

The Correspondence section is not peer-reviewed. Personal opinions expressed herein are the sole responsibility of the authors. EHP neither endorses nor disputes the content of the letters it publishes.

Comment on “Effects of Atrazine on Estrogen Receptor α - and G Protein-Coupled Receptor 30-Mediated Signaling and Proliferation in Cancer Cells and Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts”

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1510927>

Refers to <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408586>

Albanito et al. recently reported that the herbicide atrazine was able to exert an estrogen-like proliferative activity in various cell models, including ovarian and breast cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts, by inducing the expression of several estrogen target genes without binding to or activating the classical estrogen receptor (ER) α . They also showed that G protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30) elicited phosphorylation of extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) 1/2 and contributed to the proliferative effects of atrazine. We suggest that endocrine disruptors should be carefully examined in different cell models in order to determine the complex mechanistic and functional outcomes that result from the interaction between several receptors and their associated signaling pathways.

Using the JKT-1 cell line derived from a human testicular seminoma (Bouskine et al. 2010) and seminoma tumors, the most frequent testicular germ cell tumors, we reported that seminoma cells expressed ER β but not ER α (Roger et al. 2005). At

physiological concentrations, 17 β -estradiol (E₂) was able to suppress JKT-1 cell proliferation *in vitro* through ER β (Roger et al. 2005). However, when E₂ was conjugated to bovine serum albumin, which does not cross the membrane, we observed cell proliferation dependent on ERK1/2 phosphorylation and a nongenomic pathway involving a membrane G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (Bouskine et al. 2008) that we later identified as GPR30 (Chevalier et al. 2012a; Chevalier et al. 2012b).

Similar results were obtained with bisphenol A (BPA) at nanomolar concentrations (Bouskine et al. 2009). In our model, activation of protein kinase A (PKA) was essential for BPA to promote JKT-1 cell proliferation and lead to phosphorylation of cAMP response-element-binding protein (Bouskine et al. 2009). The proliferative effects of BPA on JKT-1 cells did not involve ERK1/2 activation, but rather activation of the protein kinase G (PKG) pathway, which is known to be G α_i /G α_q -dependent and is involved in BPA activation of calcium influx in pancreatic islet α cells (Alonso-Magdalena et al. 2005). We assumed that these opposite effects of E₂ and BPA on JKT-1 cell proliferation were linked to the different affinities of BPA for classical and nonclassical estrogen receptors; that is, E₂, which has a low affinity for GPR30 and a high affinity for ER β , induced a suppressive effect, whereas BPA, with a low affinity for ER β and a high affinity for GPR30, induced a promoting effect.

However, in Fénichel et al. (2013) we also showed in these seminoma cells that atrazine was able to suppress JKT-1 cell proliferation following a linear dose-response curve (Figure 1), contrary to what Albanito et al. reported in other cancer cell lines. This suppressive effect involved the same receptor, as G15 (a selective antagonist of GPR30) was able to reverse the inhibitory effect of atrazine on JKT-1 cell proliferation.

Our results showed that 1) two chemical compounds (BPA and atrazine) can exert opposite estrogenic effects in the same cancer cell (seminoma) through the same receptor (GPR30), and 2) the same chemical compound (atrazine) can exert opposite effects in different cancer cells (seminoma, ovarian, or breast) through the same GPR30 receptor. This highlights the role of associated GPR30-induced signaling pathways (ERK1/2, PKA, or PKG) and possible cross-talk between GPR30 and the classical estrogen receptors ER α or ER β , as suggested by Albanito et al.

N.C. was supported by the French Society of Endocrinology and the Fondation pour la Recherche Médicale. The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Nicolas Chevalier,^{1,2,3} Rachel Paul-Bellon,^{1,2} and Patrick Fénichel^{1,2,3}

¹Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale (INSERM), Nice, France; ²Université de Nice—Sophia Antipolis, Nice, France; ³Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Nice, France

Address correspondence to P. Fénichel, Centre Hospitalier Universitaire de Nice, Hôpital de l'Archet 2, Service d'Endocrinologie, Diabétologie et Médecine de la Reproduction, 151 Route de Saint-Antoine de Ginestière, CS 23079, 06202 Nice Cedex 3, France. E-mail: fenichel.p@chu-nice.fr

