GREAT LAKES ICE CLIMATOLOGY UPDATE: WINTER 2006 – 2011 DESCRIPTION OF THE DIGITAL ICE COVER DATASET

Jia Wang¹ Raymond A. Assel² Steven Walterscheid² Anne H. Clites¹ Xuezhi Bai²

¹NOAA, Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, 4840 S. State Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108

²Cooperative Institute for Limnology and Ecosystems Research, 4840 S. State Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108

September 2012



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Rebecca Blank Acting Secretary NATIONAL OCEANIC AND ATMOSPHERIC ADMINISTRATION

Jane Lubchenco Under Secretary for Oceans & Atmosphere NOAA Administrator

NOTICE

Mention of a commercial company or product does not constitute an endorsement by the NOAA. Use of information from this publication concerning proprietary products or the tests of such products for publicity or advertising purposes is not authorized. This is GLERL Contribution No. 1633.

This publication is available as a PDF file and can be downloaded from GLERL's web site: www.glerl.noaa.gov. Hard copies can be requested from GLERL Information Services, 4840 S. State Rd., Ann Arbor, MI 48108. pubs.glerl@noaa.gov.

NOAA's Mission – To understand and predict changes in Earth's environment and conserve and manage coastal and marine resources to meet our nation's economic, social, and environmental needs.

NOAA's Mission Goals:

- Protect, restore and manage the use of coastal and ocean resources through an ecosystem approach to management
- Understand climate variability and change to enhance society's ability to plan and respond
- Serve society's needs for weather and water information
- Support the Nation's commerce with information for safe, efficient, and environmentally sound transportation
- Provide critical support for NOAA's Mission

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.	INTRODUCTION	5
2.	DISTRIBUTION OF ICE CHARTS BY WINTER SEASON	6
3	NIC ASCII GRID FILES	6
2.	3 1 Spatial Resolution and Grid Size	6
	3.2 File Structure, Record Format, Ice Codes, and File Names	10
Δ	OUALITY CONTROL PROCESSING	10
1.	4.1 Ice Codes and Land Mask	10
	4.2 Ice Chart Dates	11
5.	ArcGIS FEATURE CLASSES	12
	5.1 Preprocessing	12
	5.2 GIS Processing	12
6.	DATA AVAILABILITY	12
7.	ANALYSIS USING THE UPDATED DATASET 1973-2011	15
	7.1 Seasonal Cycle	15
	7.2 Variations	16
	7.3 Interannual Variability	17
	7.4 Long-term Trend	17
	7.4 Periodicity	20
8.	REFERENCES	23
9.	ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS	24
AF	PENDICES	
A-	1 Software to convert 510×516 grid to 1024×1024 CW grid	25
A-	2 Comparison of lake-averaged ice cover converted from 510×516 to 1024×1024 grid	32
A-	3 Land mask applied to NIC ASCII files to create standard land-water grid cells	
A-	4 Software for adding geographic location to ice concentration values for conversion of ice	26
	gnus io Alcolo	
	LIST OF FIGURES	
Fig	gure 1. Long-term (1973-2002) mean annual maximum ice cover (in percentage) in the	

	Great Lakes. Note that ice cover (or concentration) ranges from 0% (ice free) to 100%	
	(complete ice cover). (From Bai et al. 2011.)	15
Figure 2.	The NIC twice-weekly climatology of ice cover (in percentage) in the Great Lakes	
	averaged for the period 1973-2011	15
Figure 3.	Variation of weekly ice coverage (in %) of the six lakes for the period 1973-2011	
-	with one standard deviation (STD) by the vertical bars	16

Figure 4.	Twice weekly time series of ice cover (in %) of all six lakes (a-f) and total Great Lakes	
	(g) for the period 1973-2011	.18
Figure 5.	Winter mean lake ice cover (in %) of all six lakes (a-f) and total Great Lakes ice cover	
	(g) for the period 1973-2011. The linear lines are the trend in annual lake ice coverage	
	calculated from the least squares fit method	. 19
Figure 6.	Winter surface air temperature (SAT) trend (°C per decade) for the period 1973-2010,	
	calculated using the least squares fit. (from Wang et al. 2012)	.21
Figure 7.	Spectral analysis of ice cover anomalies in all six lakes with the linear trends removed.	
	The dotted curves are the 95% significance level. The peaks are marked with the	
	corresponding periods in years. (from Wang et al. 2012)	.22

LIST OF TABLES

Table 1.	Summary of ice chart date statistics	.6
Table 2.	Distribution of ice chart dates by winter season	.7
Table 3.	Winter 2011 similar ice cover patterns	11
Table 4.	Trends in annual lake ice coverage calculated by linear least squares fit for the period 1973 to 2010 (see text for detail). In row 2, <i>a</i> is the intercept constant (the value in year 1973) (in km ²); in row 3, <i>b</i> is the slope of the line (namely, the rate of change in ice area with time, km ² per year). Row 4 defines relative trend in annual lake ice coverage (% per year) = (b/ a) x 100%. Row 5 is the total loss of annual lake ice coverage over the entire 38-year record relative to 1973 (from 1973 to 2010)	20

Great Lakes Ice Climatology Update: Winter 2006 – 2011 Description of the Digital Ice Cover Dataset

Jia Wang Raymond A. Assel Steven Walterscheid Anne H. Clites Xuezhi Bai

1. INTRODUCTION

A 6-winter digital ice cover data set consisting of 389 ice charts of total ice concentration (the fraction of a unit of surface area covered by ice), was downloaded from the National Ice Center (NIC) website, http://www.natice.noaa.gov/products/great_lakes.html. This report updates GLERL's 33-winter ice climatology dataset for total ice concentration (Assel 2003, Assel 2005). Data processing and quality control procedures, file structure, format, and naming conventions are described. The data are available as fixed formatted ASCII grids (2.55 km for winter 2006 and 1.275 km for winters 2007-2011) and has been converted to ArcMap feature classes for GIS users. Temporal distribution of the ice charts is summarized in tables. A planned companion report will contain an analysis of these data including spatial patterns of dates of first ice, last ice, ice duration, and ice concentration anomalies [relative to a 30-winter (1973-2002) base period] for each lake by winter season. It will also contain lake averages of total ice cover concentration that portray the seasonal progression of ice cover each winter.

Since the last updated ice atlas (Assel 2005), there has been significant change in ice cover on the Great Lakes. This update of the ice atlas should be useful to the research community as well as others who rely on Great Lakes ice data for planning purposes. The technical memorandum that updated the ice atlas for winters 2003, 2004, and 2005 (Assel 2005) did not include ArcGIS feature classes, so those are included here.

Lake ice cover is a sensitive indicator of regional climate and climate change (Assel et al. 1995; Assel and Robertson 1995; Magnuson et al. 1997, 2000). Seasonal ice cover repeats each year with large interannual variability. For example, the maximum ice coverage over all of the Great Lakes was 95% in 1979 and only 11% in 2002 (Bai et al. 2012). Possible contributors include interannual and interdecadal climate variability, and long-term trends (Assel 1990), some of which may be related to global climate warming. Even in response to the same climate forcing, Great Lakes ice cover may experience different spatial and temporal variability due to an individual lake's orientation, depth (i.e., water heat storage, Assel et al. 2003), and turbidity (i.e., albedo due to sedimentation). To predict seasonal and interannual variability of lake ice cover using statistical analysis, the first step is to investigate the predictability, which is measured by the ratio of the mean (climatology) to standard deviations (i.e., variability). In general, the larger the ratio between the two, the higher the predictability. In other words, if the standard deviation is larger than the mean, the mean is a poor predictor (Assel et al. 2004). In addition, under the same climate forcing, lake ice may respond differently in the upper and lower Great Lakes.

2. DISTRIBUTION OF ICE CHARTS BY WINTER SEASON

The number of ice charts per winter season, Table 1, ranged from 45 to 55, with the exception of the last winter, 2011, when it was 151. The NIC begin producing daily charts for GLERL in winter 2011 because of a request from GLERL's hydrodynamic modelers to provide daily ice chart analysis for their use. The dates of first ice charts range from the last week in November to the first week in December, and the dates of the last ice usually occur in early to mid May. Winter 2010 was mild, and the last ice chart occurred in mid-April. The number of days between the first and last ice chart ranges from 162 to 130, with a median value of 158. The average number of days between consecutive ice charts ranged from approximately 3.1 to 1.1 days, with a median value of approximately 3.25 days. The date of individual ice charts for each winter is given in Table 2.

