



Corrigendum

Corrigendum to “Contestation, contingency, and justice in the Nordic low-carbon energy transition” Energy Policy Volume 102, March 2017, Pages 569–582

Benjamin K. Sovacool^{a,b,*}^a Department of Business Development and Technology, Aarhus University, Denmark^b Science Policy Research Unit (SPRU), School of Business, Management, and Economics, University of Sussex, United Kingdom

A thoughtful reader has detected an important numerical error in one of the data tables for this article, a mistake that escaped both the author and peer reviewers. The proper unit for Table 3 “Cumulative Nordic Investments for Decarbonization by Sector, 2016–2050” is “billions of United States Dollars” rather than “millions.” The corrected table should appear as:

This changes the text on p. 579 in the following ways:

“As Table 3 indicates, the total estimated cost of the Nordic energy transition is roughly \$3.57 trillion, but requires an additional investment of only \$333 billion, totaling less than 1% of cumulative

GDP over the period, and some of these costs will be offset by fuel savings.”

It also indicts the claim that “by displacing pollution the Nordic energy transition pays for itself.” It would be more accurate to say that the inclusion of displaced externalities and energy security benefits “tip the economic equation firmly in favor of the carbon neutral scenario,” a statement advanced by the NETP report itself.

The author would like to apologise for any inconvenience caused.

DOI of original article: <<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.12.045>> .

DOI of original article: <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2016.12.045>

* Corresponding author at: Business and Social Sciences and Center for Energy Technologies, Aarhus University, Birk Centerpark 15, DK-7400 Herning, Denmark.
E-mail address: BenjaminSo@hih.au.dk.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.enpol.2017.02.017>