

National Water-Quality Assessment Program

Use of Classes Based on Redox and Groundwater Age to Characterize the Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

Scientific Investigations Report 2012–5220

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

Use of Classes Based on Redox and Groundwater Age to Characterize the Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

By P.B. McMahon

National Water-Quality Assessment Program

Scientific Investigations Report 2012–5220

U.S. Department of the Interior U.S. Geological Survey

U.S. Department of the Interior

KEN SALAZAR, Secretary

U.S. Geological Survey

Marcia K. McNutt, Director

U.S. Geological Survey, Reston, Virginia: 2012

For more information on the USGS—the Federal source for science about the Earth, its natural and living resources, natural hazards, and the environment, visit http://www.usgs.gov or call 1–888–ASK–USGS. For an overview of USGS information products, including maps, imagery, and publications, visit http://www.usgs.gov/pubprod

To order this and other USGS information products, visit http://store.usgs.gov

Any use of trade, firm, or product names is for descriptive purposes only and does not imply endorsement by the U.S. Government.

Although this information product, for the most part, is in the public domain, it also may contain copyrighted materials as noted in the text. Permission to reproduce copyrighted items must be secured from the copyright owner.

Suggested citation:

McMahon, P.B., 2012, Use of classes based on redox and groundwater age to characterize the susceptibility of principal aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations, 1991 to 2010: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2012–5220, 41 p.

Foreword

The U.S. Geological Survey (USGS) is committed to providing the Nation with reliable scientific information that helps to enhance and protect the overall quality of life and that facilitates effective management of water, biological, energy, and mineral resources (*http://www.usgs.gov/*). Information on the Nation's water resources is critical to ensuring long-term availability of water that is safe for drinking and recreation and is suitable for industry, irrigation, and fish and wildlife. Population growth and increasing demands for water make the availability of that water, measured in terms of quantity and quality, even more essential to the long-term sustainability of our communities and ecosystems.

The USGS implemented the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program in 1991 to support national, regional, State, and local information needs and decisions related to water-quality management and policy (*http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa*). The NAWQA Program is designed to answer: What is the quality of our Nation's streams and groundwater? How are conditions changing over time? How do natural features and human activities affect the quality of streams and groundwater, and where are those effects most pronounced? By combining information on water chemistry, physical characteristics, stream habitat, and aquatic life, the NAWQA Program aims to provide science-based insights for current and emerging water issues and priorities. From 1991 to 2001, the NAWQA Program completed interdisciplinary assessments and established a baseline understanding of water-quality conditions in 51 of the Nation's river basins and aquifers, referred to as Study Units (*http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/studies/study_units.html*).

In the second decade of the Program (2001–2012), a major focus is on regional assessments of waterquality conditions and trends. These regional assessments are based on major river basins and principal aquifers, which encompass larger regions of the country than the Study Units. Regional assessments extend the findings in the Study Units by filling critical gaps in characterizing the quality of surface water and groundwater, and by determining water-quality status and trends at sites that have been consistently monitored for more than a decade. In addition, the regional assessments continue to build an understanding of how natural features and human activities affect water quality. Many of the regional assessments employ modeling and other scientific tools, developed on the basis of data collected at individual sites, to help extend knowledge of water quality to unmonitored, yet comparable areas within the regions. The models thereby enhance the value of our existing data and our understanding of the hydrologic system. In addition, the models are useful in evaluating various resource-management scenarios and in predicting how our actions, such as reducing or managing nonpoint and point sources of contamination, land conversion, and altering flow and (or) pumping regimes, are likely to affect water conditions within a region.

Other activities planned during the second decade include continuing national syntheses of information on pesticides, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), nutrients, trace elements, and aquatic ecology; and continuing national topical studies on the fate of agricultural chemicals, effects of urbanization on stream ecosystems, bioaccumulation of mercury in stream ecosystems, effects of nutrient enrichment on stream ecosystems, and transport of contaminants to public-supply wells.

The USGS aims to disseminate credible, timely, and relevant science information to address practical and effective water-resource management and strategies that protect and restore water quality. We hope this NAWQA publication will provide you with insights and information to meet your needs, and will foster increased citizen awareness and involvement in the protection and restoration of our Nation's waters.

The USGS recognizes that a national assessment by a single program cannot address all water-resource issues of interest. External coordination at all levels is critical for cost-effective management, regulation, and conservation of our Nation's water resources. The NAWQA Program, therefore, depends on advice and information from other agencies—Federal, State, regional, interstate, Tribal, and local—as well as nongovernmental organizations, industry, academia, and other stakeholder groups. Your assistance and suggestions are greatly appreciated.

William H. Werkheiser USGS Associate Director for Water

Contents

Abstract Introduction Methods Well Selection	2 2 2
Methods	2 2
Well Selection	2
Sources of Data	_
Sources of Data	3
Aquifer Groups and Geology	3
Redox Classification	3
Groundwater-Age Classification	3
Evaluation of Redox-Age Classes	6
Relation Between Redox-Age Classes and Changes in Nitrate Concentrations	
in Trend-Well Networks	
Redox-Age Classes in Principal Aquifers1	1
Susceptibility to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations Near the Water Table	
in Agricultural Areas1	1
Susceptibility to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations in Parts of Aquifers	
that Provide Domestic Water Supplies1	9
Susceptibility to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations in Parts of Aquifers	
that Provide Public Water Supplies2	6
Summary and Conclusions	1
Acknowledgments	3
References Cited	
Appendix 1	7

Figures

1.	Map showing location of selected principal aquifers in the United States	4
2.	Change in nitrate concentration for pairs of samples collected from selected wells in the United States at near decadal time scales in relation to the	
	redox-age class of the more recently collected sample	0
2		0
3.	Change in nitrate concentration for pairs of samples collected from selected	
	wells in the United States at near decadal time scales in relation to the change in redox condition	9
4.	Map showing central locations of well networks sampled at near decadal	
	time scales by the National Water-Quality Assessment Program	10
5.	Median change in nitrate concentration in relation to the percentage of	
	samples that were classified as oxic-potentially young in well networks	
	that were sampled at near decadal timescales and the susceptibility of	
	the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	14
6.	Concentrations of nitrate in pairs of samples collected from selected networks	
	of major-aquifer study wells in the United States at near decadal time scales	15
7.	Map showing central locations of networks of shallow monitoring wells	
	in agricultural areas and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in	
	nitrate concentrations.	16
8.	Median percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for	
	principal aquifers that have at least two networks of shallow monitoring	
	wells in agricultural areas, and the susceptibility of the aquifers to changes	
		18

9.	Percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas in the Central Valley aquifer system, High Plains aquifer, and the West-central glacial aquifers, and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	19
10.	Map showing central locations of networks of domestic wells and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	
11.	Median percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for principal aquifers that have at least two networks of domestic wells, and the susceptibility of the aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations	
12.	Variability in the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young for principal aquifers that have at least two networks of domestic wells, and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	
13.	Percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young in collocated networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas and domestic wells, and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	27
14.	Map showing central locations of networks of public-supply wells and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	28
15.	Median percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for principal aquifers that have at least two networks of public-supply wells, and the susceptibility of the aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations	30
16.	Percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young in collocated networks of public-supply and domestic wells, and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	31

Appendix Figure

1–1.	Map showing the central locations of networks of shallow monitoring wells	
	in urban areas and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate	
	concentrations	39

Tables

1.	Criteria used to assign redox-age classes to groundwater samples	6
2.	Redox-age classes for water samples collected from principal aquifers in the United States and median well depth, well type, and aquifer confinement	7
3.	Redox-age classes for water samples collected from selected well networks in the United States at near decadal time scales, median change in nitrate concentration for each network, and the statistical significance of the change in concentration	12
4.	Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	17
5.	Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of domestic wells in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	21
6.	Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of public-supply wells in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations	29

Appendix Table

 1–1. Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of shallow monitoring wells in urban areas in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations40

Conversion Factors

Multiply	Ву	To obtain
	Length	
centimeter (cm)	0.3937	inch (in.)
millimeter (mm)	0.03937	inch (in.)
meter (m)	3.281	foot (ft)
kilometer (km)	0.6214	mile (mi)
	Volume	
liter (L)	33.82	ounce, fluid (fl. oz)
liter (L)	0.2642	gallon (gal)
Mass	Mass	
gram (g)	0.03527	ounce, avoirdupois (oz)

Concentrations of chemical constituents in water are given either in milligrams per liter (mg/L) or micrograms per liter (μ g/L). Concentrations of tritium are given in tritium units (TU).

Use of Classes Based on Redox and Groundwater Age to Characterize the Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

By P.B. McMahon

Abstract

The National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program of the U.S. Geological Survey is using multiple approaches to measure and explain trends in concentrations of nitrate in principal aquifers of the United States. Near decadal sampling of selected well networks is providing information on where long-term changes in nitrate concentrations have occurred. Because those studies do not include all the NAWQA well networks, a determination has yet to be made as to what might be expected in networks from which timeseries data have not been collected. Characterizing aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations on the basis of data collected from all the NAWQA well networks would be a step toward extrapolating findings from those studies to broader regions.

In this study, water samples collected from 6,593 wells in 39 principal aquifers and 5 alluvial aquifers (collected from 1991 to 2010) were assigned to four redox-age classes on the basis of concentrations of dissolved oxygen and various indicators of groundwater age. The redox-age assignments were then used to characterize the susceptibility of principal aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations. Aquifer areas (as defined by well networks) in which at least 75 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young were considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations. Aquifer areas were considered to have a medium susceptibility if at least 25 percent and less than 75 percent of the samples were classified as oxicpotentially young. Aquifer areas were considered to have a low susceptibility if less than 25 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young.

The three primary well types sampled by NAWQA (shallow monitoring wells near the water table, domestic wells, and public-supply wells) generally represent different depth zones and (or) areas of the principal aquifers. For the parts of aquifers near the water table in agricultural areas, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers, Eastern glacial aquifers, and the West-central glacial aquifers. None of the aquifers had a low susceptibility to changes in

nitrate concentrations. For the parts of aquifers that provide domestic water supplies, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system and the Early Mesozoic Basin, Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock, and Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers in the eastern United States; the Ozark Plateaus aquifer system in parts of Missouri and Arkansas; and the Central Valley, Columbia Plateau basalticrock, and Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer systems in the West. The least susceptible aquifers were the Texas Coastal Uplands and Denver Basin aquifer systems. For the parts of aquifers that provide public water supplies, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Eastern glacial aquifers and the California Coastal Basin, Basin and Range basin-fill, and High Plains aquifers in the West. The least susceptible aquifer was the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system in the upper Midwest.

Principal-aquifer lithology groups with the largest percentage of domestic-well networks considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations were the basaltic- and other volcanic-rock aquifer systems, carbonate-rock aquifers, and crystalline-rock aquifers. The lithology groups with the smallest percentage of networks considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations were the glacial aquifers and sandstone aquifers. There are important geologic differences between the aquifer lithology groups with high and low susceptibilities to changes in nitrate concentrations. The relatively large percentage of high-susceptibililty networks in the basaltic- and other volcanic-rock aquifer systems, carbonate-rock aquifers, and crystalline-rock aquifers may indicate the importance of fractures and karst features in promoting the rapid movement of oxic-potentially young groundwater in those aquifers. The relatively small percentage of high-susceptibility networks in the glacial and sandstone aquifers reflects geologic characteristics of those aquifers that support anoxic redox conditions (high electron-donor content) and inhibit water movement (fine-grained confining layers).

For networks of monitoring and domestic wells that were approximately collocated, the monitoring-well networks had the higher percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young, indicating that susceptibility tended to be higher at the shallower depths of the monitoring wells. For networks of domestic and public-supply wells that were approximately collocated, the public-supply wells had the higher percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young, indicating that susceptibility tended to be higher in the vicinity of publicsupply wells than in the vicinity of domestic wells even though the public-supply wells had larger median well depths. Previous studies found that high rates of pumping in publicsupply wells with long screens induced more rapid downward movement of young groundwater than did domestic wells, which had shorter screens and were less heavily pumped. The data from this study are generally consistent with those findings.

Introduction

Is groundwater quality getting better or worse, why, and what will happen in the future? These are some of the important questions being addressed by the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program of the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). The NAWQA Program is using multiple approaches to measure and explain trends in concentrations of nitrate in principal aquifers of the United States. Near decadal sampling of selected NAWQA well networks has provided a preliminary determination of where changes in concentrations of chloride, dissolved solids, and nitrate in groundwater have occurred (Rupert, 2008; Lindsey and Rupert, 2012). Because those studies do not include all the NAWQA well networks, a determination has yet to be made as to what might be expected in networks from which time-series data have not been collected. Characterizing aguifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations on the basis of data collected from all the NAWQA well networks would be a step toward extrapolating findings from those studies to broader regions.

Long-term changes in concentrations of nitrate in groundwater are controlled by factors such as nitrogen input history at the land surface, denitrification in the aquifer, and location in the flow system (Clark and others, 2008; Burow and others, 2008a,b; Kauffman and others, 2001; McMahon and others, 2008a,b). Nitrate concentrations in groundwater increased over several decades in many agricultural areas of the United States following the dramatic increase in fertilizer usage that began in the late 1940s (see summary by Puckett and others, 2011). Denitrification is the microbial reduction of nitrate to nitrogen gas (N_2) and in some aquifers it is an important process for decreasing nitrate concentrations (Böhlke and others, 2002; Green and others, 2008; Tesoriero and Puckett, 2011). Aquifers containing oxic shallow groundwater are more susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations than aquifers containing anoxic deep groundwater (Dubrovsky and others, 2010). This pattern occurs because nitrate persists in oxic groundwater and is removed by denitrification in

anoxic groundwater, and deep groundwater generally is older, sometimes predating nitrogen inputs by humans at the land surface, and contains a broader mix of water of differing ages and sources than shallow groundwater. Thus, redox-age classifications could provide a framework for characterizing the susceptibility of aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations and such a framework could be used for interpreting timeseries monitoring data.

To a certain extent, this type of redox-age assessment has already been done using statistically based models of groundwater vulnerability (Rupert, 1998; Nolan and Hitt, 2006; Gurdak and Qi, 2006; Rupert and Plummer, 2009). Vulnerability models sometimes incorporate redox and groundwaterage information, but use surrogate variables, such as soil type and well depth (Nolan and Hitt, 2006). More direct measures of redox conditions and groundwater age are available, such as concentrations of dissolved oxygen, chlorofluorocarbons, and sulfur-hexafluoride, and detections of tritium, pesticide compounds, or volatile organic compounds (VOCs).

The purpose of this report is to characterize the susceptibility of selected principal aquifers of the United States to changes in nitrate concentrations on a basis of the redox-age classification scheme developed in this report. Redox classes are defined by concentrations of dissolved oxygen. Groundwater-age classes are defined by concentrations of tritium, nitrate, pesticide compounds, VOCs, chlorofluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, and (or) helium. The redox-age classification scheme uses NAWQA water-quality data collected from 39 principal aquifers and 5 alluvial aquifers from 1991 to 2010.

Methods

This section describes the well networks and waterquality data used in the study, and the principal aquifers in which the networks are located. In addition, the redox and groundwater-age classes used to characterize the aquifers are defined.

Well Selection

Wells included in this study were used in NAWQA studies designed to describe the quality of water withdrawn from principal aquifers and used for drinking (termed major-aquifer studies or MASs, and source-water studies or DWGSs), and studies of shallow groundwater within specific land-use settings (termed land-use studies or LUSs). MASs focused on the quality of groundwater resources without being linked to a specific land use and used data mostly from existing domestic wells. DWGSs focused on the quality of groundwater from public-supply wells. Water samples from MAS and DWGS wells were collected before any treatment or pressure tanks and therefore do not represent water consumed for drinking. LUSs targeted the uppermost recently recharged groundwater to identify the effects of the overlying land use and used data mostly from monitoring wells and some production wells. Generally, MAS networks covered larger geographic areas and their wells were deeper than LUSs. Gilliom and others (1995) presented a general discussion of NAWQA well networks. Individual MASs, DWGSs, and LUSs are described in reports for individual NAWQA study areas (U.S. Geological Survey, 2011). Data for a total of 6,593 wells from networks in 39 principal aquifers and 5 alluvial aquifers were used in this study. Locations of the principal aquifers are shown in fig. 1.

Sources of Data

Many of the NAWQA wells have been sampled more than once, but the water-quality data used in this study primarily represent the most recently collected water sample from each well. Water samples included in this study were collected from 1991 to 2010. Methods for collecting and analyzing groundwater samples for the NAWQA program are well documented (U.S. Geological Survey, 2011) and are not repeated here. Water-quality and groundwater-age data of primary interest in this study are concentrations of dissolved oxygen, nitrate, pesticide compounds, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), chlorofluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, tritium, and helium. Dissolved oxygen was measured in the field at the time of sample collection. Nitrate, pesticide compounds, and VOCs were analyzed at the USGS National Water Quality Laboratory in Denver, Colorado. Chlorofluorocarbons and sulfur hexafluoride were analyzed at the USGS Chlorofluorocarbon Laboratory in Reston, Virginia. Tritium was measured at the USGS Tritium Laboratory in Menlo Park, California, the USGS Noble Gas Laboratory in Denver, Colorado, or the Noble Gas Laboratory of the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory in Palisades, New York. Helium was measured at the USGS Noble Gas Laboratory in Denver, Colorado, or the Noble Gas Laboratory of the Lamont-Doherty Earth Observatory in Palisades, New York. The data can be found in the USGS National Water Information System (NWIS) or in Hinkle and others (2010).

Aquifer Groups and Geology

This study primarily examined 39 principal aquifers (fig. 1). Principal aquifers are defined as aquifers that are regionally extensive and can yield useable quantities of water (U.S. Geological Survey, 2003). The aquifers are broadly grouped into eight lithologic groups: basaltic and other volcanic rocks, carbonate rocks, crystalline rocks, glacial sand and gravel, sandstone and carbonate rocks, sandstone, semiconsolidated sand, and unconsolidated sand and gravel (U.S. Geological Survey, 2003). Five relatively small alluvial aquifers also were examined and they are considered to be part of the unconsolidated sand and gravel lithology group.

Redox Classification

Redox conditions in many of the principal aquifers were described on a regional basis (McMahon and others, 2009) using the redox framework developed by McMahon and Chapelle (2008). That framework uses a dissolvedoxygen concentration of 0.5 milligram per liter (mg/L) as the threshold between oxic and anoxic conditions. The framework has additional redox subclasses for anoxic conditions, but for the purposes of this report only two redox classes are considered-oxic (dissolved oxygen concentration greater than or equal to 0.5 mg/L) and anoxic (dissolved oxygen concentration less than 0.5 mg/L). Consideration of just two redox classes is appropriate for this report because oxygen reduction typically is the first redox process to occur in groundwater and denitrification typically is the first anoxic redox process to follow oxygen reduction when nitrate is present in groundwater (Chapelle and others, 1995; McMahon and Chapelle, 2008). The susceptibility of aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations would be greater in oxic groundwater than in anoxic groundwater (Rupert, 2008), although the susceptibility also could be high in anoxic groundwater that is actively undergoing denitrification.