Winter Season	No. of Charts	Date of First Ice Chart	Date of Last Ice Chart	Days Between First and Last Ice Chart	Average No. Days Between Ice Charts
2006	46	28-Nov	04-May	157	3.43
2007	46	04-Dec	10-May	158	3.46
2008	55	26-Nov	15-May	171	3.13
2009	45	08-Dec	07-May	151	3.38
2010	46	07-Dec	15-Apr	130	2.85
2011	151	02-Dec	13-May	162	1.08

Table 1. Summary of ice chart date statistics

3. NIC ASCII GRID FILES

The dates of NIC ASCII grid files are the dates these files were produced at NIC and not necessarily the date the data in these files was observed. This is discussed further in section 4.2. The following metadata for NIC ASCII grid files was abstracted from a file on the NIC web site at http://www.natice.noaa.gov/products/great_lakes.html under Category "Daily Ice Concentration," and Format "Metadata" for winter 2009: "Data for the analyses can go back 96 hours from when they are completed."... "They are based on an analysis and integration of all available data on ice conditions, including weather and oceanographic information, visual observations from shore, ship and aircraft, airborne radar, satellite imagery and climatological information." The reader is referred to that web site for more metadata details.

3.1 Spatial Resolution and Grid Size

National Ice Center ASCII grid files and NIC graphic ice chart files were downloaded from the NIC website for winters 2006 through 2011. As in Assel (2003, 2005) and as described in Norton et al. (2000) the ice data for winter 2006 was mapped into a Mercator projection and re-sampled to a 510×516 pixel grid covering the Great Lakes area with a grid resolution of 2.55 km. The latitude of true scale is approximately 45° N, actual grid resolution varies with latitude, $L \approx (2.55 \times cosf/cos45)$, where f is the latitude. Starting in winter 2007, and for subsequent winters, the data was re-sampled to a 1024×1024 pixel grid. The grid spacing for the 1024×1024 grid is specified at the equator as 1.8 km. Actual grid resolution is d cosf, where d is the spatial resolution at the equator, and f is the latitude (Schwab et al. 1992). For example, the grid spacing for the 1024×1024 grid is 1.275 km at 45°N.

	Winter 2006			Winter 2007					Winter 2008				Winter 2009				Winter 2010			
Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	
2005	11	28	332	2006	12	4	338	2007	11	26	330	2008	12	8	342	2009	12	7	341	
2005	12	1	335	2006	12	7	341	2007	11	29	334	2008	12	11	345	2009	12	9	343	
2005	12	5	339	2006	12	11	345	2007	12	3	337	2008	12	15	349	2009	12	14	348	
2005	12	8	342	2006	12	14	348	2007	12	6	340	2008	12	18	352	2009	12	17	351	
2005	12	12	346	2006	12	18	352	2007	12	10	344	2008	12	22	356	2009	12	21	355	
2005	12	15	349	2006	12	21	355	2007	12	13	347	2008	12	25	359	2009	12	24	358	
2005	12	20	354	2006	12	25	359	2007	12	17	351	2008	12	29	363	2009	12	28	362	
2005	12	22	356	2006	12	28	362	2007	12	20	354	2009	1	1	366	2009	12	31	365	
2005	12	26	360	2007	1	1	366	2007	12	24	358	2009	1	5	370	2010	1	5	370	
2005	12	29	363	2007	1	4	369	2007	12	27	361	2009	1	8	373	2010	1	6	371	
2006	1	2	367	2007	1	8	373	2007	12	31	365	2009	1	12	377	2010	1	7	372	
2006	1	5	370	2007	1	11	376	2008	1	3	368	2009	1	15	380	2010	1	9	374	
2006	1	9	374	2007	1	15	380	2008	1	7	372	2009	1	19	384	2010	1	10	375	
2006	1	12	377	2007	1	18	383	2008	1	10	375	2009	1	22	387	2010	1	12	377	
2006	1	16	381	2007	1	22	387	2008	1	14	379	2009	1	26	391	2010	1	13	378	
2006	1	19	384	2007	1	25	390	2008	1	17	382	2009	1	29	394	2010	1	14	379	
2006	1	23	388	2007	1	29	394	2008	1	21	386	2009	2	2	398	2010	1	19	384	
2006	1	26	391	2007	2	1	397	2008	1	24	389	2009	2	5	401	2010	1	21	386	
2006	1	30	395	2007	2	5	401	2008	1	28	393	2009	2	9	405	2010	1	25	390	
2006	2	2	398	2007	2	8	404	2008	1	31	396	2009	2	12	408	2010	1	28	393	
2006	2	6	402	2007	2	12	408	2008	2	4	400	2009	2	16	412	2010	2	1	397	
2006	2	9	405	2007	2	15	411	2008	2	7	403	2009	2	19	415	2010	2	4	400	
2006	2	13	409	2007	2	19	415	2008	2	11	407	2009	2	23	419	2010	2	8	404	
2006	2	16	412	2007	2	22	418	2008	2	14	410	2009	2	26	422	2010	2	15	411	
2006	2	20	416	2007	2	26	422	2008	2	18	414	2009	3	2	427	2010	2	18	414	
2006	2	23	419	2007	3	1	426	2008	2	21	417	2009	3	5	430	2010	2	22	418	
2006	2	27	423	2007	3	5	430	2008	2	25	421	2009	3	10	435	2010	2	25	421	
2006	3	2	426	2007	3	8	433	2008	2	28	424	2009	3	12	437	2010	3	1	426	
2006	3	6	430	2007	3	12	437	2008	3	3	428	2009	3	17	442	2010	3	4	429	
2006	3	9	433	2007	3	15	440	2008	3	6	431	2009	3	19	444	2010	3	9	434	
2006	3	13	437	2007	3	19	444	2008	3	10	435	2009	3	24	449	2010	3	10	435	
2006	3	16	440	2007	3	22	447	2008	3	13	438	2009	3	26	451	2010	3	11	436	
2006	3	20	444	2007	3	26	451	2008	3	17	442	2009	3	31	456	2010	3	13	438	
2006	3	23	447	2007	3	29	454	2008	3	19	444	2009	4	1	457	2010	3	14	439	
2006	3	27	451	2007	4	2	458	2008	3	20	445	2009	4	2	458	2010	3	16	441	
2006	3	30	454	2007	4	5	461	2008	3	25	450	2009	4	7	463	2010	3	17	442	
2006	4	3	458	2007	4	9	465	2008	3	26	451	2009	4	9	465	2010	3	18	443	
2006	4	6	461	2007	4	12	468	2008	3	27	452	2009	4	14	470	2010	3	23	448	
2006	4	10	465	2007	4	16	472	2008	4	1	457	2009	4	16	472	2010	3	24	449	
2006	4	13	468	2007	4	19	475	2008	4	2	458	2009	4	20	476	2010	3	25	450	
2006	4	17	472	2007	4	23	479	2008	4	3	459	2009	4	23	479	2010	3	30	455	
2006	4	20	475	2007	4	26	482	2008	4	8	464	2009	4	27	483	2010	4	1	457	
2006	4	24	479	2007	4	30	486	2008	4	9	465	2009	4	30	486	2010	4	5	461	
2006	4	27	482	2007	5	3	489	2008	4	10	466	2009	5	4	490	2010	4	8	464	

Table 2. Distribution of ice chart dates by winter season

Table 2. Distribution of ice chart dates by winter season

	Winte	er 2006			Winter 2007				Winter 2008			Winter 2009				Winter 2010			
Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday
2006	5	1	486	2007	5	7	493	2008	4	15	471	2009	5	7	493	2010	4	12	468
2006	5	4	489	2007	5	10	496	2008	4	16	472					2010	4	15	471
								2008	4	17	473								
								2008	4	21	477								
								2008	4	24	480								
								2008	4	28	484								
								2008	5	1	487								
								2008	5	5	491								
								2008	5	8	494								
								2008	5	12	498								
								2008	5	15	501								