REFERENCES

- Alonso-Magdalena P, Laribi O, Ropero AB, Fuentes E, Ripoll C, Soria B, et al. 2005. Low doses of bisphenol A and diethylstilbestrol impair Ca²⁺ signals in pancreatic alpha-cells through a nonclassical membrane estrogen receptor within intact islets of Langerhans. *Environ Health Perspect* 113(8):969–977, PMID:16079065.
- Bouskine A, Nebout M, Brücker-Davis F, Benahmed M, Fénichel P. 2009. Low doses of bisphenol A promote human seminoma cell proliferation by activating PKA and PKG via a membrane G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor. *Environ Health Perspect* 117(7):1053–1058, doi:10.1289/ehp.0800367.
- Bouskine A, Nebout M, Mograbi B, Brücker-Davis F, Roger C, Fénichel P. 2008. Estrogens promote human testicular germ cell cancer through a membrane-mediated activation of extracellular regulated kinase and protein kinase A. *Endocrinology* 149(2):565–573, doi:10.1210/en.2007-1318.
- Bouskine A, Vega A, Nebout M, Benahmed M, Fénichel P. 2010. Expression of embryonic stem cell markers in cultured JKT-1, a cell line derived from a human seminoma. *Int J Androl* 33(1):54–63, doi:10.1111/j.1365-2605.2009.00950.x.
- Chevalier N, Bouskine A, Fénichel P. 2012a. Bisphenol A promotes testicular seminoma cell proliferation through GPER/GPR30. *Int J Cancer* 130(1):241–242, doi:10.1002/ijc.25972.
- Chevalier N, Vega A, Bouskine A, Siddeek B, Michiels JF, Chevallier D, et al. 2012b. GPR30, the non-classical membrane G protein related estrogen receptor, is over-expressed in human seminoma and promotes seminoma cell proliferation. *PLoS One* 7(4):e34672, doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0034672.




Figure 1. Suppression of JKT-1 cell proliferation by 24-h exposure to various doses of atrazine. Values shown are the percent change in cell number compared with control (steroid-free medium containing DMSO) given as the mean \pm SE of three independent experiments. Data from Fénichel et al. (2013).

Fénichel P, Chevalier N, Brucker-Davis F. 2013. Bisphenol A: an endocrine and metabolic disruptor. *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)* 74(3):211–220, doi:10.1016/j.ando.2013.04.002.

Roger C, Lambard S, Bouskine A, Mograbi B, Chevallier D, Nebout M, et al. 2005. Estrogen-induced growth inhibition of human seminoma cells expressing estrogen receptor beta and aromatase. *J Mol Endocrinol* 35(1):191–199, doi:10.1677/jme.1.01704.

Response to “Comment on ‘Effects of Atrazine on Estrogen Receptor α - and G Protein-Coupled Receptor 30-Mediated Signaling and Proliferation in Cancer Cells and Cancer-Associated Fibroblasts’”

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1611288>

Refers to <http://dx.doi.org/10.1289/ehp.1408586>

In their letter to the editor, Chevalier et al. wrote that a single chemical can elicit both agonist and antagonist activity through a specific receptor in different types of cancer cells, while two different chemicals can elicit opposite biological effects through the same receptor in the same cancer cell type, with the disparity lying in the receptor's activation and in whether the action is primarily genomic versus nongenomic. Chevalier et al. also raised interesting issues regarding the level of exposure to chemicals and the cell context-dependent involvement of different transduction pathways by one chemical through the same receptor. These issues are complicated by the fact that the cumulative and final action may be triggered by a mixture of pollutants, in which the signal(s) that is/are activated by one or more compounds depend on the nature of the stimulus, its length, and the cell-related gene profile that characterizes a distinct cell context (Diamanti-Kandarakis et al. 2009).