The use of a dissolved-oxygen concentration of 0.5 mg/L as the threshold for onset of denitrification probably is conservative. As discussed by Green and others (2010), mixing in heterogeneous aquifers and in well screens can result in the co-occurrence of geochemical indicators of denitrification with dissolved oxygen concentrations greater than 0.5 mg/L. Several field studies have reported apparent threshold concentrations for the onset of denitrification in the range of about 1 to 2 mg/L (Böhlke and others, 2002; McMahon and others, 2004; Böhlke and others, 2007; Green and others, 2008; Tesoriero and Puckett, 2011).

Groundwater-Age Classification

The substantial increase in fertilizer usage in the United States beginning in about the late 1940s is an important event in the context of this study because fertilizer represents the largest single anthropogenic source of nitrogen in the country (Dubrovsky and others, 2010), and an increase in usage of fertilizer has been linked to increased concentrations of nitrate in groundwater (see review by Puckett and others, 2011). Given this history, a useful tracer of groundwater age for this study would differentiate between water recharged before and after the early 1950s. For the purposes of this report these waters are referred to as old and young groundwater, respectively. The susceptibility of aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations would be greater in young groundwater than in old groundwater.

Tritium was used to differentiate between old and young groundwater in this study. Tritium is a radioactive isotope of hydrogen with a half-life of 12.32 years (Lucas

4 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010



EXPLANATION



ι	Inconsolidated sand and gravel aquifers		Semiconsolidated sand aquifers	C	arbonate-rock aquifers
1	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	13	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	26	Basin and Range carbonate-rocks aquifers
2	California Coastal Basin aquifers	14	Mississippi Embayment aquifer system	27	Biscayne aquifer
3	Central Valley aquifer system	15	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	28	Castle Hayne aquifer
4	Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers	16	Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer system	29	Floridan aquifer system
5	High Plains aquifer	17	Texas Coastal Uplands aquifer system	30	Ordovician aquifers
6	Mississippi River Valley alluvial aquifer		Sandstone aquifers	31	Ozark Plateaus aquifer system
7	Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontane	18	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	32	Piedmont and Blue Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers
	Basins aquifer system	19	Denver Basin aquifer system	33	Silurian-Devonian aquifers
8	Rio Grande aquifer system	20	Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers	34	Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers
9	Snake River Plain basin-fill aquifers	21	Lower Tertiary aquifers	В	asaltic- and other volcanic-rock aquifers
10	Surficial aquifer system	22	Pennsylvanian aquifers	35	Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock aquifer system
11	Willamette Lowland aquifer system	23	Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers	36	Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer system
	Glacial sand and gravel aquifers		Sandstone and carbonate-rock aquifers	37	Hawaiian volcanic-rock aquifers
12e	Eastern glacial aquifers	24	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system		
12c	Central glacial aquifers	25	Mississippian aquifers	38	rystalline-rock aquifers New York and New England crystalline-rock aquifers
12wc	West-central glacial aquifers	20		50	(unofficial name)
12w	Western glacial aquifers			39	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers



and Unterweger, 2000). Small concentrations of tritium are produced naturally by interactions between the atmosphere and cosmic rays. It is an excellent tracer of water movement because it is part of the water molecule. In general, tritium in groundwater originates from precipitation. Because tritium is radioactive, its concentration in groundwater decreases over time as a result of radioactive decay. Before the onset of atmospheric testing of nuclear weapons in about 1953 (prebomb), the tritium content of precipitation in the conterminous United States probably ranged from about 2 to 8 tritium units (TU) (Kaufman and Libby, 1954; Thatcher, 1962). As a result of radioactive decay, groundwater derived from precipitation that fell before 1953 would have contained less than 0.5 TU tritium in 2010 (the most recent samples used in this study), but it could have contained upwards of about 1 TU in 1991 (the earliest samples used in this study). The tritium content of precipitation increased substantially after the onset of atmospheric nuclear weapons testing but has slowly decreased from its peak in the early 1960s. Even with the variability in tritium content of precipitation over time, most groundwater in the United States exclusively derived from precipitation that fell since 1953 (postbomb) contained more than 0.5 TU in 2010. On the basis of this information, water samples with tritium concentrations less than 0.5 TU were considered to be potentially old groundwater (recharged before the early 1950s), and water samples with tritium concentrations greater than or equal to 0.5 TU were considered to be potentially young groundwater (recharged after the early 1950s). For comparison, several other studies have used tritium concentrations ranging from about 0.2 to 1 TU as the cutoff between old and young groundwater (Michel and Schroeder, 1994; Plummer and others, 2004; Manning and others, 2005; Landon and others, 2010a).

Because only 39 percent of the samples that were assigned to a groundwater-age class had tritium data, other indicators of groundwater age were used for the samples that did not have tritium data. These indicators include detections of pesticide compounds and (or) VOCs; elevated concentrations of nitrate; and (or) dating with chloroflurocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, or tritium/helium-3 (Plummer and others, 1993; Kolpin and others, 1995; Busenberg and Plummer, 2000; Shelton and others, 2001; Manning and others, 2005; Plummer and others, 2008). The water-quality data set used in this study contained information for as many as 155 pesticide compounds and 85 VOCs. Minimum detection levels for the pesticide compounds ranged from 0.000057 to 0.021 microgram per liter (µg/L). For the VOCs, minimum detection levels ranged from 0.001 to 0.3 µg/L. Samples that did not have tritium data but had a detection of a pesticide compound or a VOC were considered to be potentially young groundwater. Samples that did not have tritium data but had a nitrate concentration greater than 1.3 milligrams of nitrogen per

liter (mg-N/L) also were considered to be potentially young groundwater. This concentration represents the 75th percentile concentration of nitrate in groundwater samples with tritium concentrations less than 0.5 TU. For comparison, Nolan and Hitt (2003) proposed a national background nitrate concentration of about 1 mg-N/L and Mueller and Helsel (1996) proposed a background concentration of 2 mg-N/L. Background nitrate concentrations in groundwater are likely to vary regionally and locally, but that variability was not taken into account in this study. Some samples were dated using chloroflurocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, or tritium/helium-3 (Hinkle and others, 2010), and those data were used to determine the presence of young groundwater in samples for which tritium data were unavailable. For samples that had no tritium, chlorofluorocarbon, sulfur-hexafluoride, and tritium/helium-3 data, or detections of a pesticide compound or VOC, and had a nitrate concentration of less than or equal to 1.3 mg-N/L, the age was considered to be potentially old. The criteria used to assign redox-age classes to groundwater samples are summarized in table 1.

The approach for classifying groundwater ages does not consider mixing, which is why the age determinations are qualified as being potentially old or young. It is likely that some groundwater classified as being potentially old contained a component of young groundwater, and vice versa (Weissmann and others, 2002; Manning and others, 2005; Plummer and others, 2008). For example, 54 percent of the samples that were classified as potentially old on the basis of tritium concentrations less than 0.5 TU would have been classified as potentially young using just the pesticide compound, VOC, and nitrate data. Twenty-one percent of the samples that were classified as being potentially young on the basis of tritium concentrations greater than or equal to 0.5 TU would have been classified as potentially old using just the pesticide compound, VOC, and nitrate data. Thus, some samples overlapped the age classes used in this report. Another potential limitation is that some VOCs could be present in groundwater recharged before 1950 either from natural sources or solvent and fuel use in the early 20th century. Chloroform, the most commonly detected VOC in the Nation's groundwater (Zogorski and others, 2006), has both natural and man-made sources (McCulloch, 2003). Although the presence of tritium, pesticide compounds, VOCs, or elevated concentrations of nitrate in a sample generally indicates that the sample contained a fraction of young groundwater (Plummer and others, 2008), it does not indicate how much. Techniques are available for estimating the fractions of old and young groundwater in samples (Plummer and others, 2003; Manning and others, 2005), but the data required for that analysis were not available for most of the samples used in this study. Ideally, one would analyze all the water samples for a comparable set of tracers that characterize groundwater

6 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

Table 1. Criteria used to assign redox-age classes to groundwater samples. Young groundwater is defined as water recharged since the early 1950s, and old groundwater is defined as water recharged before the early 1950s. Age classes are labeled as potentially young or old because some samples probably represent a mixture of ages and the fractions of young and old water in them are unknown.

 $[\geq$, greater than or equal to; >, greater than; \leq , less than or equal to; < less than; mg/L, milligrams per liter; mg-N/L, milligrams of nitrogen per liter; TU, tritium units]

Class	Criteria
	Redox class
Oxic	Dissolved oxygen $\geq 0.5 \text{ mg/L}$
Anoxic	Dissolved oxygen <0.5 mg/L
	Age class
Potentially young	(a) If tritium data are available
	Tritium concentration ≥0.5 TU
	(b) If no tritium data are available
	Detection of at least one pesticide compound or
	Detection of at least one volatile organic compound or
	Nitrate concentration >1.3 mg-N/L or
	Dated using chlorofluorocarbons, sulfur hexafluoride, or tritium/helium-3
Potentially old	(c) If tritium data are available
	Tritium concentration <0.5 TU
	(d) If no tritium, chlorofluorocarbon, sulfur hexafluoride, or tritium/helium-3 data are available
	No detection of pesticide compounds and
	No detection of volatile organic compounds and
	Nitrate concentration ≤ 1.3 mg-N/L

age at multiple time scales to distinguish between water that is completely old or young, or is mixed (Landon and others, 2010a). Despite the limitations of the approach used in this report to classify groundwater age, the approach still provides useful information at the regional scale examined in this report, as is described in the next section.

Evaluation of Redox-Age Classes

Two approaches were used to evaluate whether the redoxage classes described in table 1 could provide useful information on the susceptibility of principal aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations. In the first approach, redox-age classes assigned to water samples from as many as 6,489 wells were evaluated in relation to well depth, well type, and aquifer confinement to see if the redox-age classes made sense hydrologically. In the second approach, redox-age classes assigned to water samples from 1,111 trend wells that were sampled at near decadal time scales (Lindsey and Rupert, 2012) were evaluated in relation to changes in nitrate concentrations in those samples to determine which redox-age classes had the largest and smallest changes in nitrate concentrations.

Oxic-potentially young water was mostly associated with relatively shallow monitoring and domestic wells completed in unconfined aquifers, whereas anoxic-potentially old water was mostly associated with deeper domestic wells completed in unconfined and confined aquifers (table 2). In general, the median well depths associated with each of the four primary redox-age classes increased in the order of anoxic-potentially young, oxic-potentially young, oxic-potentially old, and anoxic-potentially old (table 2). The fact that potentially young water came from shallower wells than potentially old water makes sense hydrologically and is consistent with what is known about groundwater-age stratigraphy in the principal aquifers (McMahon and others, 2011; Puckett and others, 2011).

Within a given redox class, the difference in median well depths between age criteria (a) and (b) was smaller than the difference between criteria (c) and (d) (tables 1 and 2). This could mean that age criteria (a) and (b), used to classify potentially young water, are more comparable than age criteria (c) and (d), used to classify potentially old water. For potentially old water, the median well depth was 1.6 to 2.0 times greater for samples classified using criterion (c) than it was for samples classified using criterion (d). This could indicate that small nitrate concentrations and the absence of detections of pesticide compounds and VOCs (criterion (d)) is not always indicative of old water. In some instances, the smaller median well depth for samples classified using criterion (d) might indicate young groundwater that was not impacted by anthropogenic chemicals, in which case criterion (d) would overestimate the amount of potentially old water in an aquifer. For the purpose of characterizing the susceptibility of an aquifer to changes in nitrate concentrations, overestimation of the amount of potentially old water is probably less of an issue in anoxic water than oxic water because of the higher denitrification potential in

	Well depth below land surface	below face		We	Well type		Aquif	Aquifer confinement	nt
Redox-age class	Number of samples	Median (m)	Number of samples	Shallow monitoring wells (%)	Domestic wells (%)	Public- supply wells (%)	Number of samples	Confined (%)	l Unconfined (%)
Oxic-potentially young, criterion (a)	1,489	31	1,393	46	43	10	1,106	18.1	81.9
Oxic-potentially young, criterion (b)	2,540	22	2,380	46	41	13	1,720	12.5	87.5
Oxic-potentially old, criterion (c)	321	70	301	16	99	18	254	20.5	79.5
Oxic-potentially old, criterion (d)	319	43	305	25	55	20	181	21.5	78.5
Anoxic-potentially young, criterion (a)	470	18	428	49	43	8	312	24.4	75.6
Anoxic-potentially young, criterion (b)	761	19	732	45	42	14	493	26.8	73.2
Anoxic-potentially old, criterion (c)	267	93	249	12	61	27	202	77.7	22.3
Anoxic-potentially old, criterion (d)	322	46	313	24	55	20	197	33.5	66.5

Table 2. Redox-age classes for water samples collected from principal aquifers in the United States and median well depth, well type, and aquifer confinement.

8 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

anoxic water. For each redox class, the median well depth for potentially old water classified using criterion (d) still was larger than the median well depth for potentially young water, regardless of whether age criterion (a) or (b) was used to classify that water. Overall, age criterion (d) probably is indicative of old water in some instances and young water in others; of the eight possible redox-age classes (tables 1 and 2), the oxic-potentially old classification based on age criterion (d) probably has the greatest uncertainty with respect to characterizing aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations. The oxic-potentially old, criterion (d), redoxage class was assigned to 5 percent of the 6,593 samples used in this study.

Overall, samples classified as oxic-potentially young showed the largest changes in nitrate concentrations for pairs of samples collected at near decadal time scales, whereas samples classified as anoxic-potentially old showed the smallest changes (fig. 2). This result is consistent with what would be predicted on the basis of the discussions in the "Redox Classification" and "Groundwater-Age Classification" sections of this report. Changes in nitrate concentrations for the samples classified as oxic-potentially old and anoxicpotentially young were intermediate in scale. Despite the relatively large uncertainty in age that could be associated with the oxic-potentially old classification based on age criterion (d), it actually had a smaller interquartile range for changes in nitrate concentrations than the same redox-age class based on age criterion (c) (fig. 2). Although nitrate concentration was one of the criteria used to assign groundwater-age classes (table 1), it is unlikely that using nitrate-concentration data in this manner biased the comparison between redox-age classes and changes in nitrate concentrations in paired samples (fig. 2). Age classes were assigned to just one of the paired samples (typically the more recently collected sample), and then compared to *changes* in nitrate concentrations. A high nitrate concentration in a single groundwater sample may be an indicator of recently impacted groundwater, and that is the point of using it as an age indicator, but it is not a guarantee that changes in nitrate concentrations occurred at the time scale of the trends sampling.

In general, only the more recently collected sample was assigned a redox-age class for pairs of samples collected at near decadal time scales. An attempt was made to assign redox-age classes to both pairs of samples, but it quickly became apparent that the age assignments would not be comparable in most cases. This is because the paired samples usually were not analyzed for the same suite of pesticide compounds and VOCs, and often only one of the samples was analyzed for tritium.

Because data for dissolved oxygen typically were available for both pairs of samples, changes in nitrate concentrations were compared to changes in redox classification. The largest changes in nitrate concentrations occurred in pairs of samples that were both classified as oxic (fig. 3). This indicates that most of the changes in



Figure 2. Change in nitrate concentration for pairs of samples collected from selected wells in the United States at near decadal time scales in relation to the redox-age class of the more recently collected sample.



Figure 3. Change in nitrate concentration for pairs of samples collected from selected wells in the United States at near decadal time scales in relation to the change in redox condition.

nitrate concentrations were not a result of changes in redox conditions in the aquifer but were more likely a result of changing nitrogen inputs at the land surface and (or) changing fractions of young and old water in the sample pairs.

On the basis of the evaluation presented above, the redox-age classes in table 1 can provide useful information on aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations. For the remainder of this report, results for age criteria (a) and (b) were combined by redox class and the same was done for age criteria (c) and (d). This results in four redox-age classes (oxic-potentially young, oxic-potentially old, anoxicpotentially young, and anoxic-potentially old) instead of eight.

Relation Between Redox-Age Classes and Changes in Nitrate Concentrations in Trend-Well Networks

The data in figure 2 indicate a strong relation between redox-age class and the change in nitrate concentration in pairs of samples collected from individual wells at near decadal time scales. The relation between redox-age classes and changes in nitrate concentrations also was examined at the well-network level because the well networks, unlike single wells, were designed to be statistically representative of large aquifer areas. Lindsey and Rupert (2012) analyzed nitrate concentrations in water samples collected at near decadal time scales from 56 NAWQA well networks (fig. 4) and found statistically significant changes in concentrations at greater than a 90-percent confidence level in 18 (32 percent) of them. Lindsey and Rupert (2012) did not analyze nitrogen input histories in those 18 networks, but presumably the significant changes in nitrate concentrations were related to changes in nitrogen inputs at the land surface in some of them. Other factors such as variations in recharge rates, depth to groundwater, or pumping also could have affected nitrate concentrations (Rosen and others, 2008). Redox-age classes assigned to water samples from the LUS and MAS networks analyzed by Lindsey and Rupert (2012) are shown in table 3.

Results from 6 of 25 agricultural LUS networks for which near decadal changes could be evaluated showed significant increases in nitrate concentrations and 2 networks showed significant decreases (Lindsey and Rupert, 2012) (fig. 5 and table 3). Agricultural networks that showed significant increases in concentrations were located in the Central Valley aquifer system, Central glacial aquifers, Floridan aquifer system, Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aguifer system, and the South Platte River alluvial aquifer (fig. 4 and table 3). At least 75 percent of the samples in 7 of the 8 networks that showed significant changes in nitrate concentrations were classified as oxicpotentially young (fig. 5), and no more than 4 percent of the samples were classified as anoxic-potentially old (table 3). Samples from urban LUSs generally showed similar results, with 4 of 13 networks showing significant increases in nitrate concentrations and 1 showing a significant decrease. At least





75 percent of the samples in 4 of the 5 networks that showed significant changes in nitrate concentrations were classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 5), and no more than 5 percent of the samples were classified as anoxic-potentially old (table 3). For 11 of the 17 agricultural LUSs and 7 of the 8 urban LUSs that showed no significant changes in nitrate concentrations, at least 75 percent of their samples also were classified as oxic-potentially young (table 3). Only about 11 percent of the LUS networks studied by Lindsey and Rupert (2012) had more than 10 percent of their samples classified as potentially old (table 3), which is not surprising given that NAWQA land-use studies typically targeted the most recently recharged groundwater.