	Winte	er 2011		V	Winter 2011 (cont.)					Winter 2011 (cont.)				
Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday		Year	Mon	Day	Jday		
2010	12	2	336	2011	1	12	377		2011	2	12	408		
2010	12	7	341	2011	1	13	378	Π	2011	2	13	409		
2010	12	9	343	2011	1	14	379	Π	2011	2	14	410		
2010	12	14	348	2011	1	15	380	Π	2011	2	15	411		
2010	12	16	350	2011	1	16	381	Π	2011	2	16	412		
2010	12	17	351	2011	1	17	382	Π	2011	2	17	413		
2010	12	18	352	2011	1	18	383	Π	2011	2	18	414		
2010	12	19	353	2011	1	19	384		2011	2	19	415		
2010	12	20	354	2011	1	20	385	Π	2011	2	20	416		
2010	12	21	355	2011	1	21	386		2011	2	21	417		
2010	12	22	356	2011	1	22	387		2011	2	22	418		
2010	12	23	357	2011	1	23	388		2011	2	23	419		
2010	12	24	358	2011	1	24	389		2011	2	24	420		
2010	12	25	359	2011	1	25	390		2011	2	25	421		
2010	12	26	360	2011	1	26	391		2011	2	26	422		
2010	12	27	361	2011	1	27	392		2011	2	27	423		
2010	12	28	362	2011	1	28	393		2011	2	28	424		
2010	12	29	363	2011	1	29	394		2011	3	1	425		
2010	12	30	364	2011	1	30	395		2011	3	2	426		
2010	12	31	365	2011	1	31	396		2011	3	3	427		
2011	1	1	366	2011	2	1	397		2011	3	4	428		
2011	1	2	367	2011	2	2	398	Π	2011	3	5	429		
2011	1	3	368	2011	2	3	399		2011	3	6	430		
2011	1	4	369	2011	2	4	400		2011	3	7	431		
2011	1	5	370	2011	2	5	401		2011	3	8	432		
2011	1	6	371	2011	2	6	402	Π	2011	3	9	433		
2011	1	7	372	2011	2	7	403		2011	3	10	434		
2011	1	8	373	2011	2	8	404		2011	3	11	435		
2011	1	9	374	2011	2	9	405		2011	3	12	436		
2011	1	10	375	2011	2	10	406		2011	3	13	437		
2011	1	11	376	2011	2	11	407		2011	3	14	438		

Jday is the Julian Date. Shading indicates dates that were adjusted one day earlier than downloaded from NIC.

V	Vinter 2	011 (co	nt.)	W	/inter 20)11 (cor	nt.)	Ι	Winter 2011 (cont.)				
Year	Mon	Day	Jday	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	ſ	Year	Mon	Day	Jday	
2011	3	15	439	2011	4	1	456		2011	5	2	487	
2011	3	16	440	2011	4	2	457		2011	5	3	488	
2011	3	17	441	2011	4	3	458		2011	5	4	489	
2011	3	18	442	2011	4	4	459		2011	5	5	490	
2011	3	19	443	2011	4	5	460		2011	5	6	491	
2011	3	20	444	2011	4	6	461		2011	5	9	494	
2011	3	21	445	2011	4	7	462		2011	5	10	495	
2011	3	22	446	2011	4	8	463		2011	5	11	496	
2011	3	23	447	2011	4	9	464		2011	5	12	497	
2011	3	24	448	2011	4	10	465		2011	5	13	498	
2011	3	25	449	2011	4	11	466						
2011	3	26	450	2011	4	12	467						
2011	3	27	451	2011	4	13	468						
2011	3	28	452	2011	4	14	469						
2011	3	29	453	2011	4	15	470						
2011	3	30	454	2011	4	16	471						
2011	3	31	455	2011	4	17	472						
				2011	4	18	473						
				2011	4	19	474						
				2011	4	20	475						
				2011	4	21	476						
				2011	4	22	477						
				2011	4	23	478						
				2011	4	24	479						
				2011	4	25	480						
				2011	4	26	481						
				2011	4	27	482						
				2011	4	28	483						
				2011	4	29	484						
				2011	4	30	485						
				2011	5	1	486						

Table 2. Distribution of ice chart dates by winter season

During the 2007 season, 23 ice charts were given in the 510×516 pixel grid, and 23 ice charts were in the 1024×1024 pixel grid. Software was developed at GLERL to transpose the 510×516 grid ASCII files to the 1024×1024 grid, see Appendix A-1. A comparison of lake-averaged ice cover for the 1024×1024 grid and 510×516 grid for winter 2007 (23 ice charts) is given in Appendix A-2. There is a bias for slightly greater lake-averaged ice cover for the 510×516 grids, but as seen in Appendix A-2, the maximum differences are 2%, and differences are less than 2% in most cases.

3.2 File Structure, Record format, Ice Codes, and File Names

The first six records of each ASCII grid file contain header information in the following order: 1) the number of columns, 2) number of rows, 3) xllcorner, the X coordinate (longitude) of the lower-left corner of the grid, expressed in meters from a reference meridian, 4) yllcorner, the Y coordinate (latitude) of the lower-left corner of the grid expressed in meters from the equator, 5) the cell size in meters, and 6) the code for no data (-9999). Records 7 through 516 (for the 2.55 km grids), 1030 (for the 1.275 km grids) contain the ice concentration data in fixed I3 format. Ice concentration values are 0, 5, 10, 20, 30, 40, 50, 60, 70, 80, 90, 95, and 100 and have units of percent. Land grid cells have a code of -1. File names encode the year (four digits), month (two digits), and day (two digits) of the ASCII grid and are proceeded with a "g" for Great Lakes and have a file extension of ".ct", indicating total ice concentration. As an example, the file for December 2, 2004 is given as "g20041202.ct".

4. QUALITY CONTROL PROCESSING

4.1 Ice Codes and Land Mask

The NIC ice codes on the ASCII grid files were converted to GLERL's ice concentration codes and then processed through GLERL's land mask, Appendix A-3, to produce a file with ice concentration codes and a consistent set of over-water grid cells. Recall that for winter 2006, NIC still produced 510×516 ASCII grids, so the old GLERL land mask (GLmask2.txt) was applied to these data to be consistent with the NOAA Great Lakes ice atlas data from 1973 to 2005. GLERL developed a new land mask for the 1024×1024 grid (for winters 2007-2011) based on the NOAA Great Lakes CoastWatch land mask to be consistent with grid data produced by the GLERL CoastWatch node. Applying the GLERL land mask, the old 510×516 mask for winter 2006 and the new 1024×1024 mask for winters from 2007 forward, resulted in a number of over-land grid cells on the NIC ASCII file being over-water grid cells in the GLERL ASCII file. These grid cells were given a code for missing data [-99], and software was written to estimate the ice concentration for these cells using over-water cells in the proximity of the missing data cells. These inconsistencies are due to minor differences in the NIC and GLERL [raster] shoreline in the NIC and GLERL ASCII files. Software similar to that given in Appendix 1 (see "apply the cw mask to standardize land and water grid cells" in program tran5.for) was developed to fit the NIC 1024×1024 ASCII grid data to GLERL's 1024×1024 land mask, and a computer program similar to fillx07.for in Appendix 1 was used to estimate ice cover concentration for over water grid cells with -99 codes.

A count of the number of grid cells with a code of -99 was calculated for each ASCII grid file after the land mask was applied. The ASCII file was compared to the original NIC graphic file. If the number of cells with a code of -99 were small, corrections were applied to the GLERL version of the ASCII file in a text editor. An example of this occurred on the ASCII file for December 8, 2009 where a small area on Lake Erie at the head of Long Point was coded 0% ice cover in the ASCII file, and the NIC graphic file indicated it should have been 100% ice cover.

If there were a large number of cells with a code of -99, that file was not included for further analysis. This was a relatively rare occurrence. In some cases, the NIC was informed of a questionable raw data file, and they provided a corrected version of the ASCII file. An example of this occurred for a polygon in Lake Huron for January 22, 2007 that was coded 30% ice cover, while it should have been 0% ice cover. The NIC provided a corrected version of that ASCII grid.

