /yr \$500 /ea	5,166 1,500						5,683 1,500						6,251 1,500						17,10
2/2/2/0/0 /ea	***Student Fees (Each semester) Publications	\$498 /ea \$2,131 /ea	996 2.131						996 2.131						996 2.131						4,500 2,988 6,393
initiation for	TOTAL OTHER DIRECT COSTS	\$2,101 /cu	9,793		-		-		10,310		-		-		10,878		-		-		30,98
	TOTAL DIRECT COSTS		\$ 78,585	\$ 4,117	\$ 11,945	\$ 2,587	\$ 18,141   \$	\$ 3,928	\$ 75,788	\$ 4,094	\$ 12,529	5 2,596	\$ 19,027	\$ 3,943	\$ 76,026	\$ 4,066	\$ 13,149	\$ 2,608	\$ 19,970	\$ 3,960	\$ 357,059
L. FACILITIES & ADMINISTRA	TIVE COSTS F&A Exempt - Tuition**		5,166						5,683						6,251						17,100
	- Student Health Insurance		1,500						1,500						1,500						4,500
	- Student Fees*** - Equipment		996 5,000						996						996 5,000						10,000
		MTDC base =	65,923	4, 117	11,945	2,587	18,141	3,928	67,609	4,094	12,529	2,596	19,027	3,943	62,279	4,066	13, 149	2,608	19,970	3,960	322,47
	TOTAL GI F&A COSTS TOTAL IARC F&A COSTS TOTAL CNSM F&A COSTS	45.1% 28.6% 45.1%	29,731	1,857	3,416	740	8,182	1,772	30,492	1,846	3,583	742	8,581	1,778	28,088	1,834	3,761	746	9,006	1,786	93,848 12,988 31,105
	TOTAL F&A COSTS		29,731	1,857	3,416	740	8,182	1,772	30,492	1,846	3,583	742	8,581	1,778	28,088	1,834	3,761	746	9,006	1,786	137,94
	TOTAL BUDGETS PER DEPT.		\$ 108,316	\$ 5,974	\$ 15,361	\$ 3,327	\$ 26,323	\$ 5,700	\$ 106,280	\$ 5,940	\$ 16,112	\$ 3,338	\$ 27,608	\$ 5,721	\$ 104,114	\$ 5,900	\$ 16,910	\$ 3,354	\$ 28,976	\$ 5,746	\$ 495,000
	TOTAL AGENCY YEARLY BUDGETS		\$ 150,000						\$ 150,000						\$ 150,000						\$ 450,000
	TOTAL YEARLY COST SHARE		\$ 15,001						\$ 14,999						\$ 15,000						45,000

GI 08-02

#### FEDERAL FINANCIAL REPORT

	(Follow form instructions)
2.	Federal Grant or Other Identifying Number Assigned by F
	(To report multiple grants, use FFR Attachment)

<ol> <li>Federal Agency and Organizational Element to Which Report is Submitted US Department of Energy</li> </ol>					ntifying Number Assigned use FFR Attachment)	gency	Page 1	of 1 pages					
University of A UAF Grants & West Ridge Re PO Box 75788	laska Contracts Admin esearch Bldg 008 30		ding Zip code)					<b>I</b>	<u> </u>				
	ska 99775-7880		1										
4a. DUNS Nu 6152	mber 245164	4b. EIN 92-6000147		nultiple grants,	or Identifying Number use FFR Attachment) 005984	Quai	terly ni-Annual	7. Basis of Accounting					
						x Fi	nal	Cash X Accrual					
8. Project/Gra			Ta: (Manth Da	Veer)		9. Reporting							
	nth, Day, Year) August	15 2009	To: (Month, Da	August 14	2015	(Month, Day, Year) August 14, 2015							
10. Transac		10, 2000		, luguet i i	, 2010	Cumulative							
								Guindiative					
	-	nultiple grant reporting)											
	· ·	Itiple grants, also use FFR A	ttachment):						10 404 65				
a. Cash R b. Cash D	isbursements						\$448,481.65 \$448,481.65						
-	n Hand (line a mi	nus b)					\$0.00						
(Use lines d-	o for single grai	nt reporting)											
Federal Exp	enditures and U	nobligated Balance:											
d. Total Fe	ederal funds auth	orized							50,000.00				
	I share of expend						\$448,481.65						
	I share of unliquid	-						¢4/	\$0.00 18,481.65				
	ederal share (sun lated balance of F	Federal funds (line d minus g)							6,461.65 1,518.35				
Recipient S		ederar fande (inte a finitae g)							1,010.00				
i. Total re	cipient share req	uired						\$4	15,000.00				
j. Recipie	nt share of exper	nditures						\$4	15,009.52				
		e to be provided (line i minus j)							(\$9.52)				
Program Inc	deral program inc	ome earned							\$0.00				
		led in accordance with the dedu	uction alternative						\$0.00				
		ed in accordance with the addit							\$0.00				
o. Unexper	nded program inc	ome (line I minus line m or line							\$0.00				
	a Type	b. Rate			d. Base	e. Amount C		f. Federal Share	00.404.57				
11. Indirect	Predetemined Predetemined	45.1% 45.1%	15-Aug-09         30-Jun-15         \$144,024.1           15-Aug-09         30-Jun-15         \$55,684.9				\$83,434.57 \$25,113.90		83,434.57 25,113.90				
Expense	Predeternined	28.6%	15-Aug-09 27-Oct-09	30-Jun-15	\$37,588.45		\$10,750.29		10,750.29				
Contraction of the local division of the loc		and the second s	Sec	g. Totals:	\$237,297.55		\$119,298.76		, 19,298.76				
12. Remarks:	Attach any expla	anations deemed necessary or	information requi		sponsoring agency in con	npliance with	governing legisi	ation:					
Cash on hand	is negative; have	e requested reimbursement for	\$6,830.35 but hav	ve not received	d payment yet.								
		) this report, I certify that it is audulent information may sub	-		-	-		ion 1001)					
-		Title of Authorized Certifying O						umber and extens	ion)				
Rosemary M	adnick					(907) 474-73	D1						
Executive Director							d. Email address uaf-ogca@alaska.edu						
b. Signature o	of Authorized Cer	tifying Official		e. Date Report Submitted (Month, Day, Year)									
L		J (- ) - (	House Traine 4	1321 aska edu, c-US 1381 aska edu, c-US 1180 837 - 3930 asrece: 613		14. Agency I	use only:						
_						OMB A	rd Form 425 pproval Numbe ion Date: 10/3						
According to the	Paperwork Reduc	tion Act, as amended, no persons	are required to res	spond to a collec	tion of information unless it c				3 control				

number for this information collection is 0348-0061. Public reporting burden for this collection of information unless it displays a valid OMB Control Number. The valid OMB control number for this information collection is 0348-0061. Public reporting burden for this collection of information is estimated to average 1.5 hours per response, including time for reviewing instructions searching existing data sources, gathering and maintaining the data needed, and completing and reviewing the collection of information. Send comments regarding the burden estimate or any othe aspect of this collection of information, including suggestions for reducing this burden, to the Office of Management and Budget, Paperwork Reduction Project (0348-0061), Washington, DC 20503.