Three of 18 MASs showed significant increases in nitrate concentrations, and two showed significant decreases (Lindsey and Rupert, 2012) (fig. 4 and table 3). Networks that showed

significant increases in nitrate concentrations were located in the Central Valley, Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain, and Floridan aquifer systems. Only one of the MASs (acfbsus1) that showed a significant change in nitrate concentrations had more than 75 percent of the samples classified as oxicpotentially young. For the other four networks, 0 to 54 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 5 and table 3). On closer inspection, the LUS and MAS results are not necessarily inconsistent because most of the wells with large changes in nitrate concentrations in these MAS networks with significant changes were oxic-potentially young. For the two MAS networks (lirbsus1 and santsus2) that showed significant decreases in nitrate concentrations, 62 to 100 percent of the samples were classified as anoxic and 69 to 79 percent of the samples were classified as potentially old (table 3). The network-level changes in nitrate concentrations for lirbsus1 and santsus2 were -0.04 and -0.05 mg-N/L, respectively (fig. 5 and table 3), and the change in concentration for almost all the sample pairs was less than 0.1 mg-N/L (figs. 6A, B). More than 50 percent of the data were pairs of nondetects (Lindsey and Rupert, 2012). The one sample in those two networks than did show a relatively large change in concentration (greater than 1 mg-N/L) was classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 6B). The predominance of small changes in nitrate concentrations in these two networks would be expected for aquifers that contained large percentages of anoxic and (or) potentially old water. The two networks (sacrsus1 and dlmvsus1) that showed significant increases in nitrate concentrations, but for which the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young was less than 75 percent (48 to 54 percent), had larger absolute changes in nitrate concentrations (0.14 mg-N/L) than lirbsus1 and santsus2 (fig. 5). The concentration changes for sacrsus1 and dlmvsus1, however, were smaller than the change for the MAS network acfbsus1 (0.32 mg-N/L) that had more than 75 percent of its samples classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 5 and table 3). Closer inspection of the data from sacrsus1 and dlmvsus1 shows that most of the large changes in concentrations occurred in samples that were classified as oxic-potentially young (figs. 6C, D). Three samples from the dlmvsus1 study that were classified as anoxic-potentially young showed concentration changes of 4.9 to 7.5 mg-N/L (fig. 6D); redox conditions in these samples apparently were anoxic but did not result in complete

Two of the 13 MASs that showed no significant changes in nitrate concentrations had at least 75 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young (table 3). The remaining 11 networks had 32 to 71 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young. About 83 percent of the MAS networks studied by Lindsey and Rupert (2012) had more than 10 percent of their samples classified as potentially old, which is a considerably larger percentage than for the agricultural LUS networks. This finding was expected because wells used in the MAS networks typically were deeper than those used in the LUS networks.

denitrification.

The redox-age results for pairs of samples collected from individual wells show that the largest changes in nitrate concentrations primarily occurred in samples that were classified as oxic-potentially young (figs. 2 and 6). A generally similar pattern was observed when samples were aggregated to the level of well networks. For LUS and MAS networks that showed significant changes in nitrate concentrations, the median changes in concentrations were 0.28, 0.14, and -0.05 mg-N/L for networks that had at least 75 percent, at least 25 percent and less than 75 percent, and less than 25 percent of the samples classified as oxic-potentially young, respectively. On the basis of the data shown in figures 2 and 5, aquifer areas (as defined by well networks) in which at least 75 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young were considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations (fig. 5). Aquifer areas were considered to have a medium susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations if at least 25 percent and less than 75 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 5). Aquifer areas were considered to have a low susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations if less than 25 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 5). These definitions of high, medium, and low are used to characterize aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations throughout the remainder of the report. The degree of susceptibility is not intended to indicate that significant changes in concentrations of nitrate will or will not be detected in the future in those areas. Other factors such as nitrogen input history at the land surface, mixing, and lag times related to nitrate transport could cause nitrateconcentration trends to develop over longer time scales than the near decadal time scale examined by Lindsey and Rupert (2012), or not at all.

Redox-Age Classes in Principal Aquifers

The three primary well types sampled by NAWQA generally represent different depth zones and (or) areas of the principal aquifers. Shallow monitoring wells are completed near the water table, whereas domestic and public-supply wells are mostly completed in deeper zones in the aquifers. In this section of the report, redox-age classes assigned to networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas, domestic wells, and public-supply wells were used to characterize the susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations of these different depth zones and (or) areas of the principal aquifers. The susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations near the water table in urban areas is not considered here because of the generally small area represented by those networks of shallow monitoring wells, however, redox-age classes and susceptibility rankings for those networks are shown in the Appendix.

Susceptibility to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations Near the Water Table in Agricultural Areas

Redox-age classes were assigned to samples collected from 40 networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas (fig. 7 and table 4). Most networks (58 percent) had a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations because at least 75 percent of their samples were classified as oxicpotentially young. Only 10 percent of the networks had a low susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations because less than 25 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young (table 4).

12 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

 Table 3.
 Redox-age classes for water samples collected from selected well networks in the United States at near decadal time scales, median change in nitrate concentration for each network, and the statistical significance of the change in concentration.

[usg, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; scs, semiconsolidated sand; car, carbonate rock; bav, basaltic and other volcanic rock; san, sandstone; scr, sandstone and carbonate rock; alus, agricultural land-use study; mas, major-aquifer study; ulus, urban land-use study; mg-N/L, milligrams of nitrogen per liter; shading is used to differentiate between alus, mas, and ulus studies; bold indicates a statistically significant change in nitrate concentrations at greater than a 90-percent confidence level]

Aquifer number	Aquifer lithology	Aquifer name	Study type	Network name (number of wells)	Network identifier (see figure 4)
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	alus	sanjlusor2a (19)	tn1
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	alus	sanjlusor1a (17)	tn2
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	alus	sanjluscr1a (18)	tn3
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	alus	sacrluser1 (21)	tn4
4	usg	Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers	alus	ccptlusag2b (16)	tn5
4	usg	Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers	alus	ccptlusor1b (19)	tn6
8	usg	Rio Grande aquifer system	alus	riogluser1 (12)	tn7
8	usg	Rio Grande aquifer system	alus	rioglusag1 (25)	tn8
10	usg	Surficial aquifer system	alus	santluscr1 (19)	tn9
10	usg	Surficial aquifer system	alus	gaflluscr1 (20)	tn10
10	usg	Surficial aquifer system	alus	sofllusor1 (17)	tn11
11	usg	Willamette Lowland aquifer system	alus	willlusag3 (24)	tn12
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	alus	wmiclusag2 (26)	tn13
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	alus	whitluser1 (20)	tn14
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	alus	umisluser1 (22)	tn15
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	alus	eiwaluscr1 (30)	tn16
12w	gla	Western glacial aquifers	alus	pugtluscr1 (19)	tn17
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	alus	dlmvluscr1 (16)	tn18
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	alus	albelusag1 (12)	tn19
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	alus	acfbluscr3 (19) ¹	tn20
31	car	Ozark Plateaus aquifer system	alus	ozrklusag2a (20)	tn21
34		Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers	alus	potolusag1 (24)	tn22
36	car	Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer system			tn22
	bav	1 V	alus	usnkluser2 (26)	
36 ³	bav	Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer system	alus	usnkluser3 (28)	tn24
	usg	South Platte River alluvial aquifer	alus	spltluscr1 (29)	tn25
1	usg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	mas	nvbrsus2 (16)	tn26
1	usg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	mas	cazbsus1a(24)	tn27
2	usg	California Coastal Basin aquifers	mas	sanasus $2(14)$	tn28
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	mas	sanjsus1 (26)	tn29
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	mas	sacrsus1 (28)	tn30
5	usg	High Plains aquifer	mas	hpgwsus1a (30)	tn31
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	mas	lerisus1 (27)	tn32
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	mas	lirbsus1 (29)	tn33
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	mas	eiwasus2 (30)	tn34
13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	mas	trinsus3 (17)	tn35
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	mas	linjsus2 (24)	tn36
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	mas	dlmvsus1 (23)	tn37
18	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	mas	umissus3 (22)	tn38
18	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	mas	wmicsus1 (25)	tn39
24	scr	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	mas	sctxsus1 (23)	tn40
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	mas	acfbsus1 (20)	tn41
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	mas	santsus2 (29)	tn42
35	bav	Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock aquifer system	mas	ccptsus1b $(30)^2$	tn43
3	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	ulus	sacrlusrc1 (18)	tn44
8	usg	Rio Grande aquifer system	ulus	rioglusrc1 (10)	tn45
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	ulus	necblusrc1 (21)	tn46
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	ulus	uirblusrc1 (18)	tn47
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	ulus	lerilusrc1 (29)	tn48
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	ulus	umislusrc1 (26)	tn49
12w	gla	Western glacial aquifers	ulus	pugtlusrs1 (24)	tn50
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	ulus	linjlusrc1 (27)	tn51
16	SCS	Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer system	ulus	santlusrc1 (17)	tn52
24	scs	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	ulus	sctxlusrc1 (30)	tn52
27	car	Biscayne aquifer	ulus	soflusrc1a (17)	tn55
3		Alluvial aquifer in Memphis, Tennessee	ulus	miselusrc1 (20)	tn55
3	usg	Alluvial aquifers in the Colorado Rocky Mountains			
	usg	Anuvial aquiters in the Colorado Rocky Mountains	ulus	ucollusrc1 (16)	tn56

Relation Between Redox-Age Classes and Changes in Nitrate Concentrations in Trend-Well Networks 13

 Table 3.
 Redox-age classes for water samples collected from selected well networks in the United States at near decadal time scales,

 median change in nitrate concentration for each network, and the statistical significance of the change in concentration.—Continued

[usg, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; scs, semiconsolidated sand; car, carbonate rock; bav, basaltic and other volcanic rock; san, sandstone; scr, sandstone and carbonate rock; alus, agricultural land-use study; mas, major-aquifer study; ulus, urban land-use study; mg-N/L, milligrams of nitrogen per liter; shading is used to differentiate between alus, mas, and ulus studies; bold indicates a statistically significant change in nitrate concentrations at greater than a 90-percent confidence level]

Annifan	Re	edox-age class (pe	rcentage of samples)4		Data from Linds	sey and Rupert (2012)
Aquifer	Oxic-	Oxic-	Anoxic-	Anoxic-	Median change in nitrate	Statistical significance of
number	potentially young	potentially old	potentially young	potentially old	concentration (mg-N/L)	change in nitrate concentration
3	95	0	5	0	0.62	No change
3	88	6	6	0	0.07	No change
3	82	0	18	0	1.0	Increase
3	10	0	86	5	-0.04	No change
4	94	6	0	0	-0.77	No change
4	89	0	11	0	0.05	No change
8	75	8	17	0	-0.11	No change
8	40	8	48	4	0.13	No change
10	95	0	5	0	-0.63	Decrease
10	90	10	0	0	0.84	No change
10	41	0	53	6	-0.02	No change
11	46	0	54	0	-0.04	Decrease
12c	85	Ō	12	4	0.84	Increase
12c	45	0	50	5	0.00	No change
12wc	100	0	0	0	0.88	No change
12wc	97	3	Ő	Ő	-0.02	No change
12w	79	0	21	Ő	1.1	No change
15	56	ů 0	44	0	-0.10	No change
15	33	Ő	58	8	0.03	No change
29	89	11	0	Ő	0.29	Increase
31	81	0	19	0	0.02	No change
34	96	0	4	0	-0.09	No change
36	100	Ő	0	Ő	0.26	Increase
36	96	4	0	0	0.06	Increase
3	83	0	17	0	2.0	Increase
1	69	19	0	13	0.05	No change
1	38	63	0	0	0.14	No change
2	50	7	21	21	-0.05	No change
$\frac{2}{3}$	81	8	4	8	0.45	No change
3	54	18	25	4	0.14	Increase
5	43	57	0	0	0.01	No change
12c	33	22	19	26	0.01	No change
12c	0	0	21	20 79	-0.04	Decrease
12wc	67	27	3	3	-0.04	No change
12wc 13	35	59	6	0	0.00	No change
15	71	0	13	17	-0.01	No change
15	48	0	52	0	-0.01 0.14	Increase
18	55	9	32	5	-0.04	No change
18	32	4	32	28	0.01	No change
24	91	4 0	9	28 0	-0.04	No change
24 29	100	0	0	0	-0.04 0.32	e
29 29	100	28	21	0 41	-0.05	Increase Decrease
35	10 69	28 7	3	21	0.02	
35	83	0	17	0	-0.03	No change No change
8	30	0	30	40	0.05	U
o 12e	90	0		40 0	- 0.09	No change Decrease
12e 12c	90		10	0	-0.09 -0.01	
12c 12c	93 72	0 0	7 28	0	-0.01 0.28	No change Increase
	88	0	28 12			Increase
12wc 12w	88 92	0	4	0 4	0.04 0.18	No change
15	93 82	0	7	0	-0.09	No change
16 24	82	12	6	0	0.04	No change
	100	0	0	0	0.36	Increase
27	82	6	12	0	0.02	No change
3 3	75	0	20	5	0.21	Increase
3	75	6	19	0	-0.03	No change

¹Network has wells in the Floridan and Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer systems.

²Network has wells in the Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock and basin-fill aquifer systems.

³Network not in a principal aquifer.

⁴Redox-age classes where determined for the more recently collected samples in each network, and redox-age percentages may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding.



Figure 5. Median change in nitrate concentration in relation to the percentage of samples that were classified as oxic-potentially young in well networks that were sampled at near decadal timescales (concentration data from Lindsey and Rupert, 2012), and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations.

For principal aquifers that had at least 2 networks of wells, median percentages of samples classified as oxicpotentially young ranged from about 57 to 96 percent (fig. 8). On the basis of these data, for the parts of aquifers near the water table in agricultural areas, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers, Eastern glacial aquifers, and the West-central glacial aquifers (fig. 8). None of the aquifers had a low susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations, which would be indicated by a median percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young that was less than 25 percent. The High Plains aquifer had the highest median percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially old, which generally reflects the low organic-carbon content of sediment and relatively low recharge rates in the aquifer (McMahon and others, 2007). The Central Valley and Surficial aquifer systems had the highest median percentages of samples classified as anoxic-potentially young (fig. 8). Only the Rio Grande aquifer system and the Central glacial aquifers had median percentages of samples classified as anoxic-potentially old that were greater than zero.

Although the median percentages in figure 8 provide a general comparison of redox-age classes between principal aquifers, they do not indicate the substantial redox-age variability that can occur within an aquifer. For the three well networks in the Central Valley aguifer system, the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young ranged from 13 to 90 percent (table 4 and fig. 9), and the percentage of samples classified as anoxic-potentially young ranged from 10 to 83 percent. In the High Plains aquifer, median percentages of samples classified as oxic-potentially old ranged from 10 to 41 percent (fig. 9). In the West-central glacial aquifer, median percentages of samples classified as anoxic-potentially old ranged from 0 to 35 percent (fig. 9). Large intraaquifer redoxage variability was observed in most of the aquifers that had multiple networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas (table 4).

Distinct patterns in the spatial distribution of networklevel susceptibilities are apparent in some of the aquifers. Networks in the Central glacial aquifers of Indiana and parts of southern Michigan and Wisconsin had medium susceptibilities, whereas networks in glacial aquifers to the



Figure 6. Concentrations of nitrate in pairs of samples collected from selected networks of major-aquifer study wells in the United States at near decadal time scales; (*A*) lirbsus1, (*B*) santsus2, (*C*) sacrsus1, and (*D*) dlmvsus1 networks.



Figure 7. Central locations of networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations.

				Montoll	Redox-a	ige class (per	Redox-age class (percentage of samples) 3	mples) ³	Susceptibility
Aquifer A	Aquifer lithology	Aquifer name	Network name	identifier	Oxic- notentially	0xic- notentially	Anoxic- notentially	Anoxic- notentially	to changes in nitrate
	Guindy			(see figure 7)	young	old	young	old	concentrations
	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrlusag1 (13)	aml	15	15	31	38	Low
	gsn	Central Valley aquifer system	sanjlusor1b (10)	am2	90	0	10	0	High
	gsn	Central Valley aquifer system	sanjluscr1b (10)	am3	60	0	40	0	Medium
	nsg	Central Valley aquifer system	sacrluscr1 (30)	am4	13	0	83	m	Low
	nsg	Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers	ccptlusag2b (27)	am5	93	4	4	0	High
	nsg	Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers	ccptlusor1b (25)	am6	88	0	12	0	High
	usg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwlusag3 (30)	am7	90	10	0	0	High
	usg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwlusag1 (27)	am8	74	26	0	0	Medium
	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwlusag2 (27)	am9	59	41	0	0	Medium
	nsg	Rio Grande aquifer system	riogluscr1 (76)	am10	87	1	12	0	High
	nsg	Rio Grande aquifer system	rioglusag1 (34)	am11	32	6	50	6	Medium
10	gsn	Surficial aquifer system	santluscr1 (30)	am12	93	0	7	0	High
10	gsn	Surficial aquifer system	sofilusor1 (38)	am13	21	0	76	c	Low
2e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	hdsnlusag1 (12)	am14	100	0	0	0	High
2e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	connlusag1 (32)	am15	91	ŝ	9	0	High
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lirbluscr1 (22)	am16	95	0	5	0	High
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lirbluscr2 (25)	am17	88	0	12	0	High
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	wmiclusag2 (29)	am18	86	0	10	ŝ	High
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	miamluscr1 (21)	am19	86	0	14	0	High
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	wmiclusag1a (23)	am20	74	4	13	6	Medium
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	whitluscr2 (20)	am21	65	10	20	5	Medium
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	uirbluscr1 (29)	am22	59	0	41	0	Medium
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	leriluscr1 (30)	am23	57	13	30	0	Medium
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers		am24	50	0	46	4	Medium
2c	gla	Central glacial aquifers		am25	46	0	50	4	Medium
2wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	-	am26	100	0	0	0	High
2wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	-	am27	97	τΩ -	0	0	High
2wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers		am28	90 0	0	10	0	High
2wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers		am29	88	0	12	0	High
2wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers		am30	$\frac{15}{15}$	S	45	35	Low
2w	gla	Western glacial aquifers		am31	75	0	25	0	High
13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	acadluscr1 (21)	am32	100	0	0	0	High
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	_	am33	100	0	0	0	High
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	dlmvluscr1 (27)	am34	67	0	33	0	Medium
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquiter system	albelusag1 (30)	am35	50	0	43	7	Medium
5	scr	Mississippian aquifers		am36	97	0	ς Ω	0	High
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	acfbluscr3 (24) ¹	am37	92	~~~	0	0 0	High
ء د 	gsn	Denver Basin alluvial aquiters	spltluscr2 (21)	am38	100	0 0	0 <u>i</u>	0 0	High
	nsg	South Platte Kiver alluvial aquiter	spltiuscr1 (30)	am39	83 62		1/	0 0	High
	asn							-	

Table 4. Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations (only networks with at least 10 wells are listed).

³Redox-age percentages may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding. ²Network not in a principal aquifer.