4.2 Ice Chart Dates

Spatial distribution patterns of ice concentration were compared between graphic files produced on the NIC web site and GLERL graphic files produced from NIC ASCII files downloaded from the NIC web site. The objective of this comparison was to see if the NIC Graphic and NIC ASCII file had the same date for the same spatial ice cover patterns. Dates were not always the same for the same spatial patterns. When dates were different, the graphic file date (which was always a day earlier than the ASCII grid file date) was used to correct the date on the ASCII file. The rationale for making that adjustment is that since the graphic file existed a day earlier, it is likely that the ASCII grid file, which is a raster product produced from ARC/GIS coverage, was created the next day. Lending credence to this rationale is the fact that the Canadian Ice Service (CIS) and NIC each produced one ice chart each week during the winter and exchange data during the season in near real-time. The CIS ice chart file is used by NIC to produce special products such as the ASCII grid file for GLERL's use and different products for other users. Thus, it is likely that sometimes the CIS ice chart vector coverage is converted to ASCII raster grid coverage a day after they are received at NIC from CIS. The changed dates on ASCII grid files are summarized, indicated by shading, in Table 2. Note that no changes were made for winters 2006, 2007, and 2011. In winter 2011, there were 12 cases when the NIC ASCII file dates were 1 day later than the NIC graphic files, Table 3. The frequency of 1-day difference in 10 of these 12 cases occurred every 7th day, indicating that it was CIS data. However, it was not possible to adjust the dates on these 12 NIC ASCII grids, because there were already other NIC ASCII grid files on these dates. The reason for this is not known at this time but may be related to the NIC production of ice charts each day instead of twice per week as was the case in other winters.

Table 3. Winter 2011 similar ice cover patterns										
NIC ASCII	NIC Graph									
Dec. 28	Dec. 27									
Jan. 04	Jan. 03									
Jan. 06	Jan. 05									
Jan. 11	4an. 10									
Jan. 18	Jan. 17									
Jan. 25	Jan. 24									
Feb. 01	Jan. 31									
Feb. 08	Feb. 07									
Feb. 15	Feb. 14									
Feb. 22	Feb. 21									
Mar. 01	Feb. 28									
Mar. 08	Mar. 07									

5. ArcGIS FEATURE CLASSES

5.1 Preprocessing

In order to complete the update of the Great Lakes ice atlas, graphic images (.jpg files) and GIS feature classes were created for all 389 ice charts for winters 2006 through 2011. In addition, since feature classes (also known as shapefiles) were never produced for the period 2003-2005 (Assel 2005), those 143 grid files also needed to be converted to complete the climatology for 1973-2011. It should be noted that the 1973-2002 original Great Lakes ice atlas included products that were based on different ice attribute files such as ice form and ice age. The 2003-2011 additions to the ice atlas are based on a single ASCII grid file from the NIC, total ice concentration. For the 2003-2005 ASCII grid files, only 'observed data' files for total ice concentration ending with '2.ct' were used from the ftp download site: http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/ftp/publications/tech_reports/glerl-135/Appendix2/DailyGrids

The first step in the process was to add the geographic location to each of the ASCII grid ice concentration values. This was accomplished by one of three IDL programs, depending on the year, since the formats of the ASCII grids are somewhat different.

ASCII grid	IDL conversion program
2003-2005	LatLon.pro
2006	Cwmap_auto.pro
2007-2011	Cwmap1024_auto.pro

For the 2003-2005 files, the latitude and longitude are read in from a file (Assel 2005). For all other input files, a subroutine is called that associates each grid pixel with its corresponding latitude and longitude coordinates. All of these programs were designed to process a year of ASCII grid files at a time. Once the ice concentration values were associated with location coordinates, they were ready to be brought into ArcGIS. The IDL program, Cwmap1024_auto.pro is found in Appendix A-4.

5.2 GIS Processing

Each file was first imported into Excel and saved as a comma-delimited (.csv) file in order to be imported to ArcView 9.2 (later 10.0). Files were loaded, geographic coordinates set (GCS North American 1983), land points removed, and finally saved as feature classes (.shp). Symbology was set to match the previous ice atlas .e00 files as closely as possible. Once the feature class file was complete, the Great Lakes ice concentration map was exported as a graphic image (.jpg) file. ArcModelBuilder was used to semi-automate this process. Feature classes (.shp) and graphic image files (.jpg) are zipped together by winter season.

6. DATA AVAILABILITY

The data is available either for an individual winter season or for all winter seasons together. Instructions for obtaining data are on the next page. You can also find this data on the GLERL ice cover webpage: http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/data/pgs/glice/glice.html. This Technical Memorandum is available at http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/data/pgs/glice/glice.html. This Technical Memorandum is available at http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/data/pgs/glice/glice.html. This Technical Memorandum is available at http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/data/pgs/glice/glice.html. This Technical Memorandum is available at http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/ftp/publications/tech_reports/glerl-155/.

Individual Winter Seasons. A total of 582 ice charts are available in ASCII grid files and in ArcGIS feature classes. The data are arranged by winter season from 2003 through 2011. Note that winters 2003-2006 are in the 510×516 grid format, and winters 2007 through 2011 are in the 1024×1024 grid format.

Each winter season contains the following files in Zip compression:

Graphic files of total ice concentration
 ASCII grid files

3) ArcGIS feature classes

An example for winter 2009 is given below.

To view ice chart data for a winter season, place cursor on it and click.

 2003
 2004
 2005

 2006
 2007
 2008

 2009
 2010
 2011

<u>Period of Record</u>. For those users who want a single zipped file for all winters, there is grid2003-2011.zip for ASCII grids and gis2003-2011.zip for ArcGIS feature classes.

ICE Charts – 2009 Winter

Click on thumbnails for larger images [note not all charts for 2009 are included in this example] < <u>back to winter season selection page</u>

For 2009 winter data to be used with ArcGIS software, download <u>gis2009.zip</u> (32,260 KB) For 2009 winter ASCII files, download <u>grid2009.zip</u> (1,156 KB) For 2009 winter ice chart images, download <u>jpg2009.zip</u> (8,772 KB)



7. THE ANALYSIS USING THE UPDATED DATASET 1973-2011

7.1 Seasonal cycle

The spatial long-term (1973-2002) mean annual maximum ice cover in the Great Lakes was constructed using this dataset (Figure 1). The seasonal ice cover cycle (Figures 2-3) is computed based on the 1973-2011 data for the six lakes. The typical seasonal ice cycle of the Great Lakes consists of an initial formation (ice onset) period, followed by a growing period in which the annual maximum areal extent is reached, then a melting (break-up) period. The typical ice cycle has an ice-on date on all lakes occurring in December. The growth of ice cover is remarkably similar in all of the Great Lakes. Figure 2 also shows the progression of maximum ice cover for each lake.





Figure 3. Variation of weekly ice coverage (in percent) of the six lakes for the period 1973-2011 with one standard deviation (SD) by the vertical bars.

7.2 Variations

The corresponding weekly variations, defined by standard deviations (SDs) of annual ice cover, are also shown in Figure 3. The months with the largest SDs are those most sensitive to atmospheric forcing, and the records with large SDs are the periods for better detecting interannual and decadal variability. One unique feature of Great Lakes ice cover, in contrast to Arctic sea ice cover (Wang and Ikeda 2001), is that the SDs are equivalent or even larger in magnitude than the means in the deep-water lakes, while SDs of sea ice are much smaller than the means in the Arctic Ocean (Wang and Ikeda 2001). This indicates that: 1) the natural variability of lake ice cover due to internal climate forcing is large (Wang et al. 2010a); and, 2) the predictability of lake ice cover using both statistical and numerical models is generally poor, particularly for interannual time scales. For example, Lake Ontario has the largest SDs compared to its mean, while Lake St. Clair has the smallest SDs. This implies that ice cover in Lake St. Clair has the smallest SDs. This implies that ice cover in Lake St. Clair has the smallest SDs. This implies that ice cover in Lake St. Clair has the poorest predictability among all the lakes, although the overall predictability in all lakes is poor.

The reason for the poor overall predictability of ice cover is that the internal variability of natural climate patterns such as ENSO and AO/NAO are poorly predicted (Wang et al. 2010a, 2012). Bai et al. (2010, 2011, 2012) revealed that both ENSO and AO/NAO have impacts on lake ice; nevertheless, none of them dominates the Great Lakes. Using both ENSO and AO/NAO indices, lake ice can be projected on an intraseasonal time scale, but has poor predictability on the interannual time scales (from year to year). Thus, short-term numerical prediction based on short-term weather prediction is an alternative to provide relatively accurate prediction of lake ice on synoptic time scales (Wang et al. 2010b).