For instance, as Chevalier et al. mention, 17 β -estradiol (E_2) inhibited cell proliferation through estrogen receptor (ER) β in human testicular seminoma-derived JKT-1 cells

and seminoma tumors, whereas bisphenol A (BPA) promoted growth responses through G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (GPER) and the activation of protein kinase A and protein kinase G transduction pathways, but not through extracellular-signal-regulated kinase (ERK) signaling (Bouskine et al. 2009). Nevertheless, the epidermal growth factor receptor/ERK transduction pathway mediated the proliferation of spermatogonial GC-1 cells induced by BPA (Sheng and Zhu 2011) in accordance with our results obtained in breast cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts (Pupo et al. 2012). Chevalier et al. also cited findings on atrazine action through GPER toward the suppression of JKT-1 cell proliferation (Fénichel et al. 2013). However, as we demonstrated in our study, GPER was able, on its own, to trigger the stimulatory effects induced by atrazine in ER α -negative breast cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts, whereas atrazine stimulation involved a functional cooperation between ER α and GPER in ovarian cancer cells.

Although these data may appear contradictory, it is not surprising that the intricate network of ligand-activated cell responses could lead to divergent biological outcomes, as discussed above. In this regard, well-designed assays have recently shown how the differential engagement of feedback and feed-forward regulation by different ligands leads to different dynamics of pathway activity, which in turn alters cell fate (Ryu et al. 2015). One plausible network motif that drives these responses at least in part may be a transient, pulsing, or prolonged activation of certain transduction pathway(s) beyond a threshold level. Hence, different signaling frequencies and amplitudes could uncover the timescales of major network components determining ultimate cell choices (Purvis and Lahav 2013). As Chevalier et al. suggest, we need to boost research and innovative tools to better appreciate the multifaceted

mechanisms of action and the biological effects of environmental contaminants on human health.

The authors' research was supported by Associazione Italiana per la Ricerca sul Cancro grant 16719/2015 and Ministero della Salute grant 67/GR-2010-2319511. The authors declare they have no actual or potential competing financial interests.

Rosamaria Lappano,¹ Marco Pupo,^{1,2} and Marcello Maggiolini¹

¹Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, Rende, Italy;

²Department of Cellular Biology, University of Geneva, Geneva, Switzerland

Address correspondence to M. Maggiolini, Department of Pharmacy, Health and Nutritional Sciences, University of Calabria, 87036 Rende, Italy. E-mail: marcellomaggiolini@yahoo.it; marcello.maggiolini@unical.it

REFERENCES

- Bouskine A, Nebout M, Brucker-Davis F, Benahmed M, Fénichel P. 2009. Low doses of bisphenol A promote human seminoma cell proliferation by activating PKA and PKG via a membrane G-protein-coupled estrogen receptor. *Environ Health Perspect* 117(7):1053–1058, doi:10.1289/ehp.0800367.
- Diamanti-Kandarakis E, Bourguignon JP, Giudice LC, Hauser R, Prins GS, Soto AM, et al. 2009. Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: an Endocrine Society scientific statement. *Endocr Rev* 30(4):293–342, doi:10.1210/er.2009-0002.
- Fénichel P, Chevalier N, Brucker-Davis F. 2013. Bisphenol A: an endocrine and metabolic disruptor. *Ann Endocrinol (Paris)* 74(3):211–220, doi:10.1016/j.ando.2013.04.002.
- Pupo M, Pisano A, Lappano R, Santolla MF, De Francesco EM, Abonante S, et al. 2012. Bisphenol A induces gene expression changes and proliferative effects through GPER in breast cancer cells and cancer-associated fibroblasts. *Environ Health Perspect* 120(8):1177–1182, doi:10.1289/ehp.1104526.
- Purvis JE, Lahav G. 2013. Encoding and decoding cellular information through signaling dynamics. *Cell* 152(5):945–956, doi:10.1016/j.cell.2013.02.005.
- Ryu H, Chung M, Dobrzynski M, Fey D, Blum Y, Lee SS, et al. 2015. Frequency modulation of ERK activation dynamics rewires cell fate. *Mol Syst Biol* 11(11):838, doi:10.15252/msb.20156458.
- Sheng ZG, Zhu BZ. 2011. Low concentrations of bisphenol A induce mouse spermatogonial cell proliferation by G protein-coupled receptor 30 and estrogen receptor- α . *Environ Health Perspect* 119(12):1775–1780, doi:10.1289/ehp.1103781.