18 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010





east and west had high susceptibilities. The areas of medium susceptibility in Indiana had relatively high percentages of samples classified as anoxic-potentially young compared to the surrounding networks with high susceptibilities (fig. 7 and table 4). This difference in redox-age classes between the two areas could indicate shallower depths to water and (or) finer grained sediment in the medium-susceptibility area relative to the high-susceptibility areas, both of which could result in anoxic groundwater. Other studies have reported an increase in concentrations of dissolved organic carbon, probably from the soil zone, and a decrease in concentrations of dissolved oxygen in groundwater with decreasing depths to the water table (Pabich and others, 2001; McMahon and Chapelle, 2008). In the High Plains aquifer, network susceptibility decreased from high in the north to medium in the central and southern parts of the aquifer (fig. 7). This change in

susceptibility corresponds to a north-to-south increase in the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially old (fig. 9 and table 4), an increase that is probably related to the north-to-south decrease in recharge and increase in depth to the water table (McMahon and others, 2007). In the Central Valley aquifer system, network susceptibility increased from low in the north to medium and high in the south (fig. 7). The percentage of samples classified as anoxic-potentially young in the northern network was about 2 to 8 times greater than the percentages in the southern networks where oxic-potentially young groundwater predominated (fig. 9 and table 4). The common occurrence of anoxic groundwater in the north may be related to the much shallower depths to the water table in the northern network of wells (median depth 1.1 m) than in the southern networks (median depths 14 to 20 m). Redox-age classes were assigned to samples collected from 105 networks of domestic wells (fig. 10 and table 5). Thirty-one percent of the networks were considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations and 17 percent of the networks were considered to have low susceptibilities (table 5). In comparison, 58 percent of the networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas were considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations and 10 percent were considered to have a low susceptibility.

For principal aguifers that had at least 2 networks of domestic wells, the median percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young ranged from about 6 to 100 percent (fig. 11), compared to about 57 to 96 percent for the shallow monitoring wells (fig. 8). For the parts of aquifers that provide domestic water supplies, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system and the Early Mesozoic Basin, Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock, and Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers in the eastern United States; the Ozark Plateaus aguifer system in parts of Missouri and Arkansas; and the Central Valley, Columbia Plateau basalticrock, and Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer systems in the West (figs. 10 and 11). For this analysis, western states are considered to be those located west of Minnesota, Iowa, Missouri, Arkansas, and Louisiana. The least susceptible aquifers were the Texas Coastal Uplands and Denver Basin aquifer systems (figs. 10 and 11).

Relatively large intraaquifer variability in redox-age classes was observed in some of the principal aquifers. For the five well networks sampled in the Floridan aquifer system, the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young ranged from 10 to 100 percent (fig. 12). Aquifer confinement probably is an important control on redox-age variability in the Floridan aquifer system. More than 90 percent of the wells in network santsus2 were completed in the confined part of the aquifer and only 10 percent of its samples were classified as oxic-potentially young (table 5). Only 20 percent of the wells in network acfbsus1 were completed in the confined part of the aquifer and 100 percent of its samples were classified as oxicpotentially young. The Central glacial aquifers also showed large redox-age variability (fig. 12), which could be attributed to the diversity of depositional environments represented by well networks in those aquifers. Wells in network uirbsus1 were completed in glacial-moraine sands and gravels and 67 percent of their samples were classified as oxic-potentially young. Wells in network uirbsus2 were completed in glacial-till deposits and 48 percent of their samples were classified as oxic-potentially young. Wells in network lirbsus1 were completed in confined buried-bedrock-valley deposits and 0 percent of their samples were classified as oxic-potentially young. Not all of the aquifers exhibited large variability in redox-age classes. Networks in the Columbia Plateau and Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aguifers had consistently high percentages of samples classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 12). In contrast, networks in the Texas Coastal Uplands aquifer system had consistently low percentages of samples classified as oxic-potentially young. The number of well networks in each of those aquifers, however, was relatively small compared to the Floridan aquifer system and Central glacial aquifers (fig. 12).



Figure 9. Percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas in the Central Valley aquifer system, High Plains aquifer, and the West-central glacial aquifers, and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations.





[usg, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; scs, semiconsolidated sand; san, sandstone; scr, sandstone and carbonate rock; car, carbonate rock; bav, basaltic and other volcanic rock; cry, crystalline rock; shading is used to differentiate between aquifer lithologies]

					Network	Redox-a	ige class (pe	Redox-age class (percentage of samples) ⁵	amples) ⁵	- Suscentibility to
ustBrain and Ruge basineli al aquitescochesis (13)dg 7 0 0 ustBrain and Ruge basineli al aquitescochesis (13)dg7 0 0ustBrain and Ruge basineli al aquitespassal (17)dg7 0 00Brain and Ruge basineli al aquitespassal (17)dggg000Brain and Ruge basineli al aquitespassal (12)dgggg000Brain advitesbasine and suge basineli aquitespassal (12)dggg <t< th=""><th>Aquifer number</th><th>Aquifer lithology</th><th>Aquifer name</th><th>Network name (number of wells)</th><th>identifier (see figure 10)</th><th>Oxic- potentially voung</th><th>Oxic- potentially old</th><th>Anoxic- potentially voung</th><th>Anoxic- potentially old</th><th>changes in nitrate concentrations</th></t<>	Aquifer number	Aquifer lithology	Aquifer name	Network name (number of wells)	identifier (see figure 10)	Oxic- potentially voung	Oxic- potentially old	Anoxic- potentially voung	Anoxic- potentially old	changes in nitrate concentrations
estBistin and Kange basie fil aquifesexchoss 10 (1)ddggg06Bistin and Kange basie fil aquifespissis 1 (1)ddgggg06Bistin and Kange basie fil aquifespissis 1 (1)ddggggg06Bistin and Kange basie fil aquifespissis 1 (1)ddgggggg06Cannol Valley aquifer systemsmiller fil (2)dggg <td< td=""><td>1</td><td>usg</td><td>Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers</td><td>cazbsus3 (15)</td><td>dl</td><td>93</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>0</td><td>High</td></td<>	1	usg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	cazbsus3 (15)	dl	93	7	0	0	High
000000000000000000000000000000000000	_	use	Basin and Range basin-fill aguifers	cazhsus1h (17)	d2	82	0	18	0	High
		Bon	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	cazhsus2. (22)	۲ دل		18	, v	0	High
By Basin and Range beam-fill aquifesmethods	-	B	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	ersisusta (17)	d4	71	29	. 0	0	Medium
Bis and Parage Isstan-III and itedcontrolcontrol 6 5 5 5 0 0 0 Control Alley opticity systemcontrol Alley opticity systemcontrol Alley opticity system 0		nse	Basin and Range basin-fill aguifers	grassus1b (16)	d5	63	13	19	9	Medium
useBest mad Range basen fill audrerseaches la (1) (7) (3) </td <td>-</td> <td>nsg</td> <td>Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers</td> <td>nvbrsus3 (10)</td> <td>d6</td> <td>50</td> <td>50</td> <td>0</td> <td>0</td> <td>Medium</td>	-	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrsus3 (10)	d6	50	50	0	0	Medium
usisCentral Malley autifier systemanijhaseri a (2) (3)		asn	Basin and Range basin-fill aguifers	cazbsus1a (21)	d7	38	57	0	5	Medium
	С	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	sanilusor1a (28)	d8	93	4	4	0	High
	С	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	sanjlusor2a (26)	6р	92	0	∞	0	High
$\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Central Valles against (s2)d118166 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Central Valles against (sectoracresus (L2)d1181666 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeplants aquifepressus (23)d13000000 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d1300000000 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d13010000000 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d13d13d14d14000000 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d13d13d14d14d111 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d13d13d14d14d14d14d14 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d13d13d14d14d14d14d14d14d14 $\alpha_{\rm eff}$ Plants aquifeppessus (23)d23d23d23d14 </td <td>С</td> <td>usg</td> <td>Central Valley aquifer system</td> <td>sanjluscr1a (24)</td> <td>d10</td> <td>83</td> <td>0</td> <td>13</td> <td>4</td> <td>High</td>	С	usg	Central Valley aquifer system	sanjluscr1a (24)	d10	83	0	13	4	High
use to Control Multy aquifer systemcontrastal (2)d123815234use to BHigh Plans aquifer High Plans aquifer to BHigh Plans aquifer hypewast 10(1)11310001000use to BHigh Plans aquifer High Plans aquifer to BHigh Plans aquifer hypewast 10(1)1131030010000use to BHigh Plans aquifer High Plans aquifer to BHigh Plans aquifer hypewast 10(1)113114100100000000000use to BHigh Plans aquifer hypewast 11High Plans aquifer hypewast 20)113114110110110111111111use to BKof Crande aquifer system to use Staficial aquifer system to use BMilast 10(2)213<	3	nsg	Central Valley aquifer system	sanjsus 1 (32)	d11	81	9	9	9	High
useColumbia Placen basi-fill aquiferscollongia (12)d13100000useHigh Plans aquiferhigh watchhigh w	С	gsn	Central Valley aquifer system	sacrsus 1 (26)	d12	58	15	23	4	Medium
useHigh Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer useHigh Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer useHigh Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer useHigh Plans aquifer high Plans Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer high Plans aquifer high Plans Pl	4	nsg	Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers	ccptlusag2a (12) ¹	d13	100	0	0	0	High
uegHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer uegHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer tigg Plans aquifer system tiggs Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer system tiggs Plans aquifer systemHigh Plans aquifer system tiggs Plans P	5	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwsus2 (20)	d14	90	0	10	0	High
usgHigh Plains aquifer high Plains aquifer usgHigh Plains aquifer high statist aduit bigs sust of collHigh Plains aquifer high statist aduit high statist aduit high Plains aquifer bigs sust of collHigh Plains aquifer high statist aduit high statist aduit high valuesHigh Plains aquifer high statistHigh Plains high PlainsHigh Plains high statistHigh P	5	gsn	High Plains aquifer	hpgwsus1b (46)	d15	70	26	4	0	Medium
Big is <br< td=""><td>5</td><td>nsg</td><td>High Plains aquifer</td><td>hpgwsus1a (74)</td><td>d16</td><td>46</td><td>53</td><td>1</td><td>0</td><td>Medium</td></br<>	5	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwsus1a (74)	d16	46	53	1	0	Medium
use is High Plains aquifer use is Withern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system use is Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system use is Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system use is Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system is so Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Rasks (20) is so Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Rasks (20) is so Northern Rocky Mountains Rasks (20) is so Northern Rocky Mountains Rasks (20) is so Northern Rocky Mountains Rasks (20) is	5	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwsus1c (108)	d17	45	45	4	9	Medium
usg usg big Plains aquifer usgHigh Plains aquifer soundHigh Plains aquifer soundHigh Plains aquifer soundHigh Plains aquifer soundHigh Plains aquifer soundHigh Plains aquifer soundHigh Plains soundHigh Plains soundHigh Plains soundHigh PlainsHigh Plains soundHigh PlainsHigh Plains soundHigh PlainsHigh Plains	5	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwsus4 (30)2	d18	43	23	23	10	Medium
useNorthern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer systemnoksual (29)d205528143useRo Grande aquifer systemnocsua2 (23)d21543970useShade River Plain basin-fill aquifersnocsua2 (23)d23d2442147useShade River Plain basin-fill aquifersnocsua2 (30)d2413153327useShade River Plain basin-fill aquiferssofhaus (30)d2413103527useglaEastern glacial aquiferswilkus (66)d25525527useglaEastern glacial aquiferswilkus (63)d27d27d27d27d2d2d2useglaEastern glacial aquiferswilkus (53)d27d27d27d27d2d2d2d2useglaEastern glacial aquifersuirbus (23)d27d27d27d23d2d2d2d2useglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbus (23)d27d23d26d2d2d2d2d2usecentral glacial aquifersuirbus (23)d23d23d23d2d2d2d2d2d2d2d2useglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbus (23)d23d23d2d2d2d2d2d2d2d2useglaCentral glacial aquifers	5	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwsus5 (27)	d19	41	37	11	11	Medium
ugNorthern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer systemnroksus $2(8)$ d21543970ugRock Grande aquifer systemningsus (24) d22d423d471ugSnake Rycer Plain beam-fill aquifer systemningsus (24) d23d4032d47ugSurficial aquifer systemusgSurficial aquifer systemusflasset (30) d24131032d47uegaEastern glacial aquifer systemwillsus (66) d255253277uegaEastern glacial aquifer systemwillsus (66) d255253277uegaEastern glacial aquifer systemwillsus (66) d25d23d44377uegaEastern glacial aquifer systemwillsus (23) d23d23d44377uegaCentral glacial aquiferuifer systemuifbasu (27) d33d23d33d5765uegaCentral glacial aquiferuifbasu (27) d33d33d6d33d5d33d6d33d5uegaCentral glacial aquiferuifbasu (27) d33d33d6d33d6d33d5d5d3d3d5uegaCentral glacial aquifersuifbasu (27) d33d33d6d33d6d3d6d3 <td>7</td> <td>nsg</td> <td>Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system</td> <td>nroksus1 (29)</td> <td>d20</td> <td>55</td> <td>28</td> <td>14</td> <td>ŝ</td> <td>Medium</td>	7	nsg	Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system	nroksus1 (29)	d20	55	28	14	ŝ	Medium
use BRio Grande aquifer sorten weigRio Grande aquifer system use State Rule Rev Plain basin-fill aquifers baserio gal softsus 2 (3) d_{22} d_{4} 21 d_{4} 71 1use use SSmake Rule Rule Royter Milamette Lowland aquifers systemsoftsus 2 (3) d_{23} d_{4} 13 10 30 27 2glaEastern glacial aquifers a gracial aquiferssoftsus 2 (3) d_{23} d_{24} 13 10 50 27 2glaEastern glacial aquifersoffsus 2 (3) d_{27} 50 33 8 8 2glaCentral glacial aquifersdimsus 2 (2) d_{23} d_{23} d_{4} 17 65 2glaCentral glacial aquifersuibsus (2) d_{23} d_{23} d_{33} d_{4} 17 65 2glaCentral glacial aquifersuibsus (2) d_{23} d_{23} d_{4} 13 d_{33} d_{4} 2central glacial aquifersuibsus (2) d_{23} d_{23} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} 2central glacial aquifersuibsus (2) d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} 2central glacial aquifersuibsus (2) d_{33} d_{33} d_{33} d_{34} d_{34} d_{34} d_{35} d_{34} 2central glacial aq	7	nsg	Northern Rocky Mountains Intermontaine Basins aquifer system	nroksus2 (28)	d21	54	39	7	0	Medium
usgSmake River Plain basin-fill aquifersusnkluscr1 (25)d236403241usgWillmatte Lovidar systemuslkluscr1 (25)d24131050272glaEastern glacial aquifers systemwillmus1 (60)d255743272glaEastern glacial aquiferswillmus1 (60)d255743272glaEastern glacial aquifersuinsus2 (30)d265743272glaCentral glacial aquifersuinbuus1 (27)d205743772glaCentral glacial aquifersuinbuus1 (27)d20d23338882central glacial aquifersuinbuus1 (27)d20d23d315703302central glacial aquifersuinbuus1 (27)d30d57033272central glacial aquifersuinbuus1 (30)d33d33d333302central glacial aquifersuinbuus2 (30)d34d4317d52glaCentral glacial aquifersuinbuus2 (30)d34d430272central glacial aquifersuinbuus2 (30)d34d43023272central glacial aquifersuinbuus2 (23)d33d33d33d3d3d3d32central glacial aquifersuinbuus2 (30)d34 <t< td=""><td>8</td><td>nsg</td><td>Rio Grande aquifer system</td><td>riogsus1 (24)</td><td>d22</td><td>4</td><td>21</td><td>4</td><td>71</td><td>Low</td></t<>	8	nsg	Rio Grande aquifer system	riogsus1 (24)	d22	4	21	4	71	Low
uggSurficial aquifer systemsoffsus 2 (3)d2413105027uggEastern glacial aquifer systemwillsus 1 (6)d25574327eglaEastern glacial aquifersconnsus 2 (3)d26574327eglaEastern glacial aquifersdelnsus 3 (2)d27503388eglaEastern glacial aquifersdelnsus 2 (3)d28500437eglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (3)d291341765cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (3)d291341765cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (2)d33481765cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (2)d33481765cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (2)d33481765cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (2)d33481765cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (3)d33d3397cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (3)d33d33027cglaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus 2 (3)d33d33d333227cglaCentral glacial aquiferserroset (30)d34d3d32020	6	nsg	Snake River Plain basin-fill aquifers	usnkluscr1 (25)	d23	64	0	32	4	Medium
usgWillametre Lowland aquifer systemwillsus 1 (66) $d25$ 52 5 32 12 glaEastern glacial aquifersEastern glacial aquifers $delrsus 2(28)$ $d26$ 57 4 32 7 glaEastern glacial aquifers $delrsus 2(3)$ $d29$ 57 4 32 7 glaEastern glacial aquifers $delrsus 2(3)$ $d29$ 13 4 17 65 glaEastern glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(27)$ $d29$ 13 4 17 65 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(27)$ $d20$ 67 0 33 0 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 2(25)$ $d23$ 48 17 65 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 2(23)$ $d33$ 48 17 65 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 2(23)$ $d33$ 48 12 27 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(30)$ $d34$ 43 0 27 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(30)$ $d35$ 39 20 27 27 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(30)$ $d36$ 43 23 27 27 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(30)$ $d36$ 43 23 27 27 glaCentral glacial aquifers $uirbsus 1(30)$ $d36$ 43 23 27 28 glaWest-central glacial aqu	10	nsg	Surficial aquifer system	softsus2 (30)	d24	13	10	50	27	Low
glaEastern glacial aquifersconnsus2 (28)d26574327glaEastern glacial aquifersadmsus3 (12)d27503388glaEastern glacial aquifersadmsus3 (23)d291341765glaEastern glacial aquifersalmsus2 (28)d30d570330437glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus1 (27)d3067033007glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (28)d3157023441765glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (28)d3157023007glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d334412202014glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d33d3348122020glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d33d344305714glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d33d33303327glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30)d35d33303737glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (20)d37002327glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (20)d37002327glaWest-central glacial aquiferslerisus1 (20)d37	11	nsg	Willamette Lowland aquifer system	willsus1 (66)	d25	52	5	32	12	Medium
gla Eastern glacial aquifers delrsus $3(12)$ d_27 50 33 8 8 gla Eastern glacial aquifers dimensus (30) d_{28} 50 0 43 7 gla Eastern glacial aquifers hasnes $2(3)$ d_{29} 13 4 17 65 gla Central glacial aquifers uirbsus (27) d_{31} 57 0 29 14 17 65 gla Central glacial aquifers uirbsus (23) d_{31} 57 0 29 14 17 65 gla Central glacial aquifers uirbsus (23) d_{31} 67 0 29 14 17 65 gla Central glacial aquifers uirbsus (23) d_{31} 48 12 20 20 20 gla Central glacial aquifers uirbsus (23) d_{32} 48 12 20 20 14 13 20 20 20 21 20 213 2	12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	connsus2 (28)	d26	57	4	32	7	Medium
glaEastern glacial aquifersalmnus2 (30) $d28$ 500 43 7glaCentral glacial aquifershdsnsus3 (23) $d29$ 1341765glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus1 (27) $d30$ 670330glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (28) $d31$ 5702914glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (25) $d33$ 48122020glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (25) $d33$ 480507glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23) $d33$ 480507glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23) $d33$ 480507glaCentral glacial aquiferslerispcg1 (21) $d35$ 30202327glaCentral glacial aquiferslerispcg1 (23) $d35$ 302327glaCentral glacial aquiferslerispcg1 (23) $d36$ 434305714glaCentral glacial aquiferslerispcg1 (23) $d37$ 002377glaCentral glacial aquifershgwsus4 (30)' $d36$ 434323232333glaWest-central glacial aquiferslerispcg1 (23) $d37$ 0253333glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus2 (26) $d37$ 02323	12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	delrsus3 (12)	d27	50	33	∞ ;	8	Medium
glaEastern glacial aquifershdsnuss3 (23)d291341765glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (28)d30670330glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (28)d315702914glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d3348122020glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d3348122020glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d34430507glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30)d3530202327glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30)d353020333777glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (20)d3700232777glaCentral glacial aquiferslirbsus1 (26)d37002327glaVest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus2 (32)d3869233333glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus2 (32)d37002377glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus2 (32)d39d3943232310glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus2 (23)d37002377glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus2 (23)d39d39232310gla	12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	almnsus2 (30)	d28	50	0	43	7	Medium
glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus1 (27)d30670330glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (28)d315702914glaCentral glacial aquiferswincsus2 (25)d3248122020glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d33480520glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23)d33430520glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30)d3530202327glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30)d3519105714glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (20)d37002327glaCentral glacial aquiferslirbsus1 (26)d37002327glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus1 (26)d37002377glaWest-central glacial aquifershpgwsus4 (30) ² d39d323232333glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus1 (26)d37002377glaWest-central glacial aquifershpgwsus4 (30) ² d39d33232323333333glaWest-central glacial aquifershpgwsus4 (30) ² d39d39d32232310glaWest-central glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29)d40100 <td< td=""><td>12e</td><td>gla</td><td>Eastern glacial aquifers</td><td>hdsnsus3 (23)</td><td>d29</td><td>13</td><td>4</td><td>17</td><td>65</td><td>Low</td></td<>	12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	hdsnsus3 (23)	d29	13	4	17	65	Low
gla Central glacial aquifers lirbsus2 (28) d31 57 0 29 14 gla Central glacial aquifers wicesus2 (25) d32 48 12 20 20 gla Central glacial aquifers wicesus2 (23) d33 48 0 55 7 7 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus1 (30) d34 43 0 50 77 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus1 (30) d35 19 10 57 14 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus2 (21) d36 19 10 57 14 gla West-central glacial aquifers hpgwsus4 (30) ² d38 69 25 3 77 gla West-central glacial aquifers putters hpgwsus4 (30) ² d39 40 10 0 50 40 gla West-central glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7 gla West-central glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7 gla West-central glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7 gla West-central glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	uirbsus1 (27)	d30	67	0	33	0	Medium
gla Central glacial aquifers wencesus (25) d 32 48 12 20 20 gla Central glacial aquifers wencesus (30) d 33 48 12 20 20 gla Central glacial aquifers miamsus (30) d 33 48 0 52 7 0 57 7 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus (30) d 33 19 10 57 14 23 27 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus (30) d 33 19 10 57 14 33 30 20 23 77 gla West-central glacial aquifers hpgwsus $(30)^2$ d 33 69 25 3 10 gla West-central glacial aquifers putters hpgwsus $(30)^2$ d 33 d 33 27 0 0 7 0 50 40 gla West-central glacial aquifers putters hggwsus $(30)^2$ d 39 d 40 10 0 50 40 gla West-central glacial aquifers glacial aquifers for the west-central glacial aquifers for the west	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lirbsus2 (28)	d31	57	0	29	14	Medium
glaCentral glacial aquifersuirbsus2 (23) d33480520glaCentral glacial aquifersmiamsus1 (30) d34430507glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30) d34430507glaCentral glacial aquiferslerisus1 (30) d353020232714glaCentral glacial aquiferslirbsus1 (20) d3700237714glaWest-central glacial aquiferslirbsus1 (20) d3700237713glaWest-central glacial aquifershpgwus4 $(30)^2$ d386925333glaWest-central glacial aquifersrednsus2 (10) d40100504010glaWest-central glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29) d41721010710glaWest-central glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29) d41721010710glaWest-central glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29) d41721010710glaWest-central glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29) d41721010710glaWest-central glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29) d41721010710	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	wmicsus2 (25)	d32	48	12	20	20	Medium
gla Central glacial aquifers miamsus I (30) d34 43 0 50 7 1 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus I (30) d35 30 20 23 27 1 gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus I (21) d35 19 10 57 14 1 gla Central glacial aquifers lirbsus I (26) d37 0 0 23 77 14 gla West-central glacial aquifers lirbsus I (26) d37 0 0 23 77 14 1 gla West-central glacial aquifers eiwasus 2 (32) d38 69 25 3 3 3 3 gla West-central glacial aquifers rednsus 2 (10) d40 10 0 50 40 10 10 10 13 gla West-central glacial aquifers rednsus 2 (10) d40 10 0 50 40 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <td>12c</td> <td>gla</td> <td>Central glacial aquifers</td> <td>uirbsus2 (23)</td> <td>d33</td> <td>48</td> <td>0</td> <td>52</td> <td>0</td> <td>Medium</td>	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	uirbsus2 (23)	d33	48	0	52	0	Medium
gla Central glacial aquifers lerisus1 (30) d35 30 20 23 27 1 gla Central glacial aquifers lerispcg1 (21) d36 19 10 57 14 1 gla Central glacial aquifers lirbsus1 (26) d37 0 0 23 77 14 gla West-central glacial aquifers lirbsus1 (26) d37 0 0 23 77 13 gla West-central glacial aquifers eiwasus2 (32) d38 69 25 3 3 10 10 1 gla West-central glacial aquifers rednsus2 (10) d40 10 0 50 40 10 10 10 1 gla Westerm glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7 10 10 10 10 10 7 10 10 7 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 <t< td=""><td>12c</td><td>gla</td><td>Central glacial aquifers</td><td>miamsus1 (30)</td><td>d34</td><td>43</td><td>0</td><td>50</td><td>7</td><td>Medium</td></t<>	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	miamsus1 (30)	d34	43	0	50	7	Medium
gla Central glacial aquifers lerispcg1 (21) d36 19 10 57 14 1 gla Central glacial aquifers lirbsus1 (26) d37 0 0 23 77 13 gla West-central glacial aquifers lirbsus1 (26) d37 0 0 23 77 13 gla West-central glacial aquifers eiwasus2 (32) d38 69 25 3 3 10 13 gla West-central glacial aquifers rednsus2 (10) d40 10 0 50 40 10	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lerisus1 (30)	d35	30	20	23	27	Medium
gla Central glacial aquifers lirbsus1 (26) d37 0 0 23 77 1 gla West-central glacial aquifers eiwasus2 (32) d38 69 25 3 3 3 gla West-central glacial aquifers hpgwsus4 (30) ² d39 43 23 23 10 1 gla West-central glacial aquifers rednsus2 (10) d40 10 0 50 40 1 gla Western glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7 1 gla gla Western glacial aquifers cooksus1a (21) d42 52 0 38 10 1	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lerispcg1 (21)	d36	19	10	57	14	Low
gla West-central glacial aquifers eiwasus2 (32) d38 69 25 3 3 3 gla West-central glacial aquifers hpgwsus4 (30) ² d39 43 23 23 10	12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lirbsus1 (26)	d37	0	0	23	77	Low
gla West-central glacial aquifers hpgwsus4 (30) ² d39 43 23 23 10 1 gla West-central glacial aquifers rednsus2 (10) d40 10 0 50 40 1 gla Western glacial aquifers pugtsus1 (29) d41 72 10 10 7 1<	12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	eiwasus2 (32)	d38	69	25	ŝ	ŝ	Medium
glaWest-central glacial aquifersrednsus2 (10)d4010050401glaWestern glacial aquiferspugtsus1 (29)d4172101071glaWestern glacial aquiferscooksus1a (21)d4252038101	12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	hpgwsus4 $(30)^2$	d39	43	23	23	10	Medium
gla Western glacial aquifers pugtsus (29) d41 72 10 10 7 1 gla Western glacial aquifers cooksus la (21) d42 52 0 38 10 1	12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	rednsus2 (10)	d40	10	0	50	40	Low
gla Western glacial aquifers cooksus1a (21) d42 52 0 38 10 1	12w	gla	Western glacial aquifers	pugtsus1 (29)	d41	72	10	10	7	Medium
	12w	gla	Western glacial aquifers	cooksus1a (21)	d42	52	0	38	10	Medium

Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of domestic wells in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations (only networks with at least 10 wells are listed).—Continued Table 5.

[usg, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; ses, semiconsolidated sand; san, sandstone; ser, sandstone and carbonate rock; car, carbonate rock; bay, basaltic and other volcanic rock; cry, crystalline rock; shading is used to differentiate between aquifer lithologies]

Appling the functionApplication					Network	Redox-a	ge class (pe	Redox-age class (percentage of samples) ³	:amples) ⁵	- Succentihility to
Annual to the standard spating systemAnnual spating systemSoutherstand transpating systemCamping sy	Aquifer	Aquifer	Aquifer name	Network name (number of welle)	identifier	0xic- notantially	Oxic- notentially	Anoxic- notentially	Anoxic-	changes in nitrate
sist Costal Lowinds quifer system machast (1) 64 74 11 16 0 sist Costal Lowinds quifer system masked (1) 64 24 59 7 sist Costal Lowinds quifer system masked (1) 64 24 59 7 sist Costal Lowinds quifer system masked (1) 64 24 59 7 sist Northerm Alumic Costal Plan agifer system masked (1) 64 77 0 24 53 sist Northerm Alumic Costal Plan agifer system masked (1) 64 77 0 24 53 sist Northerm Alumic Costal Plan agifer system mostal (1) 64 77 0 24 53 sist Northerm Alumic Costal Plan agifer system mostal (1) 64 77 0 24 33 sist Costal Plan agifer system mostal (1) 65 77 0 23 24 44 sist Costal Plan agifer system mostal (1) 65 74 44 33 34 sin Cambran-Oddovician aquifer system misusk (2) 65 44 33 34 sin Cambran-Oddovician aquifer system misusk (2) 65 44 33 34 sin Cambran-Oddovician aquifer system misu		Khonon			(see figure 10)	young	old	young	old	concentrations
 See Consult Lowinds aquifer system Consult Lowinds aquifer system Consult Lowinds aquifer system Kisstoppi Enhayment aquifer system Kisstoppi Enhangent equifer system Kisstoppi Enhangent equif	13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	acadsus2 (19)	d43	74	11	16	0	Medium
 se Constal Lowhads aguifer system se Manaster Cassal Plain aguifer system Missespip Embourtent audifer system Morthern Allantic Cassal Plain aguifer system Morthern Allantic Cassal Plain aguifer system Southeastern Coastal Plain aguifer system Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system Denver Blain aquifer system	13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	acadsus1 (20)	d44	65	15	10	10	Medium
 ses Constal Lowhader system Missistipf Embourent aufrer system Missistipf Embourent aufrer system Missistipf Embourent aufrer system Missistipf Embourent aufrer system Morthern Allanic Costal Plain aquifer system Morthern Allanic Costal Plain aquifer system Southastern Costal Plain aquifer system Cambrian-Odovician aquifer system Dever Blain aquifer system Dever Blain	13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	trinsus4 (11)	d45	27	64	0	6	Medium
Ses Missingli Endyment aquifer system missues (10) 647 40 0 20 Ses Nordern-Allanic Costal Plan aquifer system postalsas (17) 648 18 6 0 23 Ses Nordern-Allanic Costal Plan aquifer system postalsas (13) 650 77 0 23 64 Ses Southeastern Costal Plan aquifer system mobilans (13) 651 77 0 23 64 Ses Southeastern Costal Plan aquifer system mobilans (13) 653 41 23 23 44 73 23 65 44 74 74 73 23 66 77 0 23 66 73 66 74 74 73 23 44 73 74 74 73 23	13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	trinsus3 (29)	d46	24	59	7	10	Low
 Ses Musierin Allanis Constant Plan audifer system Southerastern Constant Plan audifer system Sea Southerastern Constant Plan audifer system Canabrian-Odoviciant aquifer sy	14	SCS	Mississippi Embayment aquifer system	misesus4 (10)	d47	40	40	0	20	Medium
ses Nothern Allanic Castal Plain aquifer system pollas(10) 40 81 0 6 13 ses Nothern Allanic Castal Plain aquifer system intersit 13	14	SCS	Mississippi Embayment aquifer system	acadsus3 $(17)^3$	d48	18	9	24	53	Low
ses Nordnem Admine Costent Paina equifer system Insus (2) (3)	15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aguifer system	podlsus2 (16)	d49	81	0	9	13	High
ses Southeastern Costata Plain aquifer system mobiluus (13) d51 77 0 23 0 ses Southeastern Costata Plain aquifer system mobiluus (23) d53 71 23 12 24 rexast Costata Plain aquifer system mobiluus (23) d53 71 23 12 8 33 13 ses Taxas Costata Plain aquifer system mobiluus (23) d53 12 12 8 33 13 ses Taxas Costata Plain aquifer system mobiluus (24) d56 53 41 23 12 8 33 13 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system musisues (23) d55 12 12 8 33 24 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system musisues (23) d55 14 1 23 12 8 33 14 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system musisues (23) d55 14 1 23 12 24 17 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system serves. (23) d55 14 1 23 23 28 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system serves. (23) d55 14 1 23 23 28 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system serves. (23) d56 14 17 0 0 10 0 0 0 0 mere Basin aquifer system splasus (10) d61 0 12 15 19 24 3 23 28 sen Denver Basin aquifer system splasus (10) d65 0 0 10 10 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10 0 10	15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	linisus2 (26)	d50	77	0	~	15	High
ses Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer system moblsus 3 (18) d2 72 0 22 6 ses Texas Coastal Plain aquifer system moblsus 3 (13) d5 12 12 4 73 ses Texas Coastal Uplands aquifer system actessus (26) d5 12 12 4 73 sen Carbinan-Ordovician aquifer system musisus 4 (25) d5 12 12 4 73 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system musisus 4 (25) d5 7 12 12 4 7 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system musisus 4 (25) d5 7 12 12 4 7 sen Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system musisus 4 (25) d5 7 14 8 21 2 7 23 sen Denver Basin aquifer system splass (10) d6 10 12 115 19 24 7 7 32 sen Denver Basin aquifer system splass (10) d6 10 12 115 19 54 19 10 12 sen Denver Basin aquifer system splass (10) d6 10 12 115 19 54 19 10 10 sen Denver Basin aquifers spatem splass (10) d6 10 12 115 19 54 19 10 10 sen Early Mescoric Basin aquifers patem splass (10) d6 10 12 115 19 54 19 10 10 sen Early Mescoric Basin aquifers patem splass (10) d6 10 12 115 19 54 19 10 10 sen Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers potous (22) d6 7 70 10 12 117 4 4 10 12 117 4 10 12 118 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10	15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aguifer system	dlmvsus1 (13)	d51	77	0	23	0	High
 Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer system Southeastern Coastal Uplands aquifer system Texas Costal Uplands aquifer system Texas Costal Uplands aquifer system Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system Denver Basin aquifer system Denver Basin aquifer system San Denver Basin aquifer system San Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers San Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers San San San Advards-Tinniy aquifer system San San San San San San San San San San	16	SCS	Southeastern Coastal Plain aguifer system	moblsus3 (18)	d52	72	0	22	9	Medium
ssTexas Coastal Uplands aquifer systemacadatus 3 (13)d5423838sesTexas Coastal Uplands aquifer systemexestast (26)d5554233831sesTambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemumissust (25)d574483473senCambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemumissust (25)d57448342424senCambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemumissust (25)d5934343732senDenver Basin aquifer systemsplasus (10)d6012151934senEarly Mesocic Basin aquifer systemsplasus (10)d660200009senEarly Mesocic Basin aquiferssplasus (10)d66020000914senEarly Mesocic Basin aquifersplasus (22)d647700000senValey and Ridge clastic-rook aquifersplasus (22)d667700000senValey and Ridge clastic-rook aquifersslasus (12)d6633531533senValey and Ridge clastic-rook aquifersslasus (22)d77d67700000senValey and Ridge clastic-rook aquifersslasus (10)d66335333333333333333 <td>16</td> <td>SCS</td> <td>Southeastern Coastal Plain aguifer system</td> <td>moblsus1 (22)</td> <td>d53</td> <td>41</td> <td>23</td> <td>18</td> <td>18</td> <td>Medium</td>	16	SCS	Southeastern Coastal Plain aguifer system	moblsus1 (22)	d53	41	23	18	18	Medium
ses Texas Coastal Uplands aquifer system actssus (26) d55 12 12 4 73 sun Cambran-Ordovician aquifer system umissus (22) d57 44 8 33 4 4 sun Cambran-Ordovician aquifer system umissus (22) d57 44 8 3 33 4 4 cambran-Ordovician aquifer system umissus (22) d58 41 0 27 32 sun Denver Basin aquifer system splitsus (10) d61 0 12 15 19 54 3 33 m Denver Basin aquifer system splitsus (23) d59 14 14 0 27 32 sun Denver Basin aquifer system splitsus (10) d61 0 12 15 19 54 13 28 m Denver Basin aquifer system splitsus (21) d66 12 14 9 7 m Barby Mescoric Basin aquifers and the static definition of the system splitsus (21) d66 33 8 0 18 4 4 m Denver Basin aquifer system splitsus (21) d66 33 6 2 2 3 3 15 m Pennsylvantian aquifers and the static definition of the system sun value aquifers and the system static definition of the system statis definition of the system static definition of the system stat	17	SCS	Texas Coastal Uplands aquifer system		d54	23	8	38	31	Low
smCambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemumissus (24) 656 54 8 33 4 smCambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemwmissus (25) 657 41 8 24 24 smCambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemwmissus (25) 657 41 8 24 24 smDenver Basin aquifer systemwmissus (25) 656 34 34 3 28 smDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus (10) 665 74 94 32 32 smDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus (10) 665 70 20 20 60 smDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus (10) 665 70 10 92 32 smDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus (10) 665 70 10 92 32 smDenver Basin aquiferspolsus (10) 665 70 10 92 32 smDenver Basin aquiferspolsus (10) 665 70 10 92 33 smDenver Pasin aquiferspolsus (10) 665 70 10 94 15 smDenver Pasin aquiferspolsus (16) 666 77 00 12 16 166 smDenver Pasin aquiferspolsus (16) 666 77 00 167 166 12 166 33 126 smPansylvanian aquiferspolsus (16) 666 720 666 720 6	17	SCS	Texas Coastal Uplands aquifer system	sctxsus4 (26)	d55	12	12	4	73	Low
sın Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system umissus4 (25) d57 44 8 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 24 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 25 26 26 26 25 26 26 26 25 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26 26	18	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	umissus3 (24)	d56	54	8	33	4	Medium
sanCambrian-Ordovician aquifer systemwmicsus1 (22)d584102732sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus2 (29)d6012151924sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus2 (20)d6012151924sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus3 (10)d610100202928sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus3 (10)d610100202924sanDenver Basin aquifersbelisus1 (25)d6388002020060sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquifersbelisus1 (21)d667701497sanLower Funsy aquifersbelisus3 (20)d66335331515sanPennsylvanian aquifersbelisus3 (20)d6633536333sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbelisus2 (22)d663353333sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbelisus2 (22)d6633	18	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	umissus4 (25)	d57	44	8	24	24	Medium
sanDenver Basin aquifer systemsplsus2 (29) $d59$ 34 34 3 28 sanDenver Basin aquifer systemsplsus3 (10) $d60$ 12 15 19 54 sanDenver Basin aquifer systemsplsus3 (10) $d60$ 12 15 19 54 sanDenver Basin aquifer systemsplsus3 (20) $d63$ 88 0 20 20 60 sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquiferssplsus3 (20) $d63$ 88 0 20 20 66 sanFarly Mesozoic Basin aquiferspotouse2 (22) $d64$ 77 0 14 9 sanPennsylvanian aquiferspotouse2 (22) $d66$ 33 5 29 33 18 sanNaley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanausl (10) $d66$ 33 5 29 33 16 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanausl (10) $d67$ 48 33 50 0 0 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanausl (10) $d77$ 41 41 17 42 3 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanausl (10) $d77$ $d66$ 53 20 0 0 0 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanausl (10) $d77$ 41 41 17 41 41 21 41 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanausl (10) $d77$ <td>18</td> <td>san</td> <td>Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system</td> <td>wmicsus1 (22)</td> <td>d58</td> <td>41</td> <td>0</td> <td>27</td> <td>32</td> <td>Medium</td>	18	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	wmicsus1 (22)	d58	41	0	27	32	Medium
sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus3 (26)d6012151954sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus3 (10)d620000sanDenver Basin aquifers systemspltsus3 (10)d6200000sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquifersdelsus1 (23)d647701490sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquifersdelsus1 (23)d647701490sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquifersbijsus3 (20)d663352331515sanPennsylvanian aquifersbijsus3 (20)d6633553331515sanPennsylvanian aquifersbijsus3 (20)d66335533151516sanPennsylvanian aquifersbijsus3 (20)d66335533151516sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbusus1 (24)d7d748323151745331517453315151515151515151670141747332333553315154533151545331545317453 </td <td>19</td> <td>san</td> <td>Denver Basin aquifer system</td> <td>spltsus2 (29)</td> <td>d59</td> <td>34</td> <td>34</td> <td>С</td> <td>28</td> <td>Medium</td>	19	san	Denver Basin aquifer system	spltsus2 (29)	d59	34	34	С	28	Medium
sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus4 (10) $d61$ 0 10 0 0 sanDenver Basin aquifer systemspltsus5 (10) $d61$ 0 10 0 0 sanEarly Mesozic Basin aquifersgalisus5 (10) $d63$ 88 0 20 20 60 sanEarly Mesozic Basin aquiferspotosus2 (22) $d64$ 77 0 14 9 sanEarly Mesozic Basin aquiferspotosus2 (21) $d66$ 33 5 22 33 15 sanPennsylvarian aquiferspotosus2 (21) $d66$ 33 5 22 33 15 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasus1 (16) $d66$ 33 5 23 34 3 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbotolusag2 (22) $d70$ 58 21 17 4 4 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferslausus2 (24) $d77$ $d73$ 85 36 0 17 4 4 21 sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferslausus2 (24) $d77$ $d73$ 85 22 17 4 4 21 17 4 4 21 17 4 4 21 17 4 4 21 17 4 4 22 22 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23 23	19	san	Denver Basin aquifer system	spltsus3 (26)	d60	12	15	19	54	Low
am Derver Basin aquifer system spltsus $5(10)$ d62 0 20 20 60 1 am Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers part (25) d63 77 0 20 20 60 1 am Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers potouses (22) d63 77 0 14 9 17 0 14 9 18 am Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers potouses (21) d66 33 5 29 33 18 am Pennsylvanian aquifers part (16) d66 33 5 2 29 33 18 18 20 10 5 11 17 0 18 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10 11 10 10	19	san	Denver Basin aquifer system		d61	0	10	0	90	Low
sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquifersdefsusl (25)d6388084sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquiferspotosus2 (22)d64770149sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquiferspinjsus3 (20)d657710149sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquiferspinjsus3 (20)d663352933sanPennsylvanian aquiferspinsusl (16)d681308161sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (16)d681308161sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (16)d681308161sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (10)d7187211741sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (14)d71872117421sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (14)d71471006233sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (14)d7141104747421sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (14)d71d7141104747421sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasusl (14)d71d714747174747serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemkan	19	san	Denver Basin aquifer system		d62	0	20	20	60	Low
san Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers potosus2 (22) d64 77 0 14 9 san Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers injisus3 (20) d65 70 10 5 15 san Pennsylvanian aquifers values vellsus2 (21) d66 33 5 2 9 33 san Pennsylvanian aquifers kanasus1 (16) d68 13 0 81 6 san Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers kanasus1 (16) d68 13 0 81 6 san Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers kanasus1 (16) d68 13 0 81 6 san Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers kanasus1 (16) d68 13 0 81 6 san Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers kanasus1 (29) d67 48 3 3 45 3 san Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers kanasus1 (29) d71 41 10 45 3 3 ser Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 65 17 7 7 7 0 ser Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 67 11 41 10 45 3 3 ser Floridan aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 60 13 8 20 car Floridan aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 60 13 8 20 car Floridan aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 60 13 8 20 car Floridan aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 60 10 17 13 car Floridan aquifer system sctxsus2 (20) d77 60 10 17 13 car Cordwider system car Floridan aquifer system car Cark Radifer car Cark Radifer system car Cark Radifer car Cark Radifer car Cark Radifer system car Cark Radifer system car Cark Radifer car Cark Radifer system car Cark Radife	20	san	Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers	delrsus1 (25)	d63	88	0	8	4	High
sanEarly Mesozoic Basin aquiferslinisus3 (20)d657010515sanLower Tertiary aquifersyellsus2 (21)d66335293315sanPemsylvanian aquifersalmasus1 (16)d66335293311sanPemsylvanian aquiferskanasus1 (16)d681308163331sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasus1 (16)d68130816019sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasus1 (16)d681308161453114sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskassus1 (29)d71d141104533177000serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsextsus1 (27)d7285777000 <td>20</td> <td>san</td> <td>Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers</td> <td>potosus2 (22)</td> <td>d64</td> <td>77</td> <td>0</td> <td>14</td> <td>9</td> <td>High</td>	20	san	Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers	potosus2 (22)	d64	77	0	14	9	High
sanLower Tertiary aquifersyellsus2 (21)d66335293311sanPennsylvanian aquifersalmnsus I (29)d67483453315sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasus I (16)d681308161314531sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferspotolusag2 (22)d695953601sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbususus I (29)d7141104531serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsetxsus2 (24)d7747414141serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsetxsus2 (24)d73501382914211serFloridan aquifer systemsetxsus2 (24)d77d73501301421142	20	san	Early Mesozoic Basin aquifers	linjsus3 (20)	d65	70	10	5	15	Medium
sanPemsylvanian aquifersalmnsus (16)d67483453sanPemsylvanian aquiferskanasus (16)d681308161sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferskanasus (16)d681308161sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbelrsus2 (22)d695953601sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbelrsus2 (22)d6758211741sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersbelrsus2 (24)d775877701scrEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsctxsus1 (10)d73501382911211scrFloridan aquifer systemsctxsus1 (10)d735013823113829112111 <t< td=""><td>21</td><td>san</td><td>Lower Tertiary aquifers</td><td>yellsus2 (21)</td><td>d66</td><td>33</td><td>5</td><td>29</td><td>33</td><td>Medium</td></t<>	21	san	Lower Tertiary aquifers	yellsus2 (21)	d66	33	5	29	33	Medium
sanPennsylvanian aquiferskanasusl (16)d681308161sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferspotolusag2 (22)d695953601sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersdersus2 (24)d7058211741sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersletrsus2 (24)d7141104531sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersletrsus2 (24)d728577701serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsetrsus1 (27)d73501382911serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsetrsus1 (10)d74d74432114211carFloridan aquifer systemgaffsus2 (30)d76672013013131carFloridan aquifer systemgaffsus2 (30)d7760101713131131carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d77601017131312113113111311311311311311311311311310171313113101017131311310171313131313	22	san	Pennsylvanian aquifers	almnsus1 (29)	d67	48	ŝ	45	ŝ	Medium
sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferspotolusag2 (22)d695953601sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferslsussus1 (29)d7141104531sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferslsussus1 (29)d7141104531serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsetxsus2 (24)d73501382291serEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsetxsus2 (24)d73501382291serFloridan aquifer systemsetxsus2 (24)d74432114211carFloridan aquifer systemacrbsus1 (10)d751000001carFloridan aquifer systemgaffsus2 (30)d7667201301carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d791000001carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (23)d76d7667201301carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (21)d801919521013101carOrdovician aquifer systemcarOrdovician aquifer systemsantsus2 (21)d8194060101310carOrdovician aquifer systemcarOrdovician aquifer systemcar(17)d82851238101010 <td>22</td> <td>san</td> <td>Pennsylvanian aquifers</td> <td>kanasus1 (16)</td> <td>d68</td> <td>13</td> <td>0</td> <td>81</td> <td>9</td> <td>Low</td>	22	san	Pennsylvanian aquifers	kanasus1 (16)	d68	13	0	81	9	Low
sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifersdelrsus2 (24)d705821174sanValley and Ridge clastic-rock aquiferslsussus1 (29)d714110453scrEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsctxsus1 (27)d72857770scrEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsctxsus2 (24)d7350138291scrEdwards-Trinity aquifer systemsctxsus2 (24)d7443211421carFloridan aquifer systemacfbsus1 (10)d751000000carFloridan aquifer systemgaflsus2 (30)d7667201301313carFloridan aquifer systemgaflsus2 (29)d77601017131313carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d77601017131313carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d7910028243813carOrdovician aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d79102824381017131313101713131017131310171313101713131010171313101017131310101713101010171310 <td>23</td> <td>san</td> <td>Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers</td> <td>potolusag2 (22)</td> <td>69p</td> <td>59</td> <td>5</td> <td>36</td> <td>0</td> <td>Medium</td>	23	san	Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers	potolusag2 (22)	69p	59	5	36	0	Medium
san Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers Isussus1 (29) d71 41 10 45 3 ser Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus1 (27) d72 85 7 7 0 1 ser Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 50 13 8 29 ser Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d74 43 21 14 21 car Floridan aquifer system acfbsus1 (10) d75 100 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 17 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 13 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 13 <td>23</td> <td>san</td> <td>Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers</td> <td>delrsus2 (24)</td> <td>d70</td> <td>58</td> <td>21</td> <td>17</td> <td>4</td> <td>Medium</td>	23	san	Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers	delrsus2 (24)	d70	58	21	17	4	Medium
scr Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus1 (27) d72 85 7 7 0 1 scr Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 50 13 8 29 1 scr Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 50 13 8 29 1 car Floridan aquifer system acrbsus1 (10) d75 100 0 0 0 1 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus2 (30) d76 67 20 13 0 1 13 1 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus2 (20) d77 60 10 17 13 1 car Floridan aquifer system santsus2 (29) d79 10 28 24 38 1 car Ordovician aquifer system ozrklusag1a (17) d81 94 0 6 0 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 13 1 10 17 13 1	23	san	Valley and Ridge clastic-rock aquifers	lsussus1 (29)	d71	41	10	45	3	Medium
scr Edwards-Trinity aquifer system sctxsus2 (24) d73 50 13 8 29 1 scr Edwards-Trinity aquifer system trinsus1 (14) d74 43 21 14 21 1 car Floridan aquifer system acfbsus1 (10) d75 100 0 0 0 1 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus2 (30) d76 67 20 13 0 1 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus2 (30) d77 60 10 17 13 1 car Floridan aquifer system santsus2 (29) d79 10 28 42 38 1 car Floridan aquifer system santsus2 (21) d80 19 29 10 17 13 1 car Ordovician aquifer system santsus2 (21) d80 19 28 24 38 1 car Ozark Plateaus aquifer system ozrklusag1a (17) d81 94 0 6 0 10 10 17 13 10 <td< td=""><td>24</td><td>SCT</td><td>Edwards-Trinity aquifer system</td><td>sctxsus1 (27)</td><td>d72</td><td>85</td><td>7</td><td>7</td><td>0</td><td>High</td></td<>	24	SCT	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	sctxsus1 (27)	d72	85	7	7	0	High
scr Edwards-Trinity aquifer system trinsus1 (14) d74 43 21 14 21 car Floridan aquifer system acfbsus1 (10) d75 100 17 13 0 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 12 13 10 10 12 10 12 <td>24</td> <td>SCT</td> <td>Edwards-Trinity aquifer system</td> <td></td> <td>d73</td> <td>50</td> <td>13</td> <td>8</td> <td>29</td> <td>Medium</td>	24	SCT	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system		d73	50	13	8	29	Medium
car Floridan aquifer system acfbsus1 (10) d75 100 12 0 12 13 13 1 10 13 10 10	24	SCT	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	trinsus1 (14)	d74	43	21	14	21	Medium
car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus2 (30) d76 67 20 13 0 1 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus3 (30) d77 60 10 17 13 1 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus4 (26) d78 12 8 42 38 1 car Floridan aquifer system santsus2 (29) d79 10 28 24 38 1 car Ordovician aquifer system ozrklusag1a (17) d81 94 0 6 0 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 10 12 13 10 10 12 38 10 10 10 13 10	29	car	Floridan aquifer system	acfbsus1 (10)	d75	100	0	0	0	High
car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus3 (30) d77 60 10 17 13 car Floridan aquifer system gaflsus4 (26) d78 12 8 42 38 car Floridan aquifer system santsus2 (29) d79 10 28 24 38 car Ordovician aquifer system santsus2 (21) d80 19 52 10 10 car Ozark Plateaus aquifer system ozrkusag1a (17) d81 94 0 6 0 10 <td< td=""><td>29</td><td>car</td><td>Floridan aquifer system</td><td>gafisus2 (30)</td><td>d76</td><td>67</td><td>20</td><td>13</td><td>0</td><td>Medium</td></td<>	29	car	Floridan aquifer system	gafisus2 (30)	d76	67	20	13	0	Medium
carFloridan aquifer systemgaflsus4 (26)d781284238carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d7910282438carOrdovician aquifersltensus2 (21)d80195210carOzark Plateaus aquifer systemozrklusag1a (17)d8194060carOzark Plateaus aquifer systemozrksus2a (33)d82851230	29	car	Floridan aquifer system	gafisus3 (30)	d77	60	10	17	13	Medium
carFloridan aquifer systemsantsus2 (29)d7910282438carOrdovician aquifersltensus2 (21)d8019195210carOzark Plateaus aquifer systemozrklusag1a (17)d8194060carOzark Plateaus aquifer systemozrksus2a (33)d82851230	29	car	Floridan aquifer system	gafisus4 (26)	d78	12	8	42	38	Low
carOrdovician aquifersItensus2 (21)d80195210carOzark Plateaus aquifer systemozrklusag1a (17)d8194060carOzark Plateaus aquifer systemozrksus2a (33)d82851230	29	car	Floridan aquifer system	santsus2 (29)	d79	10	28	24	38	Low
car Ozark Plateaus aquifer system ozrklusag1a (17) d81 94 0 6 0 car Ozark Plateaus aquifer system ozrksus2a (33) d82 85 12 3 0	30	car	Ordovician aquifers	ltensus2 (21)	d80	19	19	52	10	Low
Ozark Plateaus aquifer system ozrksus2a (33) d82 85 12 3 0	31	car	Ozark Plateaus aquifer system	ozrklusag1a (17)	d81	94	0	9	0	High
	31	car	Ozark Plateaus aquifer system	ozrksus2a (33)	d82	85	12	3	0	High

				Network	Redox-a	ge class (pe	Redox-age class (percentage of samples) ⁵	amples) ⁵	Cuccontilities to
Aquifer number	Aquifer lithology	Aquifer name	Network name (number of wells)	identifier	Oxic- potentially	Oxic- potentially	Anoxic- potentially	Anoxic- potentially	changes in nitrate
	5			(see tigure 10)		old	, gunoy	old	concentrations
31	car	Ozark Plateaus aquifer system	ozrklusag2a (16)	d83	81	0	19	0	High
31	car	Ozark Plateaus aquifer system	ozrksus3a (16)	d84	56	19	13	13	Medium
32	car	Piedmont and Blue Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers	lsuslusag1 (29)	d85	83	0	17	0	High
33	car	Silurian-Devonian aquifers	eiwasus1 (25)	d86	16	4	20	60	Low
34	car	Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers	Isuslusag3 (29)	d87	100	0	0	0	High
34	car	Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers	Isuslusag2 (29)	d88	67	0	б	0	High
34	car	Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers	potolusag1 (32)	d89	94	0	9	0	High
34	car	Valley and Ridge carbonate-rock aquifers	utensus1 (18)	06p	67	11	22	0	Medium
35	bav	Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock aquifer system	ccptlusag1a (17)	d91	82	9	0	12	High
35	bav	Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock aquifer system	ccptlusag2a (16) ¹	d92	81	13	9	0	High
36	bav	Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer system	usnkluscr4 (15)	d93	100	0	0	0	High
36	bav	Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer system	usnkluscr2 (28)	d94	100	0	0	0	High
36	bav	Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer system	usnkluscr3 (28)	d95	96	4	0	0	High
38	cry	New York and New England crystalline-rock aquifers	linjsus 1 (25)	96p	88	8	4	0	High
38	cry	New York and New England crystalline-rock aquifers	necbsus2 (30)	797	53	0	47	0	Medium
38	cry	New York and New England crystalline-rock aquifers	necbsus1 (28)	86p	46	0	54	0	Medium
38	cry	New York and New England crystalline-rock aquifers	connsus1 (27)	66P	44	33	4	19	Medium
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	lsussus2 (29)	d100	100	0	0	0	High
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	santsus3 (29)	d101	86	0	14	0	High
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	potosus1 (21)	d102	81	0	19	0	High
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	kanasus2 (19)	d103	74	5	16	5	Medium
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	albesus8 (48)	d104	67	10	21	7	Medium
+4	115.0	Allinvial addifers in the Colorado Rocky Mountains	neolsus1 (23)	d105	78	13	6	0	Hioh

Table 5. Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of domestic wells in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations (only networks with at least 10 wells are listed).—Continued

[use, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; scs, semiconsolidated sand; san, sandstone; scr, sandstone and carbonate rock; car, carbonate rock; bay, basaltic and other volcanic rock; cry

Network has wells in the Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock aquifer system and Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers. ²Network is part of the High Plains aquifer and West-central glacial aquifers.