7.3 Interannual variability

Time series of weekly percent ice cover for all six lakes for the period 1973-2011 have been constructed (Figure 4), defined as the percentage of the total lake area that is covered by ice (Wang et al. 1994). All lakes have strong interannual variability of ice cover. However, there are two types of regional features: deep water and shallow water lakes. In the shallow water lakes such as Lakes St. Clair (3 m on average) and Erie (19 m on average), there is almost complete ice cover in winter except in 2005 for St. Clair, and 1983, 1991, 1998, 2002, 2005, 2006, and 2010 for Lake Erie. This indicates that using only lake ice area (in both percentage and square kilometers) is not sufficient to detect the climate signals in Lakes St. Clair and Erie, since the ice area is constrained by the bathymetry, the so-called boundary constraint (Wang et al. 1994). Under the boundary constraint, even though the ice area no long increases, ice thickness can still increase in winter. Thus, lake ice thickness must be used to study lake ice in response to a changing climate. However, in the deep-water lakes (Superior: 148 m; Huron: 59 m; and Ontario: 85 m), ice cover can be used to detect climate signals, since ice rarely completely covers the lakes. On Lake Erie, the least ice cover was found in 1983, 1991, and 1998, spaced by 7-8 years, but more frequently since 1998 with a period of about 3-4 years. This implies that interannual variability of the climate patterns tends to be greater in the Great Lakes in the past decade. In the deep-water lakes, it is possible to detect climate signals using the ice cover area, since the boundary constraint is weak.

To investigate interannual variability, the time series of annual mean lake ice coverage for each lake (Figure 4) is calculated by averaging over the ice season from the original data (Figure 4). There is large interannual variability with temporal correspondence among all the lakes, implying that the major response of lake ice to the climate forcing is basically uniform over the Great Lakes, since the spatial scale of the Great Lakes is small compared to the teleconnection patterns excited by phenomena such as ENSO and AO/NAO.

7.4 Long-term trend

Ice cover on the Great Lakes has been declining since 1973. Figure 4 and Table 4 show the linear trends for the six lakes. The linear trend was estimated using the least squares regression (LSR). The linear equation is in the form: x=a+bt, where x is the ice cover (in %), t is the year starting in 1973, a is the x-intercept constant (the value of x for t=0 (i.e.,1973), and b is the slope of the line (namely, the rate of change in x with a time increment of t).

Winter mean ice cover in all lakes shows a significant negative trend (Figure 5), indicating that the ice extent in the Great Lakes has been decreasing since the 1970s. The negative trends vary from lake to lake (-0.56%/yr to -1.96 %/yr, Table 4). Lake Superior has the largest negative trend (-1.96 %/yr), Lakes Ontario and Michigan place second (~-1.6%/yr), and Lakes Erie and St. Clair have the smallest negative trend: -0.74%/yr and -0.56%/yr, respectively. This translates to the total loss of winter lake ice coverage over the entire 39-year record (from 1973-2011) relative to 1973 in Table 4 (last row), which varies



Figure 4. Twice weekly time series of ice cover (in percent) of all six lakes (a-f) and total Great Lakes (g) for the period 1973-2011. Figure 5. Winter mean lake ice cover (in percent) of all six lakes (a-f) and total Great Lakes ice cover (g) for the period 1973-2011. The linear lines are the trend in annual lake ice coverage calculated from the least squares fit method.



from 22% in Lake St Clair to 76% in Lake Superior. The total loss for overall Great Lakes ice coverage is 63% relative to the 1973 value. Another metric of loss of seasonal average ice cover over the 1973-2011 winters is the difference (1973 minus 2011) in the regression values for 1973 and 2011. The loss in seasonal average ice cover from 1973 to 2011 using this metric is 24.4% for Lake Superior, 12.9% for Lake Michigan, 15.5% for Lake Huron, 15.2% for Lake St. Clair, 11.1% for Lake Erie, 6.5% for Lake Ontario, and 14.9% for the entire Great Lakes basin.

Note that the trends calculated within a specific period of time such as 1973-2011 can only be applicable to the same period, and cannot be extrapolated to the future and back to the past. It should not be interpolated to a period shorter than the time series of the data from which the trends are derived, since there are decadal and multi-decadal changes in lake ice cover.

Table 4. Trends in winter lake ice coverage (in %) calculated by linear least squares fit for the period 1973-2011 (see text for detail). In row 2, a is the intercept constant (the value in year 1973) (in %); in row 3, b is the slope of the line (namely, the rate of change in ice area with time, % per year). Row 4 defines relative trend in winter lake ice coverage (% per year) = (b/ a) x 100%. Row 5 is the total loss of winter lake ice coverage over the entire 39-year record (from 1973 to 2011) relative to the 1973 value.

	Superior	Michigan	Huron	St. Clair	Erie	Ontario	Total GL
а	32.822	21.362	34.717	77.099	44.405	11.784	28.786
b	-0.642	-0.348	-0.431	-0.433	-0.327	-0.198	-0.467
b/a*100%	-1.955	-1.629	-1.242	-0.562	-0.737	-1.679	-1.622
Total loss (%)	-76	-63	-48	-22	-29	-65	-63

To search factors responsible for the lake ice trend, the winter surface air temperature (SAT) trend over the Northern Hemisphere was calculated for the period 1973-2010 (Figure 6). The SAT trend over the Great Lakes ranges from ~0.4 °C per decade over the lower lakes to ~0.6 °C per decade over the upper lakes, with Lake Superior being the highest (0.6 °C per decade). This is consistent with the upward trend of Lake Superior water temperature (Austin and Colman 2007). They found that summer (July–September) surface water temperatures have increased approximately 2.5°C over the period 1979–2006, significantly higher than regional atmospheric warming. This excessive warming of lake water temperature relative to the local surface air temperature has caused a positive ice/water albedo feedback (Wang et al. 2005) due to the declining ice cover (Austin and Colman 2007).

7.5 Periodicity

We further examined the spectral characteristics of the 38-year time series of the six lakes (Figure 7). The main periods are ~8 years and 3-5 years. Lakes Michigan, Huron, St. Clair, and Erie have two periods: 8 and 3.8 years. The former may be related to AO/NAO, and the latter may be related to ENSO, since both ENSO and AO have impacts on Great Lakes ice cover (Bai et al. 2010, 2011); and the AO/NAO has significant decadal to quasi-decadal time scales (7-8 years) (Wang et al. 1994; Mysak et al. 1996; Wang and Ikeda 2001; Wang et al. 2005), while ENSO basically possesses strong interannual time scales of 3-5 years.



Figure 6. Winter surface air temperature (SAT) trend (°C per decade) for the period 1973-2010, calculated using the least squares fit. (from Wang et al. 2012)



Figure 7. Spectral analysis of lake ice cover anomalies in all six lakes. The dotted curves are the 95% significance level. The peaks are marked with the corresponding periods in years.

8. REFERENCES

Assel, R.A. An ice-cover climatology for Lake Erie and Lake Superior for the winter seasons 1897-1898 to 1982-1983. *International Journal of Climatology* 10:731-748 (1990). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/fulltext/1990/19900009.pdf

Assel, R.A. An Electronic Atlas of Great Lakes Ice Cover. NOAA Great Lakes Ice Atlas, Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48105 (2003). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/data/ice/atlas/

Assel, R.A. Great Lakes ice cover climatology update: Winters 2003, 2004, and 2005. NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-135. NOAA, Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI, 21 pp. (2005). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/ftp/publications/tech_reports/glerl-135/

Assel, R.A., and D.M. Robertson. Changes in winter air temperatures near Lake Michigan, 1851-1993, as determined from regional lake-ice records. *Limnology and Oceanography* 40(1):165-176 (1995).

Assel, R.A., D.M. Robertson, M.H. Hoff, and J.H. Selgeby. Climatic change implications from long-term (1823-1994) ice records for the Laurentian Great Lakes. *Annals of Glaciology* 21:383-386 (1995). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/fulltext/1995/19950020.pdf

ASSEL, R.A., S. Drobot, and T.E. CROLEY, II. Improving 30-day Great Lakes ice cover outlooks. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* 5(4):713-717 (2004). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/fulltext/2004/20040016.pdf

Assel, R. A., K. Cronk, and D. C. Norton. Recent trends in Laurentian Great Lakes ice cover. *Climatic Change* 57:185-204 (2003). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/pubs/fulltext/2003/20030001.pdf

Austin, J.A., and S. Colman. Lake Superior summer water temperatures are increasing more rapidly than regional air temperatures: a positive ice-albedo feedback. *Geophysical Research Letters* 34, L06604, doi:10.1029/2006GL029021 (2007).

Bai, X., J. Wang, C. Sellinger, A. Clites, and R. Assel. The impacts of ENSO and AO on the Interannual variability of Great Lakes ice cover, NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-152, 48 pp. (2010). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/ftp/publications/tech_reports/glerl-152

Bai, X., J. Wang, Q. Liu, and Y. Liu. Severe ice conditions in the Bohai Sea, China vs. mild ice conditions in the Great Lakes during the 2009/2010 winter with strong -AO and El Nino. *Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology* 50:1922-1935 (2011).

Bai, X., J. Wang, C. Sellinger, A. Clites, and R. Assel. Interannual variability of Great Lakes ice cover and its relationship to NAO and ENSO. *Journal of Geophysical Research* doi:10.1029/2010JC006932 (2012).

Magnuson, J.J., K.E. Webster, R.A. ASSEL, C.J. Bowser, P.J. Dillon, J.G. Eaton, H.E. Evans, E.J. Fee, R.I. Hall, L.R. Mortsch, D.W. Schindler, and F.H. Quinn. Potential effects of climatic changes on aquatic systems: Laurentian Great Lakes and Precambrian Shield region. *Hydrological Processes* 11:825-871 (1997).

Magnuson, J.J., D.M. Robertson, B.J. Benson, R.H. Wynne, D.M. Livingstone, T. Arai, R.A. Assel, R.G. Barry, V. Card, E. Kuusisto, N.G. Granin, T.D. Prowse, K.M. Stewart, and V.S. Vuglinski. Historical trends in lake and river ice cover in the Northern Hemisphere. *Science* 289:1743-1746 (2000).

Mysak, L.A., R.G. Ingram, J. Wang and A. van der Baaren. Anomalous sea-ice extent in Hudson Bay, Baffin Bay and the Labrador Sea during three simultaneous ENSO and NAO episodes. *Atmosphere-Ocean* 34:313-343 (1996).

Norton, D.C., R.A. Assel, D. Meyers, B.A. Hibner, N. Morse, P.J. Trimble, K. Cronk, and M. Rubens. Great Lakes ice data rescue project. NOAA Technical Memorandum GLERL-117. NOAA Great Lakes Environmental Research Laboratory, Ann Arbor, MI, 63 pp. (2000). http://www.glerl.noaa.gov/ftp/publications/tech_reports/glerl-117

Schwab, D.J., G.A. Leshkevich, and G.C. Muhr. Satellite measurements of surface water temperature in the Great Lakes: Great Lakes CoastWatch. *Journal of Great Lakes Research* 18(2):247-258 (1992).

Wang, J. and M. Ikeda. Arctic Sea-Ice Oscillation: Regional and seasonal perspectives. *Annals of Glaciology* 33:481-492 (2001).

Wang, J, L.A. Mysak and R.G. Ingram. Interannual variability of sea-ice cover in Hudson Bay, Baffin Bay and the Labrador Sea. *Atmosphere-Ocean* 32(2): 421-447 (1994).

Wang, J., M. Ikeda, S. Zhang, and R. Gerdes. 2005. Linking the northern hemisphere sea ice reduction trend and the quasi-decadal Arctic Sea Ice Oscillation. *Climate Dynamics* 24:115-130, 10.1007/s00382-004-0454-5 (2005).

Wang, J., X. Bai, G. Leshkevich, M. Colton, A. Clites, and B. Lofgren. Severe Great Lakes ice cover during winter 2008/09. *EOS* 91(5):41-42 (2010a).

Wang, J., H. Hu, D. Schwab, G. Leshkevich, D. Beletsky, N. Hawley and A. Clites. Development of the Great Lakes Ice-circulation Model (GLIM): Application to Lake Erie in 2003-2004. *Journal of Great Lakes Research*, 0.1016/j.jglr.2010.04.002 (2010b).

Wang, J., X. Bai, H. Hu, A. Clites, M. Colton, and B. Lofgren. Temporal and spatial variability of Great Lakes ice cover, 1973-2010. *Journal of Climate*, 10.1175/2011JCL14066.1 (2012).

9. ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work was partially supported by grants from National Research Council Research Association Fellowship and NOAA GLERL. Support from U.S. EPA's Great Lakes Restoration Initiative (GLRI) to climate change and modeling studies is appreciated. We appreciate Cathy Darnell for her editorial assistance.

Appendix A-1

Software for converting 510×516 grids to 1024×1024 grids in CW format

Documentation of Standard Operating Procedure 1. Use the files created in fixed 516 record format with GLERL ice codes, 2. Run tran5.for, [function: transpose 510x516 array to 1024x1024 array]. 3. Run the results of trand5 for through fillx07 for [function estimates the ice concentrations in cells with missing data]. c name tran5.for, date N0VEMBER 2010, function to transpose 510x516 array to 1024x1024 array. c FIRST transpose 510x516 to 1020x1032 array, NEXT transpose 1020x1032 array to 1024x1024 array, c LAST, use CoastWatch [CW] land mask to make standard land water grids for climatic analysis dimension ib(1020,1032),is(510,516),msk(1024,1024),ice(1024,1024) dimension ib2(1020,1032) character count(4)*30, inmap*20,outmap*15 c ib is the fine spatial resolution array c is is the small low resolution array currently used in the NOAA ice Atlas c msk is the CoastWatch land water mask array c ice is the ib array with CW mask applied. data count/'mask and map both overwater', *'mask and map both land','mask land and map water', *'mask water and map land'/ open(unit=1,file='tem07ctb', status='old') open(unit=2,file='tem07-1',status='old') open(unit=3,file='cw1024.txt',status='old') c read in the cw mask of standardize land and water cells do i=1,1024 read(3,12)(msk(i,j),j=1,1024) enddo 12 format(1024i4) c read in each of 23 maps do iouter=1,23 c read the input and output files 29 format(a15) 291 format(a20) read(1,291)inmap read(2,29)outmap open(unit=4,file=inmap,status='old') open(unit=6,file=outmap,status='unknown') c set counters ict jct kct and Lct ict=0 jct=0 kct=0 Lct=0 c fill in ib with missing data code do i=1,1020 do j=1,1032 ib(i,j)=-99 enddo enddo c read in the low resolution ice array do i=1,510 read(4,10)(is(i,j),j=1,516) enddo 10 format(516i3) c fill ib with the data from array 'is' do i=1,510 do j=1,516 it=is(i,j) ib(i*2-1,J*2-1)=it ib(i*2-1,J*2)=it ib(i*2,j*2-1)=it ib(i*2,j*2)=it enddo enddo c now shift ib (in ib2) C*****************************

```
nrows=7
   ncols=3
C************
   do i=1,nrows
   do j=1,ncols
   ib2(i,j)=-1
   enddo
   enddo
   iend=1020-nrows
   jend=1032-ncols
   do i=1,iend
   do j=1,jend
   ik=ib(i,j)
   ib2(i+nrows,j+ncols)=ik
   enddo
   enddo
c apply the cw mask to standardize land and water grid cells
   do i=1,1024
   do j=1,1024
   ix=ib2(i,j)
   im=msk(i,j)
c if the mask is land then make ice array land
   if(im.eq.255)ice(i,j)=-1
c if the mask is water then test ib to see if it is land or water
c and set value of ice appropriately
   if(im.lt.255.and.ix.ge.0)ice(i,j)=ix
   if(im.lt.255.and.ix.eq.-1)ice(i,j)=-99
   if(im.lt.255.and.ix.lt.-1)ice(i,j)=-98
   if(im.lt.255.and.ix.lt.-1)print*,'error cell < -1 at grid',i,j
c mask and map both overwater
   if(im.lt.255.and.ix.ge.0)ict=ict+1
c mask and map both land
   if(im.eq.255.and.ix.eq.-1)jct=jct+1
c mask land and map water
   if(im.eq.255.and.ix.gt.-1)kct=kct+1
c mask water and map land
   if(im.lt.255.and.ix.eq.-1)Lct=Lct+1
  14 continue
   enddo
   enddo
  11 format(1032i3)
   do i=1,1024
   write(6,15)(ice(i,j),j=1,1024)
   enddo
c print out the counters
   if(iouter.eq.1)print51
   print52,inmap,outmap,ict,jct,kct,Lct, (ict+jct+kct+Lct),iouter
c this is end of outerdo
    enddo
 51 format(3x,
   *'infile
             outfile
                        BOLA BOWA MLMPW MWMPL TOTAL',
   *3x,'Map No.')
  52 format(1x,a20,1x,a15,2x,4(i6,1x),1x,i7,2x,i4)
  15 format(1024i3)
   end
```