³Network has wells in the Mississippi embayment and Texas coastal uplands aquifer systems.

⁴Network not in a principal aquifer.

⁵R edox-age percentages may not sum to 100 percent because of rounding.

24 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010



Figure 11. Median percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for principal aquifers that have at least two

networks of domestic wells, and the susceptibility of the aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations.





Principal-aquifer lithology groups with the largest percentage of networks considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations were the basaltic- and other volcanic-rock aquifer systems (100 percent of networks), carbonate-rock aquifers (50 percent), and crystalline-rock aquifers (44 percent) (table 5 and figs. 10 and 12). These three lithology groups include five of the six domestic-well networks with 100 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young. The lithology groups with the smallest percentage of networks considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations were the glacial aquifers (0 percent of networks) and sandstone aquifers (about 13 percent) (table 5 and fig. 10). These two lithology groups include the three well networks with 0 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young (table 5).

There are important geologic differences between the aquifer lithology groups with high and low susceptibilities to changes in nitrate concentrations. The relatively large percentage of high-susceptibilility networks in the basalticand other volcanic-rock aquifer systems, carbonate-rock aquifers, and crystalline-rock aquifers may indicate the importance of fractures and karst features in promoting the rapid movement of oxic-potentially young groundwater in those aquifers (Dubrovsky and others, 2010; McMahon and others, 2011). The relatively small percentage of highsusceptibility networks in the glacial and sandstone aquifers reflects geologic characteristics of those aquifers that support anoxic redox conditions (high electron donor content) and inhibit water movement (fine-grained confining layers).

Domestic-well networks in the eastern and western United States differed with respect to the percentage of samples assigned to certain redox-age classes. The 45 networks located in the western United States had a larger median percentage (13 percent) of samples classified as oxic-potentially old than the 60 networks located in the East (4 percent). Previous studies already noted the presence of oxic groundwater that was sometimes thousands of years old in organic carbon-poor unconsolidated sand and gravel aquifers in the western United States, particularly in the Central Valley and Rio Grande aquifer systems (Plummer and others, 2004; Jurgens and others, 2008), and the Basin and Range basin-fill and High Plains aquifers (Winograd and Robertson, 1982; McMahon and others, 2004). Those aquifers typically have low natural recharge rates and large, thick flow systems. In contrast, networks located in the eastern United States had a larger median percentage (20 percent) of samples classified as anoxic-potentially young than networks located in the West (7 percent). This is not surprising considering the generally shallower depths to water, higher natural recharge rates, and smaller, shallower flow systems in the eastern United States than in the West (Wolock, 2003; Reilly and others, 2008; McMahon and others, 2011). Oxic-potentially old and anoxic-potentially young conditions both reduce aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations, but for different climatic, geologic, and hydrologic reasons.

Fifteen of the domestic-well networks were approximately collocated with networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas, which provides the opportunity to compare the susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations at different depths in the same aquifer area. The median depth of the domestic wells was greater than the median depth of the monitoring wells for each pair of well networks. Overall, the median difference in depth between domestic and monitoring wells was 13 m. For 10 of the 15 pairs of networks, the monitoring-well networks had the higher percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 13), indicating that susceptibility tended to be higher at the shallower depths of the monitoring wells. For 7 of the 15 pairs of nested networks, susceptibility was in fact higher in the monitoring wells than the domestic wells. Six of those seven pairs are in glacial aquifers (fig. 13). Only 3 of the 15 pairs of nested networks showed higher susceptibilities in the domestic wells than in the monitoring wells. For 5 of the 15 pairs of networks, susceptibilities were generally the same in both well types.

Susceptibility to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations in Parts of Aquifers that Provide Public Water Supplies

Redox-age classes were assigned to samples collected from 39 networks of public-supply wells (fig. 14 and table 6). Thirty-one percent of the networks were considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations and 26 percent of the networks were considered to have low susceptibilities (table 6). The percentage of high-susceptibility networks for public-supply wells was the same as for domestic wells, but the public-supply wells had a larger percentage of low-susceptibility networks than the domestic wells.

For principal aquifers that had at least 2 networks of public-supply wells, the median percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young ranged from about 7 to 87 percent (fig. 15), compared to about 57 to 96 percent for the shallow monitoring wells (fig. 8) and about 6 to 100 percent for domestic wells (fig. 11). For the parts of aquifers that provide public water supplies, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Eastern glacial aquifers and the California Coastal Basin, Basin and Range basin-fill, and High Plains aquifers in the West (figs. 14 and 15). The least susceptible aquifer was the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system in the upper Midwest (figs. 14 and 15).

Susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations in the networks of public-supply wells appeared to be controlled in part by aquifer confinement and well depth, as was the case for several of the networks of monitoring and domestic wells. Low-susceptibility networks in the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system had relatively large well depths (median depths of 124 to 558 m) and large percentages of wells completed in confined parts of the aquifer (median values of 0 to 100 percent) compared to networks in the four aquifers with the highest susceptibilities. Well networks in the Eastern glacial aquifers, for example, had median well depths of 17 to 118 m and percentages of wells completed in confined parts of the aquifer that ranged from 0 to 24 percent.

Well networks sanasus2 and sanasus3, in the California Coastal Basin aquifers (table 6 and fig. 14), had medium susceptibilities even though the median well depths (232 to 294 m) were relatively large and 56 percent of the sanasus2 wells were completed in confined parts of the aquifer. Several factors probably contributed to the susceptibility of those two networks. One factor is long well screens. Median well-screen lengths in the networks accounted for 60 to 68 percent of the well depths. Long well screens could increase the chances of mixing shallow, young water and deep, old water (Landon and others, 2010b). Another possible factor is artificial recharge that occurs in parts of the California Coastal Basin aquifers in southern California that could increase aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations by increasing recharge rates (Hamlin and others, 2002; McMahon and others, 2011). Pumping rate also may affect susceptibility but data were not available to evaluate this factor.



Figure 13. Percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young in collocated networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas and domestic wells, and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations.

Only four of the networks of public-supply wells were approximately collocated with networks of domestic wells. The median depth of the public-supply wells was greater than the median depth of the domestic wells for each pair of well networks. Overall, the median difference in depth between public-supply and domestic wells was 39 m, which is three times larger than the median difference in depth between the pairs of domestic- and monitoring-well networks. For three of the four pairs of networks, the public-supply wells had the higher percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young (fig. 16), indicating that susceptibility tended to be higher in the vicinity of public-supply wells than in the vicinity of domestic wells even though the public-supply wells had larger median well depths. Although the number of paired networks of public-supply and domestic wells was small, this finding is the opposite of what was observed for shallow monitoring wells and domestic wells (fig. 13). For one pair of public-supply (p24) and domestic-well networks (d45) (fig. 16), the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young was higher for the

domestic wells. This may be due to the fact that only 50 percent of the domestic wells were completed in confined parts of the aquifer (Coastal Lowlands aquifer system) whereas 80 percent of the public supply wells were completed in confined parts of the aquifer. Bruce and Oelsner (2001) studied closely located pairs of domestic and public-supply wells in the High Plains aquifer and found a more frequent occurrence of pesticide compounds and tritium in water from the public-supply wells than in water from the domestic wells. They concluded that high rates of pumping in public-supply wells with long screens induced more rapid downward movement of young groundwater than did domestic wells, which had shorter screens and were less heavily pumped. Jurgens and others (2008) studied a longscreened public-supply well in the Central Valley aquifer system and also found that well construction and operation induced downward movement of young groundwater. The data in figure 16 are consistent with the idea that construction and operation characteristics of public-supply wells can enhance the downward movement of young groundwater (Landon and others, 2010b).


Figure 14. Central locations of networks of public-supply wells and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations.

Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of public-supply wells in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations (only networks with at least 10 wells are listed). Table 6.

[usg, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; scs, semiconsolidated sand; san, sandstone; scr, sandstone and carbonate rock; car, carbonate rock; bay, basaltic and other volcanic rock; cry, crystalline rock; shading is used to differentiate between aquifer lithologies]

	A		Matterior In and	Network	Rec	lox-age class (pei	Redox-age class (percentage of samples) ³	S) ³	Susceptibility to
Aquiter <i>F</i>	Aquiter	Aquifer name	Network name	identifier	0xic-	0xic-	Anoxic-	Anoxic-	changes in nitrate
-	Agoioini			(see figure 14)	potentially young	potentially old	potentially young	potentially old	concentrations
	gsn	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	grslsus3 (30)	pl	83	10	0	7	High
	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrsus2 (25)	p2	60	32	0	8	Medium
	usg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrdwgs1 (14)	p3	57	36	0	7	Medium
	nsg	California Coastal Basin aquifers	sacrsus3 (11)	p4	82	0	6	6	High
	nsg	California Coastal Basin aquifers	sanasus1 (29)	p5	79	21	0	0	High
	usg	California Coastal Basin aquifers	sacrsus4 (17)	b6	71	9	18	9	Medium
	nsg	California Coastal Basin aquifers	sanasus2 (18)	p7	67	9	11	17	Medium
	nsg	California Coastal Basin aquifers	sanasus3 (17)	p8	47	24	12	18	Medium
	nsg	Central Valley aquifer system	sanjdwgs1 (15)	6d	100	0	0	0	High
	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwspcg7 (15)	p10	73	27	0	0	Medium
	nsg	High Plains aquifer	hpgwdwgs1 (15) ¹	p11	47	7	33	13	Medium
	nsg	Mississippi River Valley alluvial aquifer	misesus1 (10)	p12	10	0	80	10	Low
	nsg	Rio Grande aquifer system	riogdwgs1 (16)	p13	56	31	9	9	Medium
	nsg	Rio Grande aquifer system	riogtanc (23)	p14	39	39	0	22	Medium
	nsg	Snake River Plain basin-fill aquifers	usnksus3 (12)	p15	8	92	0	0	Low
10	nsg	Surficial aquifer system	sofidwgs1 (15)	p16	40	0	60	0	Medium
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	linjdwgs1 (12)	p17	100	0	0	0	High
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	conndwgs1 (15)	p18	87	0	13	0	High
l 2e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	necbsus3 (29)	p19	79	ŝ	17	0	High
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	miamspcb1 (15)	p20	33	0	09	7	Medium
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	whmidwgs1 (13)	p21	23	0	54	23	Low
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	hpgwdwgs1 (15) ¹	p22	47	7	33	13	Medium
l2wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	umisdwgs1 (15) ²	p23	13	20	13	53	Low
3	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	trinsus4 (11)	p24	18	36	18	27	Low
4	SCS	Mississippi Embayment aquifer system	misesus4 (10)	p25	40	50	10	0	Medium
4	SCS	Mississippi Embayment aquifer system	misesus2 (30)	p26	20	0	40	40	Low
5	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	dlmvspcg10 (30)	p27	83	0	17	0	High
6	SCS	Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer system	santsus1 (20)	p28	95	0	0	5	High
8	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	umisdwgs1 (15) ²	p29	20	20	40	20	Low
8	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	uirbsus3 (29)	p30	7	7	0	86	Low
8	san	Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system	eiwasus3 (28)	p31	0	41	3	55	Low
19	san	Denver Basin aquifer system	spltdwgs1 (12)	p32	25	33	17	25	Medium
24	scr	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	sctxsus3 (21)	p33	95	0	5	0	High
27	car	Biscayne aquifer	softsus1 (23)	p34	13	0	87	0	Low
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	gafidwgs1 (14)	p35	64	7	14	14	Medium
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	gafidwgs2 (14)	p36	29	7	14	50	Medium
35	bav	Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock aquifer system	ccptsus1b (22)	p37	55	18	0	27	Medium
37	bav	Hawaiian volcanic-rock aquifers	oahusus1 (23)	p38	100	0	0	0	High
30	010	Diedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	nodldwos1 (15)	n39	100	0	C	0	Hiah



Figure 15. Median percentage of samples assigned to the four redox-age classes for principal aquifers that have at least two networks of public-supply wells, and the susceptibility of the aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations.





Summary and Conclusions

The National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program of the U.S. Geological Survey is using multiple approaches to measure and explain trends in concentrations of nitrate in principal aquifers of the United States. Near decadal sampling of selected well networks is providing information on where long-term changes in nitrate concentrations have occurred. Because those studies do not include all the NAWQA well networks, a determination has yet to be made as to what might be expected in networks from which time-series data have not been collected. Characterizing aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations on the basis of data collected from all the NAWQA well networks would be a step toward extrapolating findings from those studies to broader regions.

The purpose of this report is to characterize the susceptibility of selected principal aquifers of the United States to changes in nitrate concentrations on a basis of the redox-age classification scheme developed in this report. In this study, water samples collected from 6,593 wells in 39 principal aquifers and 5 alluvial aquifers (collected from 1991 to 2010) were assigned to four redox-age classes on the basis of concentrations of dissolved oxygen and various indicators of groundwater age. The redox-age classes are oxicpotentially young, oxic-potentially old, anoxic-potentially young, and anoxic-potentially old. The redox-age assignments were then used to characterize the susceptibility of principal aquifers to changes in nitrate concentrations. Aquifer areas (as defined by well networks) in which at least 75 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young were considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations. Aquifer areas were considered to have a medium susceptibility if at least 25 percent and less than 75 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young. Aquifer areas were considered to have a low susceptibility if less than 25 percent of the samples were classified as oxic-potentially young.

For the parts of aquifers near the water table in agricultural areas, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Columbia Plateau basin-fill aquifers, Eastern glacial aquifers, and the West-central glacial aquifers. None of the aquifers had a low susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations. Large intraaquifer redox-age variability was observed in most of the aquifers that had multiple networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas. For the three well networks in the Central Valley aquifer system, for example, the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young ranged from 13 to 90 percent, and the percentage of samples classified as anoxicpotentially young ranged from 10 to 83 percent.

For the parts of aquifers that provide domestic water supplies, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system and the Early Mesozoic Basin, Valley and Ridge carbonaterock, and Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers in the eastern United States; the Ozark Plateaus aquifer system in parts of Missouri and Arkansas; and the Central Valley, Columbia Plateau basaltic-rock, and Snake River Plain basaltic-rock aquifer systems in the West. The least susceptible aquifers were the Texas Coastal Uplands and Denver Basin aquifer systems.

Relatively large intraaquifer variability in redox-age classes was observed in some of the principal aquifers. For the five networks of domestic wells sampled in the Floridan aquifer system, for example, the percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young ranged from 10 to 100 percent. Aquifer confinement probably is an important control on redox-age variability in the Floridan aquifer system. The Central glacial aquifers also showed large redox-age variability, which could be attributed to the diversity of depositional environments represented by well networks in those aquifers.

Principal-aquifer lithology groups with the largest percentage of domestic-well networks considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations were the basaltic- and other volcanic-rock aquifer systems, carbonate-rock aquifers, and crystalline-rock aquifers. These three lithology groups include five of the six domestic-well networks with 100 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young. The lithology groups with the smallest percentage of networks considered to have a high susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations were the glacial aquifers and sandstone aquifers. These two lithology groups include the three well networks with 0 percent of their samples classified as oxic-potentially young. There are important geologic differences between the aquifer lithology groups with high and low susceptibilities to changes in nitrate concentrations. The relatively large percentage of highsusceptibililty networks in the basaltic- and other volcanic-rock aquifer systems, carbonate-rock aquifers, and crystalline-rock aquifers may indicate the importance of fractures and karst features in promoting the rapid movement of oxic-potentially young groundwater in those aquifers. The relatively small percentage of high-susceptibility networks in the glacial and sandstone aquifers reflects geologic characteristics of those aquifers that support anoxic redox conditions (high electron donor content) and inhibit water movement (fine-grained confining layers).

Domestic-well networks in the eastern and western United States differed with respect to the percentage of samples assigned to certain redox-age classes. The 45 networks located in the western United States had a larger median percentage (13 percent) of samples classified as oxic-potentially old than the 60 networks located in the East (4 percent). Previous studies already noted the presence of oxic groundwater that was sometimes thousands of years old in organiccarbon-poor unconsolidated sand and gravel aquifers in the western United States, particularly in the Central Valley and Rio Grande aquifer systems, and the Basin and Range basinfill and High Plains aquifers. Those aquifers typically have low natural recharge rates and large, thick flow systems. In contrast, networks located in the eastern United States had a larger median percentage (20 percent) of samples classified as anoxic-potentially young than networks located in the West (7 percent). This is not surprising considering the generally shallower depths to water, higher natural recharge rates, and smaller, shallower flow systems in the eastern United States than in the West. Oxic-potentially old and anoxic-potentially young conditions both reduce aquifer susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations, but for different climatic, geologic, and hydrologic reasons.

Fifteen of the domestic-well networks were approximately collocated with networks of shallow monitoring wells in agricultural areas, which provided the opportunity to compare the susceptibility to changes in nitrate concentrations at different depths in the same aquifer area. The median depth of the domestic wells was greater than the median depth of the monitoring wells for each pair of well networks. For 10 of the 15 pairs of networks, the monitoring-well networks had the higher percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young, indicating that susceptibility tended to be higher at the shallower depths of the monitoring wells.

For the parts of aquifers that provide public water supplies, the aquifers most susceptible to changes in nitrate concentrations were the Eastern glacial aquifers and the California Coastal Basin, Basin and Range basin-fill, and High Plains aquifers in the West. The least susceptible aquifer was the Cambrian-Ordovician aquifer system in the upper Midwest.

Only four of the networks of public-supply wells were approximately collocated with networks of domestic wells. The median depth of the public-supply wells was greater than the median depth of the domestic wells for each pair of well networks. For three of the four pairs of networks, the publicsupply wells had the higher percentage of samples classified as oxic-potentially young, indicating that susceptibility tended to be higher in the vicinity of public-supply wells than in the vicinity of domestic wells even though the public-supply wells had larger median well depths. Although the number of paired networks of public-supply and domestic wells was small, this finding is the opposite of what was observed for shallow monitoring wells and domestic wells. Previous studies found that high rates of pumping in public-supply wells with long screens induced more rapid downward movement of young groundwater than did domestic wells, which had shorter screens and were less heavily pumped. The data from this study are generally consistent with those findings.