c=====================================
c modified from screen print statements for tran5.for

input file ou	ut file	BOLA :	BOWA	MLMPW	MWMPL	TOTAL	Мар
glgrd061204_2550.ctb gl	grd061204.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	1
glgrd061211_2550.ctb glg	grd061211.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	2
glgrd061218_2550.ctb gl	grd061218.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	3
glgrd061225_2550.ctb gl	grd061225.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	4
glgrd070101_2550.ctb gl	grd070101.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	5
glgrd070108_2550.ctb gl	grd070108.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	6
glgrd070115_2550.ctb glg	grd070115.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	7
glgrd070122_2550.ctb gl	grd070122.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	8
glgrd070129_2550.ctb gl	grd070129.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	9
glgrd070205_2550.ctb gl	grd070205.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	10
glgrd070212_2550.ctb gl	grd070212.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	11
glgrd070219_2550.ctb gl	grd070219.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	12
glgrd070226_2550.ctb gl	grd070226.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	13
glgrd070305 2550.ctb gl	grd070305.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	14
glgrd070312_2550.ctb gl	grd070312.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	15
glgrd070319 2550.ctb gl	grd070319.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	16
glgrd070326_2550.ctb gl	grd070326.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	17
glgrd070402 2550.ctb gl	grd070402.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	18
glgrd070409 2550.ctb gl	grd070409.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	19
glgrd070416 2550.ctb gl	grd070416.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	20
glgrd070423 2550.ctb gl	grd070423.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	21
glgrd070430 2550.ctb gl	grd070430.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	22
glgrd070507_2550.ctb gl	grd070507.dat	147618	880500	19394	1064	1048576	23

BOLA = no. of CW base and lake map land cells agree BOWA = no. of CW base and lake map water cells agree MLMPA = no. of CW base land cells which are water cells on lake map MWMPL = no. of CW base water cells which are land cells on lake map TOTAL = total no. cells

c fillx07.for NOVEMBER 2010

C

c function: estimate the ice concentrations for grid cells with missing data

c code for cells with missing data is -99.

c Up to 30 iterations can be made to reduce the number of cells with missing data, missed by the previous iteration. c A check will be made at the end of each iteration to count the number of cells with missing data.

```
character infi*15,outf*15,infis(23)*15,outfs(23)*15
```

dimension ice(1024,1024),nice(1024,1024),isav(30,23),iter(30) dimension ifxr(21),ifxc(21)

data ifxr/564,565,565,566,566,566,567,567,568,568,569,569, *570,570,570,571,571,571,572,572,573/

open(unit=1,file='tem07-1',status='old') open(unit=2,file='tem07-2',status='old') open(unit=8,file='fillx07.out',status='unknown')

10 format(a15)

15 format(1024i3)

c the number of maps parameter has to be set each time num_maps=23

c read in the data for one map do irow=1,1024 read(3,15)(ice(irow,icol),icol=1,1024) enddo

c iterate through data 15 times

c nt = the number of times to estimate the nearest neighbor cell nt=3

do itimes=1,nt iter(itimes)=itimes c replace cells with missing data do irow=1,1024 do ic=1,1024

c set the cell value in the new arrary equal to original cell vealue nice(irow,ic)=ice(irow,ic) c if you have a land cell [code -1] do not interpolate

if(nice(irow,ic).eq.-1)goto999

c next 4 ifs - if you are within 3 cells of edge of the array c do not interpoate - as the grid search will produce c bogus data because of the array dimension if(irow.lt.3)goto999 if(irow.gt.1021)goto999 if(ic.lt.3.)goto999 if(ic.gt.1021)goto999

if(ice(irow,ic).eq.-99)then c here we estimate the value of the cell from the neighbor cells

> it2=ice(irow,ic-1) if(it2.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it2

if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it3=ice(irow,ic+1) if(it3.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it3 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it4=ice(irow+1,ic) if(it4.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it4 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it5=ice(irow-1,ic) if(it5.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it5 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it6=ice(irow-1,ic-1) if(it6.gt.0)nice(irow,ic)=it6 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it7=ice(irow-1,ic+1) if(it7.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it7 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it8=ice(irow+1,ic-1) if(it8.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it8 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it9=ice(irow+1,ic+1) if(it9.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it9 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it12=ice(irow,ic-2) if(it12.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it12 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it13=ice(irow,ic+2) if(it13.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it13 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it14=ice(irow+2,ic) if(it14.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it14 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it15=ice(irow-2,ic) if(it15.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it15 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it16=ice(irow-2,ic-2) if(it16.gt.0)nice(irow,ic)=it16 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it17=ice(irow-2,ic+2) if(it17.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it17 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it18=ice(irow+2,ic-2) if(it18.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it18 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it19=ice(irow+2,ic+2) if(it19.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it19 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it20=ice(irow,ic-3) if(it20.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it20 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998

it21=ice(irow,ic+3) if(it21.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it21

```
if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
                 it22=ice(irow+3,ic)
                 if(it22.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it22
                 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
                 it23=ice(irow-3,ic)
                 if(it23.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it23
                 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
                 it24=ice(irow-3,ic-3)
                 if(it24.gt.0)nice(irow,ic)=it24
                 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
                 it25=ice(irow-3,ic+3)
                 if(it25.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it25
                 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
                 it26=ice(irow+3,ic-3)
                 if(it26.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it26
                 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
                 it27=ice(irow+3,ic+3)
                 if(it27.ge.0)nice(irow,ic)=it27
                 if(nice(irow,ic).ge.0)goto998
               else
                nice(irow,ic)=ice(irow,ic)
               endif
 998 continue
c now for the median ice chart maps make the following estimates for
c noth east L. Ontario Bay of Quinte
   itont1=nice(588,958)
   itont2=nice(588,959)
   itont3=nice(589,956)
   itont4=nice(589,957)
   itont5=nice(590,955)
   itont6=nice(591,928)
   itont7=nice(592,926)
   itont8=nice(595,934)
   if(itont1.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(588,957)=itont8
   if(itont2.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(588,958)=itont8
   if(itont3.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(589,955)=itont8
   if(itont4.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(589,956)=itont8
   if(itont5.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(590,954)=itont8
   if(itont6.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(591,928)=itont8
   if(itont7.eq.-99.and.itont8.ne.-99)nice(592,926)=itont8
c now fill in data for one cell in Georgian Bay
   itg1=nice(531,787)
   itg2=nice(536,785)
   if(itg1.eq.-99.and.itg2.ne.-99)nice(531,787)=itg2
c now fill in data for 21 cells at west St. Lawrence River
   do kk=1,21
   itg3=ifxr(kk)
   itg4=ifxc(kk)
   istc=nice(itg3,itg4)
   istc2=nice(571,1017)
   if(istc.eq.-99.and.istc2.ne.-99)nice(itg3,itg4)=istc2
   enddo
 999 continue
      enddo
   enddo
c save a count of the No. of cells that have -99 (missing data)
      ict=0
    do km=1,1024
      do km2=1,1024
      item=nice(km,km2)
      if(item.eq.-99)ict=ict+1
      enddo
     enddo
      isav(itimes,imap)=ict
c save the results for the next iteration
```

```
30
```

do KSA=1,1024 do KSB=1,1024 ice(ksa,ksb)=nice(ksa,ksb) enddo enddo c this next do is for the itimes do loop enddo c write out the results for one map do MM=1,1024 write(7,15)(nice(mm,iicol),iicol=1,1024) enddo c this next do is for all maps enddo c print the number of cells that have -99 (missing data) c on each ice chart for each iteration write(8,62)(iter(k),k=1,nt) print62,(iter(k),k=1,nt) do imap=1,num maps c******* print60, 'completed map', imap, 'inf=', infis(imap), 'outf=', *outfs(imap), 'NO. of cell with -99 =', *(isav(itime,imap),itime=1,nt) write(8,60)'completed map',imap,'inf=',infis(imap),'outf=', *outfs(imap), 'NO. of cell with -99 =', *(isav(itime,imap),itime=1,nt) enddo c234567 62 format(78x,30(1x,i4)) 60 format(1x,a13,1x,i3,1x,a4,a15,2x,a5,a15,2x,a22,20(1x,i4)) end C c modified screen output from fillx07.for No. Iterations input file output file 1 2 3 с c completed map 1 inf=glgrd061204.dat outf=glgrd061204.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 11 1 0 c completed map 2 inf=glgrd061211.dat outf=glgrd061211.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 11 1 c completed map 3 inf=glgrd061218.dat outf=glgrd061218.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 10 0 0 c completed map 4 inf=glgrd061225.dat outf=glgrd061225.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 9 0 0 c completed map 5 inf=glgrd070101.dat outf=glgrd070101.fil NO. of cell with $-99 = 10 \ 1 \ 0$ c completed map 6 inf=glgrd070108.dat outf=glgrd070108.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 11 1 0 c completed map 7 inf=glgrd070115.dat outf=glgrd070115.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 7 0 0 c completed map 8 inf=glgrd070122.dat outf=glgrd070122.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 0 0 c completed map 9 inf=glgrd070129.dat outf=glgrd070129.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 7 0 0 c completed map 10 inf=glgrd070205.dat outf=glgrd070205.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 7 0 0 c completed map 11 inf=glgrd070212.dat outf=glgrd070212.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 7 0 0 c completed map 12 inf=glgrd070219.dat outf=glgrd070219.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 0 0 c completed map 13 inf=glgrd070226.dat outf=glgrd070226.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 0 0 c completed map 14 inf=glgrd070305.dat outf=glgrd070305.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 0 0 c completed map 15 inf=glgrd070312.dat outf=glgrd070312.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 0 0 c completed map 16 inf=glgrd070319.dat outf=glgrd070319.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 7 0 0 c completed map 17 inf=glgrd070326.dat outf=glgrd070326.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 7 0 0 c completed map 18 inf=glgrd070402.dat outf=glgrd070402.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 0 0 c completed map 19 inf=glgrd070409.dat outf=glgrd070409.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 8 1 0 c completed map 20 inf=glgrd070416.dat outf=glgrd070416.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 10 1 0 c completed map 21 inf=glgrd070423.dat outf=glgrd070423.fil NO. of cell with $-99 = 10 \ 1 \ 0$ c completed map 22 inf=glgrd070430.dat outf=glgrd070430.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 10 1 0 c completed map 23 inf=glgrd070507.dat outf=glgrd070507.fil NO. of cell with -99 = 11 1 0 C

Appendix A-2

Comparison of lake averaged ice cover converted from 510×516 to 1024×1024 grid.



Lake averaged ice cover for 1024x1024 and 510x516 grid for winter 2007 (23 ice charts). Note the bias is for slightly greater lake averaged ice cover for 510x516 grid but as seen on next page differences are 2% or less in all cases. This is considered sufficiently accurate for climate studies.



Differences of 1024 from 510×516 grid for winter 2007 (23 ice charts). Note differences are 2% or less in all cases. This is considered sufficiently accurate for climate studies.

Appendix A-3

Land mask applied to NIC ASCII files to create standard land-water grid cells

The land mask for the 510 \times 516 grid. An ASCII land mask file is available by clicking on the following: <u>GLmask2.txt</u>. It is a fixed formatted text file with 510 records. Each record in that file has a format of 516 i1. Land grid cells have a code of 0 or 1, the grid cell codes for lakes and rivers are: Lake Superior = 4, Lake Michigan = 5, Lake Huron = 6, Lake Erie = 7, Lake St. Clair = 2, Lake Ontario = 8, St. Marys River = 9, Detroit River = 3.

The land mask for the 1024×1024 grid. An ASCII land mask file is available by clicking on CW1024a.txt.

File Structure

Record Format (1024i4), 1024 records in this file

Numeric codes for each grid cell by lake by region

Lake Superior

- 01 Thunder Bay
- 02 Black Bay
- 03 Nipigon Bay
- 04 Western Lake Superior
- 05 Eastern Lake Superior
- 06 Whitefish Bay

St Marys River

- 07 St Marys River North
- 26 St Marys River South

Lake Michigan

- 11 Green Bay
- 12 Grand Traverse Bay
- 13 Northern Lake Michigan
- 14 Southern Lake Michigan

Lake Huron

- 21 Georgian Bay
- 22 North Channel
- 23 Saginaw Bay
- 24 Northern Lake Huron
- 25 Southern Lake Huron

Lake St. Clair

30 Lake St. Clair

Detroit River

- 31 Detroit River North
- 44 Detroit River South

Lake Erie

- 41 Western Lake Erie
- 42 Central Lake Erie
- 43 Eastern Lake Erie

Lake Ontario

- 51 Western Lake Ontario
- 52 Eastern Lake Ontario
- -01 Western St. Lawrence River
- 255 Land

Appendix A-4

Software for adding geographic location to ice concentration values for conversion of ice grids to ArcGIS.

```
_____
pro cwmap1024_auto, ipx, jpx, lat, lon, conv
------
; Subroutine cwmap: Return pixel location, given latitude and longitude, or
; latitude and longitude given pixel location within synoptic 1024x1024
; CoastWatch image. (Approximate WGS-84 Mercator Projection - DJS 2007)
; Set conv to -1 for (lat, lon) to (ipx, jpx) conversion,
; anything else for inverse
    = 38.8744 ; Southern latitude
= -92.4106 ; Western '
slat = 38.8744
wlon = -92.4106 ; Western longitude
elon = -75.8690 ; Eastern longitude
f1 = 0.99664 ; N-S scale adjustment for WGS-84
; Constants
alon0 = -wlon
    = 1023. / (!dtor * ( elon-wlon ) )
a1
     = a1 * alog(tan (!dtor * (45.0 + slat / 2.) ))
уO
; Calculate latitude and longitude if conv = -1, else calculate ipx and jpx
if (conv eq -1) then begin
ipx=fix(a1*!dtor*(alon0-lon)+0.5)
jpx=fix(f1*(a1*alog(tan(!dtor*(45.+lat/2.)))-y0)+0.5)
end else begin
lat = 2.0 \times ((( \text{ atan } ( \text{ exp } ( ( jpx/f1 + y0) / a1) )) / !dtor) - 45.0)
lon = -1.0*((ipx / (a1 * !dtor)) - alon0)
end
return
end
     _____
;----
;
; calculate lat and lon of ice concentration pixels
; this version is for the 1275m grid (2007 and later)
header=STRARR(1,6)
conc=intarr(1024,1024)
iceconc=intarr(1024,1024)
lat=fltarr(1024,1024)
lon=fltarr(1024,1024)
infile=""
outfile=""
dirstrng=""
datestrng=""
print, "Enter the absolute path of the directory containing the .ct files"
read, dirstrng
cd, dirstrng
file conversion index=0L
file_list_array = findfile("*.ct")
file count = N_ELEMENTS (file list array)
for file_conversion_index=0, file_count-1 do begin
 numlines=0
 infile = file list array[file conversion index]
 openr,2, infile
  datestrng=strmid(infile,0,11); start w char 0, 11 chars long filename (2007)
 outfile=dirstrng + datestrng + `.ll'
 openw, 1, outfile
 readf,2,header
 readf, 2, conc
  iceconc = rotate(conc,7);flip the ice grid
  close,2
```

close,1 print, max(iceconc) print,min(iceconc) openw,1,outfile print, outfile printf,1,' i j lat lon iceconc' for j=0,1023 do begin for i=0,1023 do begin cwmap1024_auto,i,j,lat,lon,0
printf,1,i,j,lat,-lon,iceconc(i,j) end end close,1 endfor end