Acknowledgments

Karen Burow and Matthew Landon provided helpful comments on earlier versions of this report.

References Cited

Böhlke, J.K., Wanty, R., Tuttle, M., Delin, G., and Landon, M., 2002, Denitrification in the recharge area and discharge area of a transient agricultural nitrate plume in a glacial outwash sand aquifer, Minnesota: Water Resources Research, v. 38, doi:10.1029/2001WR000663.

Böhlke, J.K., Verstraeten, I.M., and Kraemer, T.F., 2007, Effects of surface-water irrigation on sources, fluxes, and residence times of water, nitrate, and uranium in an alluvial aquifer: Applied Geochemistry, v. 22, p. 152–174.

Bruce, B.W., and Oelsner, G.P., 2001, Contrasting water quality from paired domestic/public supply wells, central High Plains: Journal of the American Water Resources Association, v. 37, p. 1389–1403.

Burow, K.R., Shelton, J.L., and Dubrovsky, N.M., 2008a, Regional nitrate and pesticide trends in groundwater in the eastern San Joaquin Valley, California: Journal of Environmental Quality, v. 37, p. S249–S263.

Burow, K.R., Jurgens, B.C., Kauffman, L.J., Phillips, S.P., Dalgish, B.A., and Shelton, J.L., 2008b, Simulations of ground-water flow and particle pathline analysis in the zone of contribution of a public-supply well in Modesto, eastern San Joaquin Valley, California: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008–5035, 41 p.

Busenberg, E., and Plummer, L.N., 2000, Dating young ground water with sulfur hexafluoride—Natural and anthropogenic sources of sulfur hexafluoride: Water Resources Research, v. 36, p. 3011–3030.

Chapelle, F.H., McMahon, P.B., Dubrovsky, N.M., Fujii, R.F., Oaksford, E.T., and Vroblesky, D.A., 1995, Deducing the distribution of terminal electron-accepting processes in hydrologically diverse groundwater systems: Water Resources Research, v. 31, p. 359–371.

Clark, B.R., Landon, M.K., Kauffman, L.J., and Hornberger, G.Z., 2008, Simulations of ground-water flow, transport, age, and particle tracking near York, Nebraska, for a study of transport of anthropogenic and natural contaminants (TANC) to public supply wells: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2007–5068, 48 p.

Dubrovsky, N.M., Burow, K.R., Clark, G.M., Gronberg, J.M., Hamilton P.A., Hitt, K.J., Mueller, D.K., Munn, M.D., Nolan, B.T., Puckett, L.J., Rupert, M.G., Short, T.M., Spahr, N.E., Sprague, L.A., and Wilber, W.G., 2010, The quality of our Nation's waters—Nutrients in the Nation's streams and groundwater, 1992–2004: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1350, 174 p. Gilliom, R.J., Alley, W.M., and Gurtz, M.E., 1995, Design of the National Water-Quality Assessment Program: Occurrence and distribution of water-quality conditions: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1112, 33 p.

Green, C.T., Puckett, L.J., Böhlke, J.K., Bekins, B.A., Phillips, S.P., Kauffman, L.J., Denver, J.M., and Johnson, H.M., 2008, Limited occurrence of denitrification in four shallow aquifers in agricultural areas of the United States: Journal of Environmental Quality, v. 37, p. 994–1009.

Green, C.T., Böhlke, J.K., Bekins, B.A., and Phillips, S.P., 2010, Mixing effects on apparent reaction rates and isotope fractionation during denitrification in a heterogeneous aquifer: Water Resources Research, v. 46, doi:10.1029/2009WR008903.

Gurdak, J.J., and Qi, S.L., 2006, Vulnerability of recently recharged groundwater in the High Plains aquifer to nitrate contamination: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2006–5050, 45 p.

Hamlin, S.N., Belitz, K., Kraja, S., and Dawson, B., 2002, Groundwater quality in the Santa Ana watershed, California, overview and data summary: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 02–4243, 55 p.

Hinkle, S.R., Shapiro, S.D., Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., Widman, P.K., Casile, G.C., and Wayland, J.E., 2010, Estimates of tracer-based piston-flow ages of groundwater from selected sites—National Water-Quality Assessment Program, 1992–2005: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2010–5229, 90 p.

Jurgens, B.C., Burow, K.R., Dalgish, B.A., and Shelton, J.L., 2008, Hydrogeology, water chemistry, and factors affecting the transport of contaminants in the zone of contribution of a public-supply well in Modesto, eastern San Joaquin Valley, California: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2008–5156, 78 p.

Kaufman, S., and Libby, W.F., 1954, The natural distribution of tritium: Physics Review, v. 93, p. 1337–1344.

Kauffman, L.J., Baehr, A.L., Ayers, M.A., and Stackelberg, P.E., 2001, Effects of land use and travel time on the distribution of nitrate in the Kirkwood-Cohansey aquifer system in southern New Jersey: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01–4117, p. 49.

Kolpin, D.W., Goolsby, G.A., and Thurman, E.M., 1995, Pesticides in near-surface aquifers: An assessment using highly sensitive analytical methods and tritium: Journal of Environmental Quality, v. 24, p. 1125–1132.

Landon, M.K., Belitz, K., Jurgens, B.C., Kulongoski, J.T., and Johnson, T.D., 2010a, Status and understanding of groundwater quality in the Central–Eastside San Joaquin Basin, 2006—California GAMA Priority Basin project: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2009–5266, 97 p.

34 Use of Classes to Characterize Susceptibility of Principal Aquifers to Changes in Nitrate Concentrations, 1991 to 2010

Landon, M.K., Jurgens, B.C., Katz, B.G., Eberts, S.M., Burow, K.R., and Crandal, C.A., 2010b, Depth-dependent sampling to identify short-circuit pathways to public-supply wells in multiple aquifer settings in the United States: Hydrogeology Journal, v. 18, p. 577–593.

Lindsey, B.D., and Rupert, M.G., 2012, Methods for evaluating temporal groundwater quality data and results of decadal-scale changes in chloride, dissolved solids, and nitrate concentrations in groundwater in the United States, 1988–2010: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2012–5049, 49 p.

Lucas, L.L., and Unterweger, M.P., 2000, Comprehensive review and critical evaluation of the half-life of tritium: Journal of Research of the National Institute of Standards and Technology, v. 105, p. 541–549.

Manning, A.H., Solomon, D.K., and Thiros, S.A., 2005, ³H/³He age data in assessing the susceptibility of wells to contamination: Ground Water, v. 43, p. 353–367.

McCulloch, A., 2003, Chloroform in the environment— Occurrence, sources, sinks and effects: Chemosphere, v. 50, p. 1291–1308.

McMahon, P.B., Böhlke, J.K., and Bruce, B.W., 1999, Denitrification in marine shales in northeastern Colorado: Water Resources Research, v. 35, p. 1629–1642.

McMahon, P.B., Böhlke, J.K., and Christenson, S.C., 2004, Geochemistry, radiocarbon ages, and paleorecharge conditions along a transect in the central High Plains aquifer, southwestern Kansas, USA: Applied Geochemistry, v. 19, p. 1655–1686.

McMahon, P.B., Dennehy, K.F., Bruce, B.W., Gurdak, J.J., and Qi, S.L., 2007, Water-quality assessment of the High Plains aquifer, 1999–2004: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1749, 136 p.

McMahon, P.B., and Chapelle, F.H., 2008, Redox processes and water quality of selected principal aquifer systems: Ground Water, v. 46, p. 259–271.

McMahon, P.B., Böhlke, J.K., Kauffman, L.J., Kipp, K.L., Landon, M.K., Crandall, C.A., Burow, K.R., and Brown, C.J., 2008a, Source and transport controls on the movement of nitrate to public supply wells in selected principal aquifers of the United States: Water Resources Research, v. 44, W04401, doi:10.1029/2007WR006252.

McMahon, P.B., Burow, K.R., Kauffman, L.J., Eberts, S.M., Böhlke, J.K., and Gurdak, J.J., 2008b, Simulated response of water quality in public supply wells to land use change: Water Resources Research, v. 44, W00A06, doi:10.1029/2007WR006731.

McMahon, P.B., Cowdery, T.K., Chapelle, F.H., and Jurgens, B.C., 2009, Redox conditions in selected principal aquifers of the United States: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2009–3041, 6 p. McMahon, P.B., Plummer, L.N., Böhlke, J.K., Shapiro, S.D., and Hinkle, S.R., 2011, A comparison of recharge rates in aquifers of the United States based on groundwater-age data: Hydrogeology Journal, v. 19, p. 779–800.

Michel, R.L., and Schroeder, R.A., 1994, Use of long-term tritium records from the Colorado River to determine timescales for hydrologic processes associated with irrigation in the Imperial Valley, California: Applied Geochemistry, v. 9, p. 387–401.

Mueller, D.K., and Helsel, D.R., 1996, Nutrients in the Nation's waters—Too much of a good thing?: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1136, 24 p.

Nolan, B.T., and Hitt, K.J., 2003, Nutrients in shallow groundwaters beneath relatively undeveloped areas in the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 02–4289, 17 p.

Nolan, B.T., and Hitt, K.J., 2006, Vulnerability of shallow groundwater and drinking-water wells to nitrate in the United States: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 40, p. 7834–7840.

Pabich, W.J., Valiela, I., and Hemond, H.F., 2001, Relationship between DOC concentration and vadose zone thickness and depth below the water table in groundwater of Cape Cod, U.S.A.: Biogeochemistry, v. 55, p. 247–268.

Plummer, L.N., Michel, R.L., Thurman, E.M., and Glynn, P.D., 1993, Environmental tracers for age-dating young ground water, *in* Alley, W.M., ed., Regional groundwater quality, chap. 11: New York, Van Nostrand Reinhold, p. 255–294.

Plummer, L.N., Bohkle, J.K., and Busenberg, E., 2003, Approaches for ground-water dating, *in* Lindsey, B.D., Phillips, S.W., Donnelly, C.A., Speiran, G.K., Plummer, L.N., Böhlke, J.K., Focazio, M.J., Burton, W.C., and Busenberg, Eurybiades, Residence times and nitrate transport in ground water discharging to streams in the Chesapeake Bay Watershed: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 03–4035, p. 12–24.

Plummer, L.N., Bexfield, L.M., Anderholm, S.K., Sanford, W.E., and Busenberg, E., 2004, Geochemical characterization of groundwater flow in the Santa Fe Group aquifer system, middle Rio Grande Basin, New Mexico: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 03–4131, 395 p.

Plummer, L.N., Busenberg, E., Eberts, S.M., Bexfield, L.M., Brown, C.J., Fahlquist, L.S., Katz, B.G., and Landon, M.K., 2008, Low-level detections of halogenated volatile organic compounds in groundwater—Use in vulnerability assessments: Journal of Hydrologic Engineering, v. 13, p. 1049–1068.

Puckett, L.J., Tesoriero, A.J., Dubrovsky, N.M., 2011, Nitrogen contamination of surficial aquifers—A growing legacy: Environmental Science & Technology, v. 45, p. 839–844. Reilly, T.E., Dennehy, K.F., Alley, W.M., and Cunningham,W.L., 2008, Groundwater availability in the United States:U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1323, 70 p.

Rosen, M.R., Voss, F.D., and Arufe, J.A., 2008, Evaluation of intra-annual variations in U.S. Geological Survey National Water-Quality Assessment groundwater quality data: Journal of Environmental Quality, v. 37, p. S199–S208.

Rupert, M.G., 1998, Probability of detecting atrazine/ desethylatrazine and elevated concentrations of nitrate $(NO_2 + NO_3 - N)$ in groundwater in the Idaho part of the Upper Snake River Basin: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 98–4203, 32 p.

Rupert, M.G., 2008, Decadal-scale changes of nitrate in groundwater of the United States, 1988–2004: Journal of Environmental Quality, v. 37, p. S240–S248.

Rupert, M.G., and Plummer, L.N., 2009, Groundwater quality, age, and probability of contamination, Eagle River watershed valley-fill aquifer, north-central Colorado, 2006–2007: U.S. Geological Survey Scientific Investigations Report 2009–5082, 59 p.

Shelton, J.L., Burow, K.R., Belitz, K., Dubrovsky, N.M., Land, M., and Gronberg, J.M., 2001, Low-level volatile organic compounds in active public supply wells as groundwater tracers in the Los Angeles physiographic basin, California, 2000: U.S. Geological Survey Water-Resources Investigations Report 01–4188, 35 p.

Tesoriero, A.J., and Puckett, L.J., 2011, O₂ reduction and denitrification rates in shallow aquifers: Water Resources Research, v. 47, doi:10.1029/2011WR010471.

Thatcher, L.L., 1962, The distribution of tritium fallout in precipitation over North America: Bulletin of the International Association of Scientific Hydrology, v. 7, p. 48–58.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2003, Principal aquifers, *in* National Atlas of the United States of America, 1 sheet, 1:5,000,000 scale, available at *http://www.nationalatlas.gov/ wallmaps.html*.

U.S. Geological Survey, 2011, Publications of the National Water-Quality Assessment (NAWQA) Program:
U.S. Geological Survey, accessed September 21, 2011, at *http://water.usgs.gov/nawqa/bib/*.

Weissmann, G.S., Zhang, Y., LaBolle, E.M., and Fogg, G.E., 2002, Dispersion of groundwater age in an alluvial aquifer system: Water Resources Research, v. 38, doi:10.1029/2001WR000907.

Winograd, I.J., and Robertson, F.N., 1982, Deep oxygenated groundwater—Anomaly or common occurrence?: Science, v. 216, p. 1227–1230.

Wolock, D.M., 2003, Estimated mean annual natural groundwater recharge in the conterminous United States: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 03–311, digital data set.

Zogorski, J.S., Carter, J.M., Ivahnenko, T., Lapham, W.W., Moran, M.J., Rowe, B.L., Squillace, P.J., and Toccalino, P.L., 2006, Volatile organic compounds in the Nation's groundwater and drinking-water supply wells: U.S. Geological Survey Circular 1292, 101 p.

Appendix 1



Figure 1–1. Central locations of networks of shallow monitoring wells in urban areas and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations.

Table 1–1. Redox-age classes for water samples collected from networks of shallow monitoring wells in urban areas in the United States and the susceptibility of the networks to changes in nitrate concentrations (only networks with at least 10 wells are listed). [usg, unconsolidated sand and gravel; gla, glacial sand and gravel; scs, semiconsolidated sand; san, sandstone; scr, sandstone and carbonate rock; car, carbonate rock; cry, crystalline rock; shading is used to dif-ferentiate between aquifer lithologies]

Aquifer number	Aquifer						•		SUSCEDU DI IV TO
number	•	Annifer name	Network name	Identifier	Oxic-	-DXIC-	Anoxic-	Anoxic-	changes in nitrate
	lithology		(number of wells)	(see Appendix	potentially	potentially	potentially	potentially	concentrations
				figure 1)	young	010	young	010	
1	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	grsllusrc1 (29)	uml	100	0	0	0	High
1	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrlusrc1 (16)	um2	81	13	9	0	High
1	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrlusur1 (27)	um3	70	11	19	0	Medium
1	nsg	Basin and Range basin-fill aquifers	nvbrlusur2 (20)	um4	35	10	50	5	Medium
2	gsn	California Coastal Basin aquifers	sanalusrc1 (24)	um5	67	0	25	8	Medium
ŝ	nsg	Central Valley aquifer system	sacrlusrc1 (26)	um6	77	12	12	0	High
5	asu	High Plains aquifer	hpgwlusur1 (30)	um7	60	0	40	0	Medium
~	asu	Rio Grande aquifer system	rioglusur1 (24)	um8	17	4	42	38	Low
8	gsn	Rio Grande aquifer system	rioglusrc1 (20)	0 mu	15	0	45	40	Low
10	nsg	Surficial aquifer system	gafilusrc1a (12)	um10	58	0	42	0	Medium
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	linjlusrc2 (26)	um11	100	0	0	0	High
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	connlusur1 (39)	um12	90	8	0	ŝ	High
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	connlusrc1 (27)	um13	81	0	19	0	High
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	necblusrc1 (29)	um14	76	0	24	0	High
12e	gla	Eastern glacial aquifers	hdsnlusur1 (16)	um15	50	19	19	13	Medium
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	uirblusrc1 (21)	um16	95	0	5	0	High
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lirblusrc1 (25)	um17	80	16	4	0	High
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	lerilusrc1 (35)	um18	77	0	23	0	High
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	miamlusrc1 (24)	um19	75	0	25	0	High
12c	gla	Central glacial aquifers	whitlusur1a (25)	um20	56	0	40	4	Medium
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	umislusrc1 (32)	um21	88	0	13	0	High
12wc	gla	West-central glacial aquifers	eiwalusrc1 (29)	um22	55	0	45	0	Medium
13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aquifer system	trinlusrc1 (26)	um23	65	0	31	4	Medium
13	SCS	Coastal Lowlands aguifer system	acadlusrc1 (25)	um24	48	16	28	~	Medium
14	SCS	Mississippi Embayment aquifer system	miselusrc2 (10)	um25	50	30	20	0	Medium
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	linjlusur1 (20)	um26	100	0	0	0	High
15	SCS	Northern Atlantic Coastal Plain aquifer system	linjlusrc1 (30)	um27	60	0	7	ŝ	High
16	SCS	Southeastern Coastal Plain aquifer system	santlusrc1 (30)	um28	60	7	ŝ	0	High
19	san	Denver Basin aquifer system	spltlusrc2 (20)	um29	75	5	15	5	High
24	SCI	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	sctxlusrc1 (30)	um30	100	0	0	0	High
24	SCT	Edwards-Trinity aquifer system	sctxlusrc2 (23)	um31	78	6	4	6	High
27	car	Biscayne aquifer	sofilusrc1a (30)	um32	09	7	30	б	Medium
29	car	Floridan aquifer system	gafilusrc1b (17)	um33	9	12	65	18	Low
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	podllusrc1 (30)	um34	90	0	10	0	High
39	cry	Piedmont and Blue Ridge crystalline-rock aquifers	acfblusur1 (15)	um35	80	13	7	0	High
-	nsg	Pleistocene Terrace deposits	miselusrc1 (26)	um36	77	4	15	4	High
-	gsn	Alluvial aquifers in the Colorado Rocky Mountains	ucollusrc1 (25)	um37	76	8	16	0	High
	nsg	Denver Basin alluvial aquifers	spltlusrc1 (23)	um38	52	4	43	0	Medium

Publishing support provided by: Denver Publishing Service Center, Denver, Colorado

For more information concerning this publication, contact: Director, USGS Colorado Water Science Center Box 25046, Mail Stop 415 Denver, CO 80225 (303) 236-4882

Or visit the Colorado Water Science Center Web site at: http://co.water.usgs.gov/

This report is available at: http://pubs.usgs.gov/sir/2012